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ABSTRACT

This thesis investigates the influence of universal tendencies and language-specific features on phonological development of Putonghua-speaking children in both normally developing and exceptional circumstances (i.e. children with speech disorder, with hearing impairment, and twins). It provides the first normative data on this population as well as cross-linguistic evidence on issues raised by research on English-speaking children.

A cross-sectional study of 129 normally developing children aged 1;6-4;6 and a longitudinal study of four children between the age of 1;0 and 2;0 showed that Putonghua-speaking children completed the acquisition of the four elements of Putonghua syllables in the following order: tone first, then syllable-final consonant and vowel, and syllable-initial consonant last. The age and order of phoneme acquisition and the chronology of phonological processes were derived and subsequently employed as an assessment tool in the study of disordered phonology. It was found that the frequency of the phonemes across the world languages, the biological constraints or articulatory limitations of young children, the theoretical concept of universal ‘markedness’ or ‘default features’ and the current proposal of ‘functional load’ have a number of explanatory inadequacies in accounting for cross-linguistic similarities and differences in the rate and order of phoneme acquisition. Instead, some cross-linguistic variations in the rate and order of acquisition of vowels, consonants and prosodic features such as tone, are better accounted for by the concept of ‘phonological saliency’. Components with higher phonological
saliency would be acquired earlier than components with lower saliency.

The effects of both universal tendencies and language-specific features were also evident in the phonological systems of children developing in atypical circumstances, as the studies of children with speech disorder, a child with hearing impairment and a set of twins showed. In addition, the analysis of the phonological systems of 33 Putonghua-speaking children with functional speech disorder provided further cross-linguistic support for a 'four subgroup categorisation system'. The follow-up study on the development and change that occurred to the phonological systems of seven Putonghua-speaking children with speech difficulties showed that children with different underlying deficits might follow different paths of development. Delayed phonological development may occur at any stage of children's phonological acquisition and spontaneously resolve later, while disordered phonological development may start at speech onset and be resistant to change, due to deficits in the speech processing chain. The analysis of the phonological systems of a set of Putonghua-speaking twins showed that the phonological systems of the co-twins were not identical, though both evidenced characteristics of delayed or disordered phonological development and shared some error patterns. They were able to comprehend both adult and their sibling's phonological forms, suggesting a dual phonological representation in their mental lexicon. The phonological development of a Putonghua-speaking child with severe prelingual hearing impairment between the age of 3;5 and 4;5 suggested that children with hearing impairment may have difficulties in generalising accurate information about the regularities of the target phonological system, as the result of early deprivation or degradation of auditory
input and a cognitive deficit in processing phonological information.

The thesis as a whole contributes to the theory concerning phonological development and disorder across languages and across different populations.
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INTRODUCTION
Comrie’s (1987) compendium of the world’s major languages contains languages spoken by millions of speakers across several countries as well as those used by a few tens of thousand of speakers in one single state. By any account, Chinese is a major world language, as its native-speaking population is over 1.3 billion; that is, approximately a quarter of the world’s population. Its speakers are found in every continent of the world. Its seemingly perplexing characteristics and range of varieties in which it manifests itself also make Chinese an important language. Contrary to some claims, Chinese is a well researched language, from a linguistic point of view. There are at least three international, academic journals, in English, that are devoted to the study of the Chinese language, *Journal of Chinese Linguistics, Cahier de Linguistique Asie Orientale, Journal of East Asian Linguistics,* and one international scholarly association, *the International Association of Chinese Linguistics.* Nevertheless, acquisitional studies of Chinese, i.e. studies of how native-speaking Chinese children acquire the target language, remain under-developed. Within the existing studies of Chinese language acquisition, the acquisition of phonology is perhaps the least explored. Erbaugh’s (1992) detailed review of the acquisition of Mandarin, for example, did not describe aspects of phonology, except for noting error-free tonal acquisition. Lee’s (1996) more recent survey listed only three case studies that described the phoneme acquisition of Mandarin-speaking children in Taiwan. This is ironic, to say the least, as the phonological system of Chinese contains perhaps one of the most prominent characteristics that distinguishes it from other languages in the world.

As will be reviewed in Chapter 2 of this thesis, Chinese is genetically an independent branch of the Sino-Tibetan family of languages. It has been described as an ‘isolating’ language, because it has very little grammatical morphology. Its writing system is
logographic, because each symbol, or character, is a logograph. As far as phonology is concerned, Chinese is a tonal language, with a highly constrained syllable structure. Few varieties of Chinese allow consonant clusters and only a restricted set of consonants are permitted at syllable-final position. As such, Chinese provides an excellent, perhaps unique, opportunity for the evaluation and expansion of theories of language and language acquisition.

As far as language acquisition is concerned, a good theory needs to be able to account for at least two things:

- cross-linguistic similarities and differences in acquisitional patterns; and
- cross-populational similarities and differences in acquisitional patterns.

In other words, a good theory must be able to explain acquisitional patterns of children speaking English, Chinese, Tamil, etc., as well as those of children from the same language background but in different developmental conditions, e.g. twins, children with hearing impairment, children with speech disorder, etc.

The aims of this thesis are twofold:

- to account for phonological acquisition of Putonghua- (or Modern Standard Chinese) speaking children, providing the first normative data on this population;
- to investigate phonological acquisition of normally developing Putonghua-speaking children, Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorder, Putonghua-speaking children with hearing impairment and twins.
The structure of the thesis is as follows:

Chapter 1 reviews the existing cross-linguistic studies of language acquisition from a cross-populational perspective and proposes factual and theoretical questions to be addressed in this study. Chapter 2 describes the phonological structure of Putonghua, focusing on the aspects that are relevant to the subsequent discussion of phonological acquisition in various conditions. Chapter 3 reports a normative, cross-sectional study of 129 normally developing Putonghua-speaking children aged 1;6-4;0, followed by a longitudinal study of four children at their early stage of phonological acquisition in Chapter 4. Chapter 4 complements the previous chapter by providing information on the sequential development of suprasegmental and segmental features which are acquired either in an early stage or within a short period of time.

Chapters 5-8 focus on the phonological systems of Putonghua-speaking children in atypical developmental circumstances. Chapter 5 examines the characteristics of the phonological systems of 33 Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorder. Chapter 6, as a follow-up study to Chapter 5, documents the development and change in the phonology of seven Putonghua-speaking children with speech difficulties. Chapter 7 investigates the phonological systems of a set of identical Putonghua-speaking twins, who are in a different language learning situation from singletons. Chapter 8 presents a longitudinal case study of the phonological development of a Putonghua-speaking child with prelingual hearing impairment. The findings of the studies are summarised in Chapter 9 in response to the factual and theoretical research questions proposed in Chapter 1. The developmental patterns identified in monolingual Putonghua-speaking
children who are either developing normally or atypically, are discussed in the framework of 'developmental universals' and 'particulars'.
CHAPTER 1

LANGUAGE UNIVERSALS AND CROSS-LINGUISTIC

STUDIES OF PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION
1.1 INTRODUCTION

One of the aims of this thesis is to add to the fast expanding body of literature on cross-linguistic studies of language acquisition, with a study of the phonological acquisition of Putonghua-speaking children. Cross-linguistic research, as exemplified in Slobin (1985, 1992, 1995, 1997), not only explores and suggests new and neglected areas for investigation, but also evaluates and challenges claims about language acquisition generally. In particular, it tests claims concerning innateness and universality. The aim of this chapter is to review three streams of research on i) typological universals, ii) the universal language learning mechanism, and iii) developmental universals, that have emerged concerning the shared and individual patterns across languages.

1.1.1 TYPOLOGICAL UNIVERSALS

Studies on typological universals, which began in the 1960s, aimed to identify language or linguistic universals by comparing a representative sample of natural languages (for a review, see Greenburg, 1978; Croft, 1990). According to Ferguson (1978), the term ‘language universals’, which was introduced by Aginsky & Aginsky (1948), only became widely used in the early 1960s. The term ‘linguistic universals’, which first appeared in Katz & Postal (1964) and subsequently in Chomsky (1965), is sometimes used interchangeably with ‘language universals’. They are mostly concerned with the typological relatedness and similarities between languages, and have the following characteristics:
• Typological universals can be unrestricted and absolute in the sense that features are shared by all languages; for example, all languages have nouns and verbs, and vowels and consonants;

• Typological universals can be tendencies occurring in a large number of, but not all, languages;

• Typological universals can be implicational and hierarchical in the sense that the presence of one feature implies the presence of another.

One research question relating typological universals to language acquisition is whether the order of acquisition or developmental sequence of linguistic features may be influenced by typological universals, i.e. features shared by languages or unique to a language. In other words, could similarities and differences in the developmental patterns of children speaking different languages be accounted for in terms of typological similarities and differences?

Another contribution of typological universals to language acquisition study is that it provides a principled basis for determining the degree of markedness of a particular linguistic feature. Typological universals regard markedness as relative and existing on a continuum (Greenberg, 1966). As reviewed in Croft (1990), the three basic principles for judging the degree of markedness of a feature are:

• structural simplicity -- a feature which is structurally simple would be considered unmarked compared to a feature which is structurally complicated;
• functional flexibility -- a feature which can occur more in different structures and serves different functions would be considered unmarked compared to a feature with less flexibility; and

• frequency of occurrence in world languages.

The concept of markedness has often been resorted to in studies of language acquisition as an explanatory theory for the ease or difficulty associated with the learning of some features (for phonology, see Jakobson, 1941/1968, Eckman, 1977; for syntax, see Rutherford, 1983).

1.1.2. UNIVERSAL GRAMMAR AND THE UNIVERSAL LANGUAGE LEARNING MECHANISM

The second stream of study on 'universals' takes the form of Universal Grammar -- the abstract knowledge of language or the subconscious mental representation of language which underlies all language varieties (for a review, see Mitchell & Myles, 1998, p. 43). The Universal Grammar (UG) claims that all human beings inherit a set of principles and parameters. Principles govern the form grammatical rules can take in all languages and make human languages similar to one another. In contrast, parameters vary in certain restricted ways from one language to another and characterise differences between languages. According to UG theory, each child is born with such an innate linguistic knowledge that constrains the shape of the particular language system being acquired. This explains why similarities exist among children speaking different languages during their process of language acquisition.
UG's underlying assumption about language acquisition is, in essence, in line with nativist theories which purport that it is an innate biological endowment that enables children to acquire their target languages with remarkable speed. The innateness can either take the form of 'innate knowledge of general linguistic principles' (Chomsky, 1965), or consist of general cognitive notions and mechanism such as dependency, adjacency, precedence, continuity, etc. whereby a child learns the rules and conventions of the language being learned (O'Grady, 1987).

Against the innateness theory, 'environmentalists' play down the role of innateness in language development. They propose that an organism's nurture, or experience, is of more importance to development than its nature, or innate contributions (Larsen-Freeman & Long, 1991). The 'environmentalist' approach, originated in Skinner's (1957) behaviourism, considers children's learning as a stimulus-response process. Although Skinner's strong view on the cause-and-effect relationship between acquisition and environment was severely challenged by nativist theories, he succeeded in drawing researchers' attention to the role of the environment, for example, language input, in acquisition. Since the 1970s many empirical studies have investigated the characteristics, functions and degree of necessity to language acquisition of child-directed speech or motherese (see Snow & Ferguson, 1977; Waterson & Snow, 1978; Snow, 1996). These studies showed that child-directed speech (CDS) is characterised by adjustments made by adults at various levels. For example, Sachs (1977) found that mothers tuned pitch, intonation and rhythm to some extent to suit their children. Little is known about how fine-tuned CDS facilitates language learning process; yet the evidence suggests that it is important for children's language development.
The recent advances in connectionism are another attempt to explain the role of the environment in language acquisition in terms of cognitive processes. McClelland, Rumelhart and the PDP Research Group (1986) put forward a theory called Parallel Distributed Processing which holds that acquisition is a process of strengthening and weakening connections in complex neural networks as a result of the frequency of stimuli in the input. They believe that learners are sensitive to regularities in the language input (i.e. the regular co-occurrence of particular language forms), and able to extract probabilistic patterns on the basis of these regularities. However, at present, the models in connectionism have been mainly built and tested on the acquisition of very simple, often artificial data. It remains to see whether the models would apply to the learning of rich and complex natural languages.

While both nativists and environmentalists are working in different directions, it is now generally accepted that language development is the result of interaction between innate and environmental factors. The innate mechanism, termed the Language Acquisition Device (LAD) by Chomsky (1965) or Language-Making Capacity by Slobin (1985), is the mental equipment responsible for language development and should be universal for

- children from different language backgrounds,
- children from the same language background but different social backgrounds, and
- children developing normally or atypically.

Environmental factors refer to, among other things, language input, interactional patterns, and individual preference. These factors may vary for children speaking different languages and different populations of children speaking the same language (for
example, normally developing population and atypically developing population such as children with functional speech disorder or hearing impairment). It may also vary from one child to another even if the children share a similar language learning environment (for example, twins).

### 1.1.3 DEVELOPMENTAL UNIVERSALS

Though it is accepted that both innate and environmental factors are essential for language development, it is unclear to what extent and in what aspects, language acquisition is affected by these innate and environmental factors. One solution is to compare patterns of language development across children acquiring different languages and different populations of children acquiring the same language. The common and general patterns in child language development are termed 'developmental universals' (Slobin, 1985). Language-specific patterns or 'particulars', as opposed to developmental universals, are those unique to children acquiring one language or a particular group of children. According to Slobin,

‘By combining attention to universals and particulars, we are beginning to discern a more differentiated picture of child language -- one in which we can see why patterns of acquisition of specific properties VARY from language to language, while they are determined by common principles of a higher order. (Slobin, 1985, p.5, emphasis original)

Strictly speaking, developmental universals are those commonalities shared by

- children acquiring different languages in monolingual or bilingual conditions;
• different groups of children acquiring the same target language;

• both normally developing children and children in exceptional circumstances.

The developmental universals can be identified by examining comparable data from children acquiring different languages, different groups of children acquiring the same language and children in typically or atypically developing conditions.

The analytic framework adopted in the studies in this thesis is what has become known as Natural Phonology (e.g. Stampe, 1969; Donegan and Stampe, 1979). Unlike other phonological theories, Natural Phonology was developed with the specific aim of accounting for the development of phonology, both normal and disordered, in children. According to Stampe, the child comes to the world innately equipped with a universal set of phonological processes, i.e. operations which change or delete phonological units, that reflect the natural limitations and capacities of human vocal production and perception. The innate processes correspond to the phonological regularities found in the languages of the world. The child’s task therefore is to suppress those processes which do not occur in the particular target language to which he or she is exposed. Despite criticisms from some researchers that the ‘phonological processes’ proposed by Stampe lacked psychological reality or explanatory power, the general consensus is that process analysis does provide the most economical way of describing the relationship between the adult targets and the children’s erroneous realisation of them.

The aim of this thesis is to contribute to the knowledge of developmental universals by examining developmental patterns, specifically those of phonological acquisition, in monolingual Putonghua-speaking children. Apart from normally developing children, this thesis also charts the course of phonological development of children in atypically
developing conditions. Children with functional speech disorder, children with hearing impairment, and twins will be examined.

The rest of this chapter will review previous cross-linguistic studies on normally developing children, children with speech disorder, children with hearing impairment and twins, with the purpose of highlighting comparable findings on developmental universals and particulars.

1.2 PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION IN NORMALLY DEVELOPING CIRCUMSTANCES

1.2.1 CROSS-LINGUISTIC STUDIES OF NORMAL PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT AND PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION THEORIES

Previous cross-linguistic phonological acquisition research has focused on either descriptive comparisons of acquisition data, or theoretical interpretations of cross-linguistic similarities and differences.

1.2.1.1 CROSS-LINGUISTIC COMPARISONS OF PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION

The similarities and differences in the developmental patterns of children from various language backgrounds have been examined, focusing on the order and rate of acquisition of phonemes and developmental error patterns (for normative data on English-speaking children, see Prather, Hedrick & Kern, 1975; Stoel-Gammon & Dunn, 1985; Grunwell, 1987). Table 1.1 lists previous cross-linguistic studies on phonological acquisition, followed by detailed comments on each study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Order of acquisition of syllable segments</th>
<th>Comparison to English, rate and order of consonant acquisition</th>
<th>Language-specific substitution patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2;0-6;4</td>
<td>SM-C &gt; SI-C or SF-C</td>
<td>Rate: /f, t, l/ earlier; /h, d, θ, j/ later; Order: similar</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Amayreh &amp; Dyson, 1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantonese</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2;0-6;0</td>
<td>Tones &amp; V &gt; SF-C &gt; SI-C</td>
<td>Rate: more rapid; Order: similar</td>
<td>Affrication of /s/ → [ts]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(So &amp; Dodd, 1995)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1;6-5;11</td>
<td>V &gt; SF-C = SI-C</td>
<td>Rate: more rapid; /d, v, s, z/ earlier</td>
<td>No preference for 1st or 2nd phoneme in cluster reduction of /kv/ and /kn/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fox &amp; Dodd, 1999)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3;1-4;8</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Rate: ? Order: similar</td>
<td>Higher frequency of occurrence of weak syllable deletion and metathesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltese</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2;0-3;6</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Rate: more rapid; Order: similar</td>
<td>Later suppression of stopping &amp; fronting processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Grech, 1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1;6-5;2</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Earlier suppression of stopping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yavas, 1988)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiche</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1;7-3;0</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Rate: /ʃ, tʃ, x, l/ earlier Order: different</td>
<td>/tʃ → [l]; /ʃs/ → [/ʃ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pye, et al, 1987)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Rate: similar /ʃ/ later; Order: similar</td>
<td>Different frequency of occurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mann &amp; Hodson, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>(Magnusson, 1983; Nettelbladt, 1983)</td>
<td>32 3;9-6;6 ?</td>
<td>similar</td>
<td>/t/ → [h]; /l/ → [j]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>(Topbas, 1997)</td>
<td>22 1;0-3;0 ?</td>
<td>more rapid, completed by 3;0; similar</td>
<td>Consonant deletion process occurs to SI/SFC; Cluster reduction is limited to word-final sonorant + plosives; /t/ → [l, j]; Affrication of /l/ → [ts]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xhosa</td>
<td>(Mowrer &amp; Burger, 1991)</td>
<td>71 2;6-6;0 ?</td>
<td>more rapid; Fewer errors on stops and fricatives; similar</td>
<td>Similar substitution patterns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: V: vowel; C: consonant; SI-C: syllable-initial consonant; SF-C: syllable-final consonant; SM-C: syllable-medial consonant; ‘>’: earlier than; ‘=’: the same as. ‘?’: information not available.
Arabic

Amayreh and Dyson (1998) collected speech samples from 180 normally developing children aged 2;0-6;4. They found that medial consonants were significantly more accurate than initial and final consonants, but no difference was found between the initial and final positions. The age of acquisition of most Arabic consonants was similar to that of English with a few exceptions: the consonants /f, t, l/ were acquired earlier in Arabic than in English, while /h, dʒ, ʊ, j/ were later in Arabic than in English.

Cantonese

So and Dodd (1995) found that although Cantonese-speaking children’s order of consonant acquisition was similar to that of English-speaking children, Cantonese children’s acquisition was more rapid. Specific phonological processes (for the definition of phonological processes, see Section 1.3.1) used by Cantonese children were also identified. For example, while some Cantonese two-year-olds deaffricated /ts/ (e.g. [siw] for /tsiw/), affrication of /s/ was much more common (e.g. [pa tsi] for /pa si/; [tsoej] for /soej/). This pattern would be unusual in English-speaking children who acquire affricates later than fricatives. The more common developmental error for English-speaking children involves stopping of affricates (e.g. [tip] for /tʃip/).

German

Fox and Dodd (1999) examined the phonological development of 177 German-speaking children aged 1;6-5;11. By 4;0, 75% of the German-speaking children were able to produce all the phonemes correctly, while 75% of the English-speaking children aged
4;0-4;6 still made errors with the sounds /dʒ, θ, v, z/. Some language-specific phonological processes were observed. For example, when the process of cluster reduction occurred to the clusters /kv/ and /kn/, German-speaking children did not show any preference for the first or second phoneme in the clusters. However, the second consonant deletion rule (i.e. the phonemes /l, r, w, j/ will be deleted post-consonantally), which normally developing English-speaking children abide by, applies to other clusters in German phonology.

**Italian**

Battacchi, Facchini, Manfredi and Rubatta (1964) examined the word-initial and -medial consonant production of 20 Italian-speaking children aged 3;1-4;8. Accuracy ratings showed that plosives and nasals were acquired earlier than fricatives, though liquids and affricates were not clearly patterned. Bortolini and Leonard (1991) identified the phonological processes which occurred in the speech of nine normally developing Italian-speaking children aged 2;2-2;11 and found that the prevalence of some error types might be related to characteristics of the Italian lexicon. For example, weak syllable deletion occurred frequently in the speech of Italian children, perhaps as the result of the high percentage of polysyllabic words in Italian. Similarly, they argued that the fact that Italian children were found to frequently transpose two segments within a syllable (resulting in the process of metathesis) might be an outcome of children’s attempts at words with ‘challenging complexity’.
Maltese

Grech (1998) analysed the phonological development of 21 Maltese-speaking children aged 2;0-3;6. Differences were evident between Maltese-speaking and English-speaking children in terms of chronology of phonological processes. For example, while the processes of final consonant deletion, cluster reduction and fronting began to disappear in the speech of English-speaking children aged 3;0-3;6, these processes were identified in above 85.7% of Maltese-speaking children of the same age in her study. Maltese-speaking children seemed to have a faster rate of acquisition of phonemes than English-speaking children – by 3;6, 75% of the subjects were able to use all the phonemes contrastively in syllable-initial position. In contrast, Grunwell (1987) claimed that English-speaking children would have acquired all the phonemes except for affricates, fricatives /v, z, s/ and the liquid /l/ by 3;6.

Portuguese

Yavas (1988, cited in Yavas & Lamprecht, 1988) described the chronology of phonological processes identified in 90 Portuguese-speaking children aged 1;6-5;2. Compared to English-speaking children, the most striking difference was that the process of stopping was suppressed quite early in the speech of Portuguese-speaking children (around the age of 2;6) whereas the stopping of /θ, ð/ may persist in the speech of English-speaking children aged above 4;6 (Grunwell, 1987). The difference may be due to the fact that /θ/ and /ð/, which are usually acquired late, do not exist in Portuguese phonology. Apart from this difference, the patterns of phonological processes in Portuguese-speaking children were similar to English-speaking children: the processes of
assimilation, consonant harmony and context-sensitive voicing disappeared early with cluster reduction process persisting until five years of age.

**Quiche**

Pye, Ingram & List (1987) studied five children learning Quiche, a Mayan language spoken by half a million people in the western highland region of Guatemala. They found that Quiche-speaking children had a pattern of phonological development that was substantially different from that of children learning English. Quiche-speaking children’s early phonetic inventories included sounds (e.g. /tʃ, l/) which were not acquired until later by native English-speaking children. Apart from this, Quiche children also used substitution patterns that were very different from those observed in English-speaking children. They frequently replaced /r/ with [l] while /l/ → [j] and /tr/ →[w] would be common in the speech of English-speaking children.

**Spanish**

Mann & Hodson (1994) reviewed a number of studies on the phonological development of Spanish speakers from different parts of Mexico, the United States, and Venezuela. The majority of Spanish phonemes are acquired by the age of four years. Among those phonemes last mastered are /ɾ, r, s, l, tʃ/. Common phonological processes that occur between one and four years of age are consonant cluster reduction, syllable deletion, stopping, and fronting. Substitutions frequently affect the phonemes /l, lɾ/ and affricates.
**Swedish**

Magnusson (1983, cited in Locke, 1983) analysed the percentage of children whose consonants were phonemically correct among 32 Swedish-speaking children aged 3;9-6;6. While nasals had the highest accuracy rating, liquids were produced with the lowest accuracy. Stops, glides and fricative (with the exception of /h/) were in-between. Nettelbladt (1983) also noted that Swedish-speaking children used a number of language-specific substitution patterns during their phonological acquisition (e.g. /ɔ/ → [h] and /l/ → [j]). In contrast, the common substitute patterns in English-speaking children are /ɔ/ → [w] and /l/ → [w] or [j]. Leonard (1995) attributed the replacement of /ɔ/ with [h] in Swedish-speaking children to the similar phonetic value of these two sounds in Swedish - /ɔ/ is in fact a uvular in Southern Swedish dialect.

**Turkish**

Topbas (1997) analysed the speech sample of 22 Turkish-speaking children aged 1;0-3;0. The results showed that Turkish children tended to master most sounds (except for the flap /ɾ/ and its allophonic variations, the velar fricative /ɣ/ and a few clusters) by the age of three. Although the rate of acquisition was more rapid compared to English-speaking children, the order of phoneme acquisition was similar to English-speaking children in that plosives and nasals stops were acquired earlier than affricates, fricatives and liquids. Most phonological processes were suppressed by the age of three. The influence of the ambient language was evident in the realisation of phonological processes. For example, consonants at syllable-initial or syllable-final position, occurring in -C,C- structures, were
frequently deleted in the speech of Turkish-speaking children. However, only syllable-final consonants were subject to the deletion process in English (Ingram, 1989b).

**Xhosa**

Mowrer & Burger (1991) carried out a comparative study of Xhosa- and English-speaking children aged 2;6 - 6;0. They found that Xhosa-speaking children mastered 20 phonemes shared by Xhosa and English earlier than English-speaking children. The Xhosa-speaking children mastered 31 out of the total 41 consonants by 3;0, including some affricates (e.g. /ts, tʃ/) and clicks. The Xhosa-speaking children also made fewer errors on stops and fricatives than the English-speaking group. However, the two groups were shown to use similar substitution patterns for fricatives, affricates and liquids. The sounds acquired last and most frequently misarticulated by Xhosa-speaking children (e.g. /s, ŋ, r/) were the same phonemes English-, German- and Swedish-speaking children found difficult.

The similarities and differences highlighted in these cross-linguistic studies of phonological acquisition need theoretical interpretation.

**1.2.1.2 PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION THEORIES**

Theories of phonological acquisition need to account for evidence from cross-linguistic studies. Two major issues need to be addressed. One is the universal tendencies in children’s phonological acquisition; the other the role language-specific features play in influencing the phonological development of the children of a given language.

*Jakobson’s ‘laws of irreversible solidarity’*
Jakobson (1941/1968), appealing to typological universals, suggested that whether a sound would be acquired early could be explained in terms of the distribution of the sound among the world’s languages. According to his ‘laws of irreversible solidarity’, nasals, front consonants and stops (found in virtually all the languages) would be acquired earlier than their oppositions, i.e. orals, back consonants and fricatives respectively. He proposed that there were certain sounds which were more basic and central to all human languages and these sounds would therefore be acquired earlier than other sounds. Jakobson’s view of phonological acquisition in terms of oppositions or contrasts set the agenda for the subsequent studies of child phonology.

Markedness

As mentioned in Section 1.1.1, the notion of ‘markedness’ has been used to interpret the similarities and differences in the order of phoneme acquisition (Eckman, 1977; Anderson, 1983). It was hypothesised that those sounds which appeared early in a child’s inventory were maximally unmarked, while those occurring late were marked\(^1\). Therefore, children would use unmarked sounds as substitutions for marked sounds. Edwards’ (1974) study of English-speaking children aged 1;8-3;11 found that children usually substituted the unmarked member for those marked contrasts (e.g. \([s]\) for /ʃ/), but details varied from one child to another and from one developmental stage to another.

Some researchers found that the traditional labels in the taxonomy of oppositions such as voice, place and manner of articulation were not adequate when explaining

\(^1\) Lindblom (1998) criticises this approach as being circular in that a feature is acquired early because it is unmarked and a feature is considered as unmarked because it is acquired early.
the order of acquisition of phonemes. A more specific descriptive unit was therefore adopted: the feature. The feature system focused on the articulatory differences between phonemes (see Chomsky & Halle, 1968). Among the most important features were those distinguishing between vowels and consonants (sonorant, vocalic, consonantal); those distinguishing the sounds in terms of place of articulation (anterior, coronal, high, low, back and rounded); and those distinguishing the sounds in terms of manner of articulation (nasal, lateral, continuant, delayed release and stridency). Each phoneme was a combination of several features. It was hypothesised that unmarked features would be acquired first because unmarked features were considered more phonetically natural. Therefore, children would tend to replace marked features with unmarked features. A number of studies (e.g., Irwin & Wong, 1983; Yavaş, 1997) have applied the feature system to the analysis of children's speech.

Dinnsen (1992) proposed that there might be a universal hierarchical structure with a highly limited set of ordered features applicable to the phonetic inventories of all languages. Each feature in the hierarchy had a number of default specifications (i.e. unmarked values). Children's acquisition would therefore be a process of replacing a default value with a language-specific value. The order of phoneme acquisition of a particular language would correspond with the hierarchical relationships and default values: features ranked highly in the hierarchy would be acquired early; default features would be acquired before non-default features. Dinnsen's model offers an alternative account for cross-linguistic similarities and differences in the order of phoneme acquisition. However, the explanatory power of his model has so far rarely been tested with the phonological acquisition of children other than English- and
Spanish-speaking children. Determining the set of default and non-default values common to all languages is a continuing goal of phonological research.

The biological model

‘Laws of irreversible solidarity’ and feature theory sought to explain children’s acquisition of sounds in the structure of the language they learned and emphasised the innate nature of acquisition. In contrast, other researchers (e.g. Locke, 1980, 1983; Kent, 1992) emphasised the role of articulatory and perceptual constraints on children’s acquisition of phonology. Locke (1980, p. 207) argued that far from simply being a physical process, ‘perception is very much constrained by one’s sense of phonological structure and lexical expectation’. Developmental phonological patterns could, therefore, be accounted for by the perceptual similarity between sounds that occur frequently in children’s babbling and sounds that occur infrequently. According to Locke, there are three universal mechanisms of development: maintenance, learning, and loss (1983, pp. 85-92). When children have passed the babbling stage and started to acquire a target phonological system, certain sounds are maintained from their babbling repertoire. Sounds not present in the babbling repertoire are then developed through interactions in the linguistic environment (a learning process). Children must also relinquish and lose the ‘extrasystemic sounds’, sounds existing in the babbling repertoire but not in the target phonological system. The interaction of these three mechanisms would result in the acquisition of the target phonology.

Functional load
Pye et al's (1987) study of Quiche-speaking children challenged Locke's theory (for the summary of their study, see section 1.2.1.1). They attributed differences between the phonological acquisition of Quiche and English to the specific nature of the two phonological systems. Pye et al (1987) argued that articulatory and perceptual constraints could not account for the earlier acquisition of /l/ and /tʃ/ by Quiche-speaking children than English-speaking children. They explained the differences found by introducing the concept of 'functional load' which was first proposed by the Prague School. Functional load refers to the relative importance of each phoneme within a specific phonological system. However, how to calculate it is still a matter of controversy. Pye et al determined the functional load of a phoneme by its frequency of occurrence in oppositions or minimal pairs. For example, /l/ and /tʃ/ were acquired earlier by Quiche-speaking children because these sounds carry a greater functional load in the phonological system of Quiche than in English.

Functional load is difficult to measure across languages (Catford, 1988). Pye et al (1987) admitted that phonemes with high frequency of occurrence might not necessarily carry a high functional load. For example, /ð/ occurs in a small class of frequent words (such as the, this, etc.) and is thus the second most frequent fricative in English. However, the functional load of /ð/ is quite small, since 'we could change all English /ð/ into [d]s and still communicate' (Ingram, 1989a, p. 218). Despite this, Pye et al measured the functional load of syllable-initial consonants in Quiche by counting the frequency of syllable-initial consonants occurring in the 500 most commonly used words of five- and six-year-old children. There are two problems with this method of determining functional load:
• There is no guarantee that sounds frequently used by children are significant for a phonological system;

• The rank-order of frequencies for syllable-initial consonants common to Quiche and English does not support the similarities and differences found in the children's order of acquisition. For example, the sound /w/ was ranked as the second most frequently used in Quiche and as seventh in English, indicating that /w/ should be acquired earlier in Quiche than in English. In fact, it was acquired at the same age in both languages.

So & Dodd (1995) were also critical of Pye et al's (1987) measurement of functional load: other aspects of phonology that may contribute to the functional load of consonants, such as vowels, syllable structure, and tone had not been considered. Despite these weaknesses, the notion of functional load does explore the relationship between the order of phoneme acquisition and the role of these phonemes in a given language environment.

Children's phonological acquisition is a highly complex process and influenced by a variety of sources. It is conceivable that none of the theories discussed so far account for both universal tendencies and language-specific patterns that have been found. Further cross-linguistic research on children's phonological acquisition is needed, focusing on both the identification of universal tendencies and the influence of the ambient language.

1.3 PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT IN ATYPICAL CIRCUMSTANCES

Apart from the normally developing children, theories of language acquisition should also account for the patterns identified in the language and speech development of
children growing up in atypical circumstances (i.e. children whose physical status or environmental conditions vary from that of the majority of children). The unusual circumstances may lead to variations in the language and speech development of the children involved. The study of the relationship between the observed variations and unusual conditions can provide important answers to some major theoretical questions about language acquisition. Two questions are specifically related to this thesis:

- What results in impairment or variation in phonological development? It is known that most children referred for speech assessment do not have an apparent organic or environmental aetiology (Shriberg, Kwiatkowski, Best, Hengst and Terselic-Weber, 1986). Identifying the underlying deficits is essential both for clinical intervention and to the understanding of language development in general.

- What path of development would children with atypical phonological development follow? Longitudinal studies on children with functional phonological disorders or hearing impairment may provide another chance to examine the influential factors in language development.

The following sections will review the literature on general issues related to speech disorder, development and change in the phonology of children with speech disorder, phonological development of twins and phonological development of children with hearing impairment.

1.3.1. THE NATURE OF DISORDERED PHONOLOGY

The prevalence figures for developmental phonological disorders range from 3% to 10% of the pre-school population in English-speaking children (Kirkpatrick & Ward, 1984;
Enderby & Philipp, 1986). However, children with phonological disorders are not a homogeneous group (Gierut, 1998). They differ in severity, aetiology, symptomatology, and response to treatment (Shriberg, Kwiatkowski, Best, Hengst & Terselic-Weber, 1986; Dodd, 1993). Therefore, categorisation of subgroups of phonological disorder is useful for understanding the nature of phonological impairment, differential diagnosis and clinical management.

There are two major approaches to describing and categorising phonologically disordered children. One is the ‘etiologic’ approach by which subgroups of phonologically disordered children are classified according to a range of causal factors of their phonological impairment. Within the etiologic approach there is a dichotomy between organic and non-organic causal factors (Sommers, 1984). Shriberg and Kwiatkowski (1994) used the terms ‘speech-hearing mechanism’ and ‘psychosocial factors’. Organic causes of phonological disorder identified include hearing impairment (Dodd & So, 1994; Meline, 1997); speech mechanism impairment (Winitz & Darley, 1980); genetic transmission (i.e. familial phonological disorder history, Lewis & Freebairn, 1997); and motor abilities (Cermak, Ward, & Ward, 1986; Sommers, 1988; Bradford & Dodd, 1994). Non-organic factors include ‘faulty learning’ (Bahr, 1998) and inadequate exposure to language (Savic, 1980; Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1994).

Classifying phonological impairment from the etiologic perspective is difficult. It is not always possible to identify a single causal factor, either due to the lack of clear evidence associating developmental phonological disorder with specific etiologic antecedents (Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1994), or due to the interaction of several causal factors (Dodd, 1995). The etiologic approach to classifying phonological impairment is inadequate for children who present with normal hearing, intelligence, social, emotional

An alternative approach to classifying phonological disorder is the ‘linguistic’ approach: the linguistic characteristics of the child’s speech are described. The common typological classification systems in the literature include

a. *phonetic vs. phonological disorders* - differentiating the ability to *articulate* sounds from that of *using* sounds (Winitz, 1969; Ingram, 1989b; Fey, 1992; Bernthal & Bankson, 1998; Gierut, 1998; cf. three way distinction - phonological, phonetic and articulatory disorders, Hewlett, 1985).

b. *delay vs. disorder* - differentiating children whose speech resembles that of younger children from those whose speech deviates from the normal development course (Leonard, 1985; Ingram, 1989b; Fletcher, 1990). The term ‘disorder’ is used here in its narrow sense, referring to the subgroup of children whose phonological development is deviant from normal children in nature. Its broad sense, as used in the term 'speech disorder', is a general label for children who mispronounce words.

Although these two classification systems are widely used in the literature, they do not adequately account for all speech disordered children. A major criticism of the taxonomies of phonetic vs. phonological disorders and delay vs. disorder is that the classification tends to focus on speech sounds rather than phonological processes (Dodd, 1993). A phonological process is “the mental operation that applies in speech to substitute, for a class of sounds or sound sequences presenting a common difficulty to the speech capacity of the individual, an alternative class identical but lacking in the difficult
property” (Stampe, 1973, p.1). Phonological processes are important because they indicate the restricted resources (motor-oral skills, cognitive capacity, perceptual ability, etc.) available to children at a particular stage of development. The role of phonological processes is crucial for understanding disordered phonology.

Dodd (1993) identified three subgroups of phonological disorder in addition to phonetic disorder (articulation disorder):

- **delayed phonological development** - use of consistent error patterns that are inappropriate for the child’s chronological age but appropriate for a younger child (e.g., cluster reduction: [bu] for blue; [pun] for spoon).

- **consistent disorder** - use of consistent error patterns that are atypical of normal phonological development (e.g., deleting all syllable-initial consonants, marking consonant clusters with a bilabial fricative).

- **inconsistent disorder** - variable pronunciation of the same words or phonological features (e.g., vacuum cleaner pronounced [dəkum kinə], [fiːkum timə], [bwaŋkum kinə], Bradford & Dodd, 1994). Variation due to alternation between a normal developmental error and a correct production was not counted as inconsistent production.

Children’s inconsistency or variability in production has been observed by a number of researchers (Ingram, 1979; Leonard, 1985; Dodd & Leahy, 1989; Grunwell, 1992). The speech of these children is often characterised by multiple mismatches between the realisation and the target (Grunwell, 1992). Ingram (1979) described intra- and inter-word variability: intra-word variability takes place when a child produces a given word in
different ways while inter-word variability refers to the situation when a child produces a target segment in different ways across words and contexts. It is intra-word variability that Dodd’s classification system exclusively focuses on.

Researchers often group children with consistent and inconsistent speech patterns together (e.g. Ingram, 1989), primarily because standard phonological assessment procedures fail to investigate consistency of production. There is an assumption that inconsistency reflects severity of impairment rather than a different type of disorder. McCormack and Dodd (1998) compared the consistency of production and speech severity measures of normally developing children and children with delayed development, consistent disorder, inconsistent disorder and developmental verbal dyspraxia. The results showed that while the subgroups with the lowest speech severity scores were more consistent, the groups with the highest severity scores varied markedly in their consistency ratings. The finding indicated that severity could not explain inconsistency and that inconsistent disorder should be regarded as a separate subgroup.

The characteristic patterns of surface speech errors made by the subgroups of phonological disorder identified seem to reflect the nature of the subgroups’ underlying deficits. Psycholinguistic experiments revealed that the consistent disorder subgroup performed poorly on phonological awareness tasks (e.g., detection of phonological legality, awareness of alliteration and rhyme: Dodd & McCormack, 1995; and standard measures of literacy: Leitao, Hogben, & Fletcher, 1997). These findings suggested that consistent non-developmental errors might be due to children’s impaired ability to derive the constraints of the phonological system.
In contrast, children who make inconsistent errors appear to have intact phonological awareness but perform poorly on tasks assessing the planning of complex motor verbal and non-verbal sequences (Bradford & Dodd, 1994; 1996) and lexical tasks (Dodd & McCormack, 1995). One hypothesis about the nature of the deficit underlying inconsistent errors is that it is a phonological assembly problem: their ability to generate 'blueprints' for word production may be impaired. The subgroups with articulation disorder or delayed development do not appear to have any specific deficit, performing similarly to normally speaking controls on all experimental tasks. Children with an articulation disorder have a peripheral problem in that they have learned the wrong articulatory motor program for the production of specific speech sounds. Children with delayed development are following the normal course of development, albeit slowly. The factors underlying their delayed development may be more general (e.g., impoverished language learning environment, slower neurological maturation, or mild cognitive delay, Powers, 1971).

Dodd's (1993) classification system, proposed on the basis of English-speaking children's data, has been evaluated cross-linguistically by a number of studies investigating developmental phonological disorder in languages other than English. So and Dodd (1994) described the phonological systems of 17 phonologically disordered Cantonese-speaking children. The four subgroups of speech disorder were evident: two children were identified with an articulation disorder; eight with delayed phonological development; three with consistent disorder; two with both articulation and consistent disorder; and two with inconsistent disorder. Goldstein (1996) applied the classification system in his description of 20 Spanish-speaking children with speech disorder. He identified children with articulation disorder, delayed phonological development and consistent use of
unusual rules. No children making inconsistent errors were found. However, the children did not produce any lexical item on more than one occasion, minimising the opportunity for observing inconsistency. Evidence for Dodd’s classification system also came from Tobpas’s study (1997) of a Turkish-speaking child and Fox’s study (1997) of German-speaking children. Studies of bilingual phonologically disordered children (Cantonese-English, Dodd, Holm, & Li, 1997; Punjabi-English, Holm, Dodd, Stow, & Pert, 1999; Italian-English, Holm & Dodd, 1999) also supported the existence of the four subgroups. These studies reported that despite the influence of the ambient phonology of the language, the bilingual children had similar surface error characteristics in both their languages.

1.3.2 DEVELOPMENT AND CHANGE IN THE PHONOLOGY OF CHILDREN WITH SPEECH DIFFICULTIES

Both longitudinal and cross-sectional studies of normal phonological acquisition provide evidence of immense changes in the number and type of phonological errors made between the age of 2;0 and 5;0 (Dodd, 1995; Grunwell, 1981). However, little is known about the developmental changes occurring in children with phonological disorder in this chronological age range. The two questions which are of both theoretical and practical significance are:

- when phonological disorder emerges; and

- whether phonological disorder in young children spontaneously resolves.

There is disagreement as to when developmental phonological disorder emerges. Some researchers argue that children with phonological disorder initially follow a normal path
of development from which they later deviate (Compton, 1976; Fletcher, 1990; Ingram, 1989; McReynolds, 1988), while some studies suggest that the deficits in the speech processing systems of phonologically disordered children operate from speech onset and persist if no intervention is provided (Leahy & Dodd, 1987). McReynolds (1988) claimed that children with an 'articulation problem' were those who failed to make appropriate corrections at a time in development when such corrections were expected. Fletcher (1990) reviewed the existing literature and asserted that phonological disorder took place when development of pronunciation skills was delayed or 'frozen' relative to other aspects of language development, particularly vocabulary size. Ingram (1989b) argued that children with phonological disorder were those whose normal developmental processes failed to be eliminated, resulting in early processes co-existing with later ones. Similarly, Compton (1976) suggested that children with defective speech retained and accumulated phonological processes which would otherwise be dropped or replaced by others. Despite the diversity of points of view, what is implicit in the arguments is that it is when normal phonological acquisition is interrupted that phonological disorder occurs.

One case study (Leahy & Dodd, 1987) provided evidence that phonological disorder was apparent from speech onset. They documented the phonological changes made by a girl (AJ) between the age of 24 and 44 months in terms of phonetic repertoire, phonological processes and number of errors. Although AJ's vocabulary size and phonetic repertoire developed during that time, there were few changes in the use of atypical phonological processes. This study suggested that deficits in the speech processing mechanism can operate from speech onset and persist if no treatment is provided.

From a practical point of view, limited speech and language therapy resources call for better differentiation of those children whose speech difficulties will spontaneously
resolve from those whose difficulties will persist. Paradoxically, however, the provision of speech and language therapy services in Britain and other European language-speaking countries means that data about spontaneous resolution of phonological disorder are rather difficult to obtain, as most children are referred for assessment and treatment in the pre-school years (e.g. Dodd & Iacano, 1989; Shriberg, Austin, Lewis, McSweeny, & Wilson, 1997; Gierut, 1998). Weiner and Wacker (1982) charted the changes in number and types of errors made by children aged 3;0-5;0 who were either normally developing or had been diagnosed as having a severe phonological disorder. While the normally developing children made considerable gains, children with disordered speech remained static. However it is not clear whether these children had received any intervention targeting their speech. Children with speech disorders living in countries where no speech and language therapy service is available, as in China, present a unique opportunity for investigating development and change in disordered phonology.

### 1.3.3 THE PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF TWINS

Most studies of multiple-birth children (MBC) agree that their communication development is delayed or atypical compared to that of singletons (for a review, see Johnston, Prior, & Hay, 1984; McEvoy & Dodd, 1992). The aspects of delayed or atypical development of twin's communication include late speech onset (Zazzo, 1960; Mittler, 1970), non-age-appropriate mean length of utterance (Day, 1932; Davis, 1937), delayed semantic development (Day, 1932; Hay, O'Brien, Collect, & Williams, 1984), poor syntactic ability (Conway, Lytton, & Pysh, 1980), unintelligible speech (Matheny & Bruggemann, 1972; Dodd & McEvoy, 1994), and literacy problems (Johnston, Prior, & Hay, 1984; McMahon, Stassi, & Dodd, 1998).
Phonological difficulties have been reported to be the feature of their communication profiles that are most likely to interfere with functional communication. Using a number of measures, McEvoy and Dodd (1992) investigated the syntactic, semantic, pragmatic, and phonological abilities of 19 sets of twins. The results indicated that they performed more poorly than the singleton controls on two measures -- syntax and phonology. However, although the twins had a shorter mean length of utterance (MLU) than their singleton controls, most performed within the normal range. In contrast, there was a high incidence of atypical phonological errors. All but four of the twins used at least one unusual phonological process (i.e. processes seldom used by normally developing children) as well as delayed phonological processes (i.e. processes inappropriate for chronological age), indicating speech difficulties. This study suggested that twins' phonological development is the aspect of communication most at risk of delay or disorder.

Earlier studies of twins' communication abilities characterised the unintelligible speech as 'twin language' (Luria & Yudovich, 1959), 'cryptophasia' (Zazzo, 1978) or 'idioglossia' (Morley, 1972). These terms imply that the observed differences between the speech and language development of twins and that of singletons reflect a private language shared by individual sets of twins. This conclusion was driven by the observation that twins appeared to understand each other's speech, while adults did not. There is, however, limited empirical evidence in support of such an argument. Dodd and McEvoy's (1994) study on phonological abilities of 19 sets of twins found that the phonologies of siblings were not identical. Although there were some similarities, the pronunciation of many words was different, providing evidence against 'twin language'. Dodd and McEvoy's (1994) study also showed that twin children could better recognise
single words than singleton controls, when these words were edited from the spontaneous speech of their co-twin and presented in isolation in a picture pointing task. None of the twins had difficulty recognising the same pictures from the adult form of the words.

One explanation for this finding is that any listener’s ability to match variant input with a stored form is indicative of an ability to recognise the same word despite phonetic differences associated with age, gender and accent of the speaker. If this were so, however, there would be no reason to suppose that twins would perform better than singleton controls on the task requiring them to recognise their co-twin’s mispronunciations. Alternatively, the results might indicate that although the twins did not use an autonomous language, they did lexically store at least some of their co-twin’s word pronunciations for recognition. That is, it would seem that twin children might store more than one phonological representation for recognition of some lexical items.

That it is possible to store more than one phonological representation for recognition of the same lexical item is demonstrated by the ease of recognition of variants in pronunciation for words. For example, in Greek, [andrø] and [andrøs] differ phonologically, but both allow retrieval of the same vocabulary item ‘man’. Similarly parents learn to recognise their young children’s pronunciation of words e.g. [pusi tæt] for pussy cat, [mætmæt] for Vegamite, [lɛliət] for helicopter. This does not imply that there needs to be a separate phonological store that is specific for the recognition of any individual speaker. Rather it implies that there can be more than one phonological representation laid down for a single lexical item in a single recognition lexicon. While having more than one phonological representation for a single lexical item is unlikely to
disrupt the output of those who have already established their phonological systems, it may affect a developing phonological system.

Planning production of those words with competing phonological representations, as in the case of, for example, the emerging phonology of twins, would be likely to be impaired. If a large number of words had competing phonological representations, the acquisition of knowledge about the constraints and contrasts of the distinctive feature system of the phonology being learned would be disrupted. In support of this hypothesis there is evidence that twins' phonological awareness abilities (one’s implicit knowledge about the contrasts and constraints of the phonology) are impaired even after their speech disorder has been successfully remedied (Johnston et al. 1984, McMahon, Stassi, & Dodd, 1998). However, it should be noted that dual phonological representations is not a necessary condition for speech disorder in children. An impaired understanding of the contrasts and constraints of a phonological system could arise from a variety of deficits (e.g. hearing impairment).

Data from bilingual children seem to lend support to the hypothesis that having competing phonological representations at the lexical level is associated with atypical phonological development. Children learning language in a bilingual environment are consistently exposed to two phonological forms for many vocabulary items. Recent research on their phonological development indicated that exposure to a second language in the pre-school years gives rise to the use of atypical phonological error patterns in both languages (Dodd, Holm, & Li 1997; Holm & Dodd, 1999).

The suggestion that twins' unintelligible speech may be accounted for in terms of the language learning situation (where twins within sets are each other's primary
communication partner leading to dual phonological representations of many of their lexical items) raises the issue of whether children in higher multiple birth sets (triplets, quadruplets and quintuplets) might also have competing sets of lexical items. Studies of children in higher multiple birth sets indicated that while their phonological systems might experience delay, they showed no signs of atypical developmental patterns (McMahon & Dodd, 1997, McMahon et al, 1998). A plausible explanation for this finding is that if there is more than one sibling in a set, there is no primary communicative partner and the diversity of pronunciations heard might focus attention on the stable adult realisation.

However, almost all of the existing twin studies are based on English-speaking children (cf. the three Serbo-Croatian twin pairs in Savic, 1980). The above-discussed arguments, such as whether the phonological development of twins would follow the same path as normally developing singletons, and whether twins have a dual phonological representation for some lexical items, have not been tested on twins speaking languages other than English.

### 1.3.4 PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF CHILDREN WITH HEARING IMPAIRMENT

One in every 1,000 children is born with severe or profound hearing impairment (NIH, 1993). Studies of this population will not only facilitate the diagnosis and treatment for the hearing impaired children, but also provide answers to general questions about language acquisition concerning the role of auditory input in language acquisition and whether oral language can be acquired through the visual medium (Dodd & Hermelin, 1977; Dodd, 1987; cf. Abberton, Hazan, & Fourcin, 1990).
Among all the aspects of spoken language, phonological or speech skills are most often susceptible to disruption as a result of hearing loss (Elfenbein, Hardin-Jones, & Davis, 1994), though children with hearing impairment have also been reported to have associated unusual developmental patterns in syntax (Presnell, 1973; Davis, 1977; Geers & Moog, 1978), morphology (Elfenbein, et al, 1994; Brown, 1984), semantics (Davis, 1974; Skarakis & Prutting, 1977) and pragmatics (Schirmer, 1985, cf. Curtiss, Prutting, & Lowell, 1979). In terms of phonetic description, the speech of the children with hearing impairment is often characterised by distorted articulation, breathy phonation, narrow pitch range, wrong airstream mechanism and excessive use of nasality in the production of both vowels and consonants (Hudgins & Numbers, 1942; Abberton et al, 1990). Nevertheless, the evidence indicates that the phonological systems of the children with hearing loss are consistent and rule-governed.

Dodd (1976) analysed the speech production of 10 profoundly congenitally deaf English-speaking children and found that about 35 phonological rules were used consistently both individually and as a group. Similar findings were also reported by Oller and Kelly (1974) and Abraham (1989). Among those processes occurring very frequently in the speech of children with hearing impairment were final consonant deletion, cluster reduction, liquid simplification, deaffrication, and stridency deletion. (Dodd, 1976; Levitt & Stromberg, 1983; Stoel-Gammon, 1982; Abraham, 1989). Some of the processes (e.g. voicing, devoicing or stopping) were typical of young hearing children (West & Weber, 1973; Oller & Kelly, 1974; Dodd, 1976; Abraham, 1989). The use of some unusual error patterns characteristic of disordered phonology (e.g. replacing final stops, fricatives and affricates with a glottal stop) was also reported in Stoel-Gammon’s study (1982) on children with hearing impairment.
Little research has been carried out on hearing-impaired children speaking languages other than English (cf. Dodd & So, 1994; Vasanta, 1997). In Dodd and So’s study (1994) on the phonological abilities of Cantonese-speaking children with moderate-to-profound hearing loss, the phonology of all the children with hearing loss was shown to primarily resemble that of young hearing Cantonese children. Some unusual error patterns, i.e. frication and initial consonant deletion, were also identified. Unlike English-speaking children with hearing impairment, none of the Cantonese children used the error pattern of syllable-final consonant deletion. These findings not only highlighted similarities in the phonological abilities of children with hearing loss acquiring English and Cantonese, but also reflected the influence of the ambient language on the acquisition of the children with hearing impairment. For example, the relative ease in the mastery of syllable-final consonants by Cantonese-speaking children with hearing impairment may be related to the fewer number of syllable-final segments and the absence of word-final syntactic markers in Cantonese.

Compared to the studies on the phonological abilities of hearing-impaired children at a given time during their acquisition, relatively little research has been carried out addressing the development and change in the phonological systems of hearing-impaired children over time (Carney & Moeller, 1998). It is generally accepted that the phonological development of children with hearing impairment will be slow and difficult. Abraham (1989) observed that some error patterns in the speech of hearing-impaired children appeared to persist for a long time and be resistant to change. Some children may not develop easily intelligible spoken language even if they do receive early diagnosis and hearing aid provision (Abberton et al, 1990). Longitudinal studies of the developmental patterns in the phonological systems of the children with hearing
impairment would provide information concerning the learning mechanisms of these children and data for planning intervention strategies.

As can be seen in the review of literature in this section, studies of phonological development of children in atypical circumstances not only provide evidence for developmental universals but also raise a number of theoretical issues which need to be accounted for by theories of language acquisition. Most of the existing studies of phonological disorders, however, focus on the English-speaking children, partly because normative data is available by which delayed and disordered development can be identified. Cross-linguistic research is needed if the explanations hitherto put forward are to be verified and generally applicable theories and models are to be developed.

1.4 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CURRENT STUDY

The primary aim of this thesis is to describe developmental patterns of phonological acquisition of Putonghua normally developing children and those in exceptional circumstances.

The factual research questions to be addressed in this thesis include:

On normally developing children

- Order of acquisition of syllable elements
- Age of acquisition of vowels
- Age of acquisition of syllable-final consonants
- Age of acquisition of syllable-initial consonants
• Chronology of phonological processes or error patterns

• Age of tonal acquisition

• Patterns of tonal acquisition

• Age of acquisition of tone sandhi

• Patterns of acquisition of tone sandhi

• Age of acquisition of weak stress

• Patterns of acquisition of weak stress

• Group variations—the effect of gender or second language exposure on phonological development

On children in exceptional circumstances:

• Characteristics of the disordered phonology of Putonghua-speaking children

• Patterns of spontaneous changes in the disordered phonology of children with functional phonological disorders

• Characteristics of phonology of twins

• Characteristics and developmental patterns in the phonology of a child with hearing impairment

*The theoretical research questions to be addressed in this thesis include:*
• Evaluating existing theories of phonological acquisition accounting for universal and language-specific patterns:

i) Jakobson's law of irreversible solidarity (Jakobson, 1941/1968);

ii) Markedness model (e.g. Eckman, 1977; Anderson, 1983; Menyuk, 1968);

iii) Functional load (Pye, Ingram, & List, 1987);


• Evaluating the differential diagnosis system for phonologically disordered children (Dodd, 1995)

• Contributing to the understanding of the natural history (i.e. emergence, persistence or recovery) of phonological disorders

• Contributing to the understanding of causal factors (e.g. dual phonological representations) for impaired phonology – the most salient characteristic of communication profiles of twins

• Contributing to the understanding of the nature of phonology of children with hearing impairment and the role of auditory input in phonological acquisition

More specific questions or hypotheses as well as specific research background will be presented in detail at the beginning of each chapter.
CHAPTER 2

PUTONGHUA PHONOLOGY
The Chinese language is manifested in a range of varieties. As far as spoken Chinese is concerned, traditional Chinese linguists distinguish seven major varieties, known as *Fangyan* (loosely translated as ‘dialects’, but more accurately ‘regional speech’). The seven Fangyan groups are:

1) *Beifang* (northern), the native speech of about 70% of the Chinese population in mainland China. It is often referred to as Mandarin in the English-speaking world;

2) *Yue*, the majority of its speakers are in Guangdong province of mainland China, with the capital city of Guangzhou (Canton) as its centre. Large numbers of Yue speakers can also be found among the overseas diaspora;

3) *Kejia* (Hakka), whose speakers came from small agricultural areas and are now scattered throughout south eastern China;

4) *Min*, spoken in Fujian (Hokkien) province on the western side of the Taiwan Strait, Taiwan, and Hainan Islands. It is often further distinguished into Northern Min and Southern Min;

5) *Wu*, spoken in the lower Changjiang (the Yangtze River) region, including urban, metropolitan centres such as Shanghai;

6) *Xiang*, mainly spoken in south central region of mainland China;
7) *Gan*, spoken chiefly in the south eastern inland provinces.

The major linguistic features and historical development of the seven Fangyan groups are discussed in Li and Thompson (1987), Ramsey (1987), Norman (1988) and Chen (1999). Within each Fangyan group, there are sub-varieties which may equate with what are normally called dialects in English. For example, Cantonese as it is known in the West is a dialect within the Yue Fangyan group and Hokkien within Min.

A hybrid variety of spoken Chinese is Putonghua, literally 'common speech'. It is the language variety which has been promoted by the Mainland Chinese government since the 1950s. Putonghua is a standardised language, based on the phonological and grammatical system of *Beifang* varieties. It is widely used in the mass media and taught in schools. Surveys suggested that 90% of the whole population in China understand Putonghua and about 50% can communicate in Putonghua (e.g. Wu & Yin, 1984). This chapter describes the phonological structure of Putonghua, focusing on those aspects that are relevant to the subsequent discussions of phonological acquisition and disorder in children. Comprehensive description and theoretical discussions of Putonghua phonology can be found in Li & Thompson (1981), Norman (1988) and Chen (1999).

### 2.2 SYLLABLE

A syllable in Putonghua has the following structure (Figure 2.1):
In a Putonghua syllable, the onset and coda are optional and the vowel in the nucleus is compulsory. The onset can be one of 21 consonants and the coda can only be one of two consonants, /n/ and /ŋ/. Among the 22 consonants in Putonghua, 21 can serve as an onset with the exception of /ŋ/, which can only occur in the coda. There are 22 vowels.

The traditional conceptual framework which is still widely used in the description of Chinese phonology (Li & Thompson, 1981; Norman, 1988; Chen, 1999) posits that a Putonghua syllable consists of three parts: initials, finals and tones (cf. terms such as onset and rhyme are used in the modern phonology). It mainly differs from what is presented in Figure 2-1 in that tone is regarded as part of the syllable. The structure of a Putonghua syllable is represented in the following way (Table 2.1).
Table 2.1 The traditional representation of a Putonghua syllable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>initial consonants</th>
<th>medial</th>
<th>main vowels</th>
<th>syllabic terminal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(u)</td>
<td>(u)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(u)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(g)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The phonemes in parentheses are optional in the syllable.

While the initial and final are segmental parts of the syllable, tone is a suprasegmental feature associated with the segments that bear tone. The tones and main vowels are compulsory while the initial consonants, medials and syllabic terminals are optional in a syllable. Altogether there are about 420 feasible combinations of initials and finals in Putonghua, and 1,300 syllables if tonal variations are taken into account (Xiandai Hanyu Cidian, 1979). Consequently, the occurrence of homophones in Putonghua is much more common than in most other languages (Packard, 1993).

Putonghua has often been described as ‘monosyllabic’, giving the false impression that Putonghua words are one syllable long (Packard, 1993). However, most words (with the exception of most particles, determiners, classifiers and prepositions) in Putonghua are disyllabic or more than two syllables long. DeFrancis (1984) suggested that the term ‘morphosyllabic’ is more suitable in describing the Putonghua syllable, since most morphemes in Putonghua consist of one syllable and vice-versa. Putonghua is regarded as ‘stress-timed’ rather than ‘syllable-timed’, since the individual syllables in connected
speech are normally produced with unequal stress (i.e. produced with variation in syllable length, amplitude, and amount of time separating the syllables) (Packard, 1993).

### 2.3 CONSONANTS

The place and manner of articulation of Putonghua consonants are described in Table 2.2.

**Table 2.2** The place and manner of Putonghua consonants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bilabial</th>
<th>Labio-Dental</th>
<th>Alveolar</th>
<th>Retroflex</th>
<th>Alveolo-Palatal</th>
<th>Velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>pʰ</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>tʰ</td>
<td></td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>η</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affricate</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>tˢʰ</td>
<td>tˢ tˢʰ</td>
<td>tɕ tɕʰ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fricative</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>ś</td>
<td>ɕ</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ɹ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Approximant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples are: (1, 2, 3, & 4 are tonal indicators)

- p  pAl 'eight'
- pʰ pʰAl 'lean over'
- m  mA1 'mother'
- f  fAl 'distribute'
- t  tA1 'build'
- tʰ tʰA1 'he'
Aspiration serves as a distinctive feature of Putonghua consonants. There are six pairs of aspirated and unaspirated consonants and all of them are voiceless.
2.4 VOWELS

Simple vowels (9 in total; see Figure 2.2)

![Vowel Chart]

**Figure 2.2** Putonghua simple vowel chart

Examples are:

- **i** ni3 'you'
- **y** ny3 'woman'
- **u** su1 'crispy'
- **ɔ̣** su4 'colour'
- **o** po1 'wave'
- **A** sA1 'let go'
- **ɛ̣** ɛ̣3 'ear'

/ɛ̣/ is a retroflexed central vowel. It occurs either in isolation or in rhotacisation and thus has very restricted combination with onset consonants. Apart from the seven vowels
listed above, there are two other simple vowels in Putonghua, /e/ and /ə/. They both have very restricted use: /e/, as a monophthong, is used only in conversational particles, which express a speaker's emotion, such as surprise, agreement; /ə/, as a monophthong, occurs only in weakly stressed syllables. /i/ has three allophones (Norman, 1988; termed as 'fricative vowels' in Ladefoged & Maddieson, 1996):

- occurring after /ts/, /tsh/ and /s/, it represents a weak syllabic prolongation of the preceding consonants, (usually transcribed as /l/ in the traditional Chinese phonetic transcription);

- occurring after retroflexes /ʈʂ/, /ʈʂʰ/, /ʂ/ and /ɺ/, it represents a weak syllabic retroflex continuant, (usually transcribed as /l/ in the traditional Chinese phonetic transcription);

- occurring after all other consonants, it represents a high front unrounded /i/.

*Diphthongs* (9 in total)

| ae | sae1 | 'cheek' |
| ao | suo1 | 'foul smell' |
| ei | pei1 | 'cup' |
| ou | sou1 | 'search' |
| ia | ia1 | 'duck' |
| ie | pie1 | 'suppress' |
| ua | xual | 'flower' |
The nine diphthongs can be classified into two groups, offgliding and ongliding diphthongs. /æl/, /əo/, /ei/ and /ou/ are offgliding, in which the first sound is pronounced for longer and with more intensity than the other. /iəl/, /iɛl/, /lua/, /lʊo/ and /ye/ are ongliding ones with the second element being sonorous.

_Triphthongs_ (4 in total)

iao  piao1  'mark'
iou  tʂʰiou1  'autumn'
uae  uae1  'slanting'
uei  uei1  'danger'

In all of the four triphthongs, the middle vowel has more intensity and is longer than the other two vowels.

### 2.5 Tones

There are four tones in Putonghua. The tone is primarily characterised by voice pitch while other features such as length and intensity may also play a role in its perception. It is believed that the majority of the world's languages are tonal languages in which pitch variations contrast word meanings, or mark grammatical properties or both (Fromkin & Rodman, 1993). The four tones in Putonghua are lexical tones. Differences in tones can change the meaning of a word in Putonghua.
There are different systems of description of the tones. The oldest one can be found in Chao (1930). He divided a speaker's pitch level into five scales with '5' representing highest pitch, '1' lowest. *Hanyu Pinyin* (meaning Chinese phonetic writing system), which was endorsed by the National People's Congress in 1958 in an effort to standardise the pronunciation throughout China (and to facilitate teaching and learning of Putonghua), used numbers (i.e. 1, 2, 3, and 4) to represent high level, rising, falling-rising and falling tones respectively. The equivalent terms in the various systems are listed in Table 2.3:

**Table 2.3 The description of tones**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>tone (IPA)</th>
<th>five degree system</th>
<th>pinyin</th>
<th>meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ma</td>
<td>high level</td>
<td>[55]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ma</td>
<td>rising</td>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>hemp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ma</td>
<td>falling-rising</td>
<td>[214]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>horse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ma</td>
<td>high falling</td>
<td>[51]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>scold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this thesis, tones will be referred to as high level, rising, falling-rising or high falling. Tonal markers, i.e. 1, 2, 3 & 4 will be used in transcription.

The tones can be classified as register or contour tone according to whether there is fluctuation in the level of the pitch (Katamba, 1989). In Putonghua there is one register tone (high level) and three contour tones (rising, falling-rising and high falling). The contour tones are a combination of two or more than two, basic tones, such as a rising
tone made up of a low level and a high level tone, or a falling-rising tone made up of a high level, a low level, and a high level tone.

2.6 TONE SANDHI

Tone sandhi, the alternations of tones, in Putonghua is a phonological process closely associated with the morphological structure of Chinese words (and sometimes with grammatical structures). It falls into the following three categories:

- Tone 3 sandhi rule: a falling-rising tone will become a rising tone before another falling-rising tone, if they are in one meaning group (e.g. disyllabic words or phrases); before any other tone, it will only retain the falling part of its contour. In effect, it is only in isolation or before a pause (usually at word or phrase boundaries) that a falling-rising tone completes its full contour (for a detailed discussion of tone 3 sandhi rule, see Dow, 1972).

- Tone 4 sandhi rule: a high falling tone, followed by another high falling tone, will become a low falling tone.

- Morphologically conditioned sandhi rule: there are four lexical items which follow their own rules. They are /pu4/ ('no'), /i1/ ('one'), /tɕi1/ ('seven') and /pa1/ ('eight'). The citation tones will become rising tones before a high falling tone. Additionally, /i1/ will become a high falling tone before all the tones except a high falling tone.
2.7 WEAK STRESS

Weak stress, which is often referred to as the neutral tone or weak syllables (see Norman, 1988), is an essential prosodic feature of Putonghua. It is phonologically and morphologically conditioned. Weakly stressed syllables have a very short duration and a much reduced pitch range. ‘Unlike the four basic tones, weakly stressed syllables cannot be pronounced in isolation; when an element which normally has weak stress is cited in isolation, ... it must be supplied with a tone.’ (Norman, 1988, p. 148).

Apart from the changes in duration, weakly stressed syllables are also characterised by other feature changes:

- The original tone of the syllable is dropped. The pitch of a weakly stressed syllable is primarily determined by the preceding tone: it is half-low after a high level tone; mid after a rising tone; half-high after a falling-rising tone; and low after a high falling tone (for a fuller discussion, see Norman, 1988, pp. 148-149).

- The vowel in the syllable may change. The low vowel tends to become a central vowel while an offgliding diphthong tends to become a simple vowel. e.g. /a/ → [ə]; /æ/ → [ɛ].

- Some initials may become voiced, especially those unaspirated stops and affricates /p/, /t/, /ʈʃ/, /ts/ and /tʂ/. e.g. /pa/ → [ba].

These changes are lexically determined and not restricted to grammatical affixes and particles.
There are two categories of weak stress: regular weak stress vs. irregular weak stress (Lu, 1995). ‘Regular weak stress’ is rule-governed. It is restricted in number and most of the words belong to a ‘close class’. The typical examples of this category are:

- ‘affix’ type in which weak stress occurs to the affix of nouns. For example, /tsi/ ‘zi’ in /pitsi/ (‘nose’).

- ‘reduplication’ type in which the reduplicated second syllables in nouns, verbs and adjectives are weakly stressed; for example, /giŋgiŋ/ (‘star’) and /giəgiə/ (‘thank you’).

- ‘grammatical particle’ type in which particles such as /le/ ‘le’, /bə/ ‘ba’ are weakly stressed.

‘Irregular weak stress’ is not rule-governed and whether one syllable is weakly stressed is decided on a lexical basis. It is referred to as ‘lexeme’ type:

- ‘lexeme’ type in which two lexemes, especially nouns, are combined together and the second lexeme is weakly stressed, for example, /ʂtuol/ (‘ear’), /tʰowfəl/ (‘hair’).

2.8 Rhotacisation

Rhotacisation is a very special feature of Putonghua. With regard to semantics, rhotacisation often indicates that the referent is something common, familiar or small. In some cases, it may carry either a diminutive or a slightly pejorative implication. Though morphemically the rhotacisation is a feature attached to a syllable at syllable-final
position (e.g. /xuaəl/, ‘flower’), the rhotacisation process affects both the vowels and consonants in the coda, depending on which rhyme it is integrated into. In most cases, it leads to changing of vowels and dropping of nasals in the coda:

- the main vowel will turn into a retroflexed vowel, e.g. /xæl/ will become [xaˑ]; /tsi/ will become [tsəˑ];

- if there is an /n/ in the coda, /n/ will be dropped while the main vowel turns into a retroflexed vowel, e.g. /tɕi/ and /tɕin/ will both become [tɕiəˑ];

- if there is an /ŋ/ in the coda, /ŋ/ will be dropped and the vowel in the rhyme becomes a nasalised retroflexed vowel. e.g. /kaŋ/ will become [kəˑ].

Though theoretically all syllables can be rhotacised, whether and in what context a syllable is rhotacised in speech is determined lexically. Some words are always rhotacised and not using the rhotacised form of the word would be unusual, e.g., /nxæl/ (‘girl’), /yantɕʰyan/ (‘circle’). Some are optionally rhotacised, e.g., /møn/ (‘gate’), /tɕʰtɕʰʷl/ (‘car’).

2.9 INTONATION

Apart from pitch variation within the domain of the word (i.e. tone), Putonghua also has pitch variation within an entire utterance, i.e. intonation. The main intonational patterns include falling (which is typically used to express confirmation, exclamation, etc.), rising (used in questions, order, calling for attention), flat (used in statements, description, ordinary conversation) and curve (expressing complicated emotion, exaggeration,
surprise, etc.). Intonation is realised mainly on the tail, not on the head or the nucleus of an utterance.

The relationship between tone and intonation is complex and difficult to describe. Intonation is directly related to change in pitch, and so is tone. In Putonghua, the pitch value of a tone overlaps with the pitch value of intonation for the whole utterance. However, intonation does not obliterate tones, even though it may modify the pitch value of tones. Chao (1968) compared the interaction between tone and utterance intonation as 'small ripples riding on large waves (though occasionally the ripples may be 'larger' than the waves)'. Examples are

/ɪtAɪ ɕiɛ3 ʂ1/ (‘Does he write a poem?’)

/san1ɕiao3 ʂ12/ (‘Three hours?’)

/kæŋ1 kʰæl ʂ13/ (‘Just began?’)

/ni2 iou4 ʂ14/ (‘Are you busy?’)

Lin and Wang (1991) argued that in the above four utterances, although the four utterances share a rising intonation, the different pitch value of the last syllable in each utterance is maintained. This may be due to the fact that a tone is not defined in terms of absolute value -- the relative difference between pitch value within a syllable constitutes the tone of a syllable. In contrast, the relative difference between pitch value of one or several phonological phrases constitutes the intonation of an utterance.

Chao (1968) argued that (1) intonation exists only in the interaction between intonation and tone in Mandarin; (2) the addition of tone and intonation results in the expansion or reduction of pitch range in tone; (3) the addition of tone and intonation takes place in
two ways: simultaneous addition and successive addition. As the result of such an interaction, tones change their phonetic shape in connected speech. The changes involve variation in length, amplitude and fundamental frequency (F₀) value.

2.10 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TONES AND SEGMENTS IN UNDERLYING AND SURFACE REPRESENTATION

Prior to autosegmental phonology, tones were regarded as a feature of vowels by most early generative theories (e.g. Halle & Stevens, 1971). However, there are several facts contradicting this claim. Firstly, tones can shift, spread and be deleted without affecting the quality of the vowels or syllables they were attached to (Goldsmith, 1976); secondly, there are segmentless tones, toneless segments and rules that affect tones or segments separately (Williams, 1976; Yip, 1980). These facts suggest that tones are primarily a suprasegmental feature, independent from segmental representation at a phonological level.

Using autosegmental theory, Goldsmith (1976), based on the ‘tonology’ of Igbo, a west African tonal language, proposed a ‘multilinear phonological analysis in which different features may be placed on separate tier’ (1979, p. 202). The main arguments are:

• Tones and segments are on separate tiers, and are therefore independent of each other.

• Phonological rules link tones to appropriate TBUs (tone-bearing units) to produce the final phonetic form.
There are only level tones. The contour tones can be derived from a linear sequence of two different level tones. Therefore, a rising tone is a linear sequence of a low level and high level tone.

There can be a one to one, one to many (assimilation), many to one (contour tones), one to none (floating feature), and none to one (featureless segment) relationship between segments and tonal features.

Putonghua tones have been regarded as a compulsory syllable component, though there is debate on what the tone-bearing units in Putonghua are (i.e. syllables, rhymes, moras or vowels) (for syllable and tone association, see Wang, 1967; for syllable and rhyme association, see Bao, 1990; for syllable and mora/vowel nucleus association, see Woo, 1969; Duanmu, 1990). Wan and Jaeger (1998) offered a composite account of the relationship between tones and segments:

‘... in lexical representations, tones are autosegmental and are inherently stored with the entire phonological form of the word, either in an unlinked form, or specifically linked with vowels or other aspects of the phonological form. During the phonological derivation of the forms, however, they may become delinked and more, spread, be added or deleted differentially from the segments of the word. Finally, the configuration of tones which has resulted after all phonological processes have applied must be relinked with segments, so that tones can be phonetically realised on voiced segments in appropriate syllables, typically on vowels or other sonorants which can carry pitch’ (p. 424).
There are a number of controversies over some issues concerning the description of the Putonghua phonological system. Among these issues of controversy are:

- The nature of Putonghua consonants. For example, the sound that /l/ represents is sometimes described as an approximant /z/. The sound that /x/ represents is sometimes described as a uvular /χ/. One explanation for the confusion with /x/ is that when the sound is pronounced in combination with some vowels, such as /A/ and /u/, friction occurs between the uvular and the back of the tongue, which may be taken as a uvular. Ladefoged & Maddieson (1996) argued that retroflex sounds should be labelled as being flat post-alveolars while alveolo-palatal sounds should be palatalised post-alveolars.

- The number and nature of Putonghua vowels. For example, whether /I/ and /H/ should be considered as allophones of /i/ or as phonemes. These two vowels have a very restricted occurrence. /H/ occurs only after /ts/, /tsʰ/ and /s/; /I/ occurs only after retroflex /tʃ/, /tʃʰ/, /ʃ/ and /z/.

- The nature of weak stress, i.e. whether 'weakly stressed syllables' should be considered as a type of tone sandhi.

- The nature of medial glides, i.e. whether the vowels /i/ and /u/ in diphthongs /ia/, /ie/, /ua/, /uo/ and triphthongs /iaol/, /iou/, /ioue/ and /uei/ should be considered as semi-vowels, especially when they occur at syllable-initial position. They have been
traditionally labelled as 'medial' sounds or ‘prenucleus glides’ between the syllable-initial consonant and the following vowel (Xu, 1980; Lin & Wang, 1992)

- Related to the issue of the status of /i/ and /u/ in diphthongs and triphthongs is the specification of Putonghua syllable structure. Yin (1989) and Wang (1989) suggested these sounds should be considered as part of the onset rather than part of the coda. In their framework, a syllable is represented as Figure 2.3.

\[
\sigma \\
\text{Onset} \quad \text{Rhyme} \\
\text{Consonant} \quad \text{Glide} \\
\text{Nucleus} \quad \text{Vowel} \\
\text{Coda} \quad \text{Glide and [n, ŋ]}
\]

**Figure 2.3** Putonghua syllable structure proposed by Yin (1989) and Wang (1989)

Duanmu (1990) proposed a three-slot approach, which he claims is applicable to all Chinese dialects (Figure 2.4).

\[
\sigma \\
\text{Onset} \quad \text{Rhyme} \\
X \quad X \\
\text{Nucleus} \quad \text{Coda} \\
X \quad X \quad (\text{skeletal tier})
\]

**Figure 2.4** Putonghua syllable structure proposed by Duanmu (1990).
The labels and symbols adopted in this thesis are those widely used in the literature in the discussion of Putonghua. The implications of the alternative descriptions and arguments for interpreting developmental patterns of phonological acquisition of Putonghua children will be discussed in connection with data analyses in the following chapters.

2.12 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PUTONGHUA AND GUOYU IN TAIWAN

There are a number of case studies of phonological acquisition of Mandarin-speaking children from Taiwan (e.g. Li, 1977; Jeng, 1979; Shiu, 1990). Mandarin is officially called Guoyu in Taiwan (literally, 'national language'). Important differences exist between Taiwan Mandarin and Putonghua. For example, rhotacisation and weak stress occur less frequently in Taiwan Mandarin than in Putonghua (Chen, 1999). The phonological contrast between the avelar fricative and affricates /s, ts, tsʰ/ and the retroflex fricative and affricates /ʂ, tʂ, tʂʰ/ tends to disappear in Taiwan Mandarin. The contrast between syllable-final consonants /n/ and /ŋ/ also tends to disappear, especially in codas /in/ vs. /iŋ/ and /ɛn/ vs. /eŋ/ (Kubler & Ho, 1984). There are tonal differences in Putonghua and Guoyu (Yip, 1980). In a recent survey on pronunciation differences in the norms of Putonghua in mainland China and Taiwan Mandarin (Q. Li, 1992), 23% of 3,500 most common characters were found to have different pronunciations. These differences between Putonghua and Taiwan Mandarin should be born in mind when relevant studies are compared.
2.13 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PUTONGHUA PHONOLOGY AND ENGLISH PHONOLOGY

Differences between the phonology of Putonghua and that of English are summarised in Table 2.4. These differences will be referred to when the developmental phonological patterns of Putonghua-speaking children are compared to those of English-speaking children.
Table 2.4 Differences between Putonghua and English phonology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Putonghua</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tones</strong></td>
<td>4 tones</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syllable-initial</strong></td>
<td>p, ph, t, th, k, kh</td>
<td>p, b, t, d, k, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>consonants</strong></td>
<td>m, n</td>
<td>m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f, s, ç, x, ş</td>
<td>θ, ð, f, v, s, z, j, 3, h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l, l</td>
<td>l, l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ts, tsh, ç, ch, ts, tsh</td>
<td>ñ, ç</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clusters</strong></td>
<td>none</td>
<td>p b t d k g θ + l r j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s + p t k l w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s + p t k + l r j w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syllable-final</strong></td>
<td>n, ñ</td>
<td>m, n, ñ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>consonants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>p, b, t, d, k, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>θ, ð, f, v, s, z, 3, j, ñ, ç</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>l, l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vowels</strong></td>
<td>i, u, y, o, x, a, ə, e, œ</td>
<td>i, ï, æ, ë, a, ë, u, u, 3, ə</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ae, ei, ao, ou, ia, ie, ua, uo, ye</td>
<td>ei, æu, æi, æi, æe, ææ, æu, æu, æu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iao, iou, uae, uei</td>
<td>(æiæ, æeuæ, æeæ, ææ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syllable structure</strong></td>
<td>[Cₜ₁] - V - [Cₜ₁]</td>
<td>[Cₜ₃] - V - [Cₜ₄]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 INTRODUCTION

While the language acquisition of syntax by Chinese-speaking children (whatever language variety) remains largely under-explored, their phonological acquisition has received even less attention. The following section will review some of the previous studies which are related to segmental acquisition (for a review on acquisition of tone, tone sandhi and weak stress, see 4.2).

Chao's study (1951/1973) presented the earliest description of phonological acquisition of Mandarin-speaking children. In his study, he provided a detailed analysis of the consonant and vowel repertoires of the phonological system of a girl who was acquiring Mandarin as first language in the USA. At the age of 2;4, the girl's consonant inventory consisted of 11 phonemes (i.e. 3 pairs of unaspirated and aspirated voiceless plosives /p, pʰ, t, tʰ, k, kʰ/ and 2 nasals /m, n/ and three fricatives /f, s, h/). The girl had only mastered one nasal ending /n/ and tended to replace /ŋ/ with /n/ at syllable-final position. Her acquisition of vowels was associated with inconsistencies, and there were rapid changes from one stage to the next in her phonological system. Among the observed patterns of vowel acquisition were 1) diphthongs were realised as monophthongs in the most cases; 2) the sounds /i, u, y/ in diphthongs and triphthongs went through the stage of deletion and that of addition before their stabilisation. Given the fact that the study was based on one child only, however, few generalisations can be made from Chao's study. Another weakness of his study was that his transcription was based on Chinese National Romanisation,
which was not accurate enough to record the minor differences between target sounds and realisations.

Li (1977) analysed the speech data of one boy (between the age of 2;0 and 3;0) and one girl (between the age of 1;1 and 1;8). Both children were learning Mandarin in Taiwan. Among his research findings were: 1) acquisition of suprasegmentals preceded that of segmentals; 2) the order of acquisition of consonants generally followed the irreversible rules proposed by Jakobson, i.e. stops before fricatives; stops and fricatives before affricates, and front before back consonants; 3) final consonants tended to be deleted at the early stage of acquisition; 4) the monophthongs /a, i, u/ were acquired error-free; while the diphthongs tended to be replaced by the monophthongs. However, the study was heavily influenced by Jakobson’s language universals. The age of segmental acquisition was not clear.

Jeng’s study (1979) was another attempt to test the applicability of Jakobson’s laws of irreversible solidarity in the acquisition of Mandarin phonology. Two children, who were acquiring Mandarin in Taiwan, provided the speech data for his study. The data collection took place between the age of 2 months and 20 months for one boy and between the age of 15 months and 31 months for the other boy. Similar to Li (1977), Jeng found that the acquisition of suprasegmental features preceded that of segmental features. The consonants that were acquired earliest were /p, t, k, ts/, followed by nasals, aspirated stops, fricatives except /f/, and approximant /r/; /f/ was the last sound to appear. The four vowels /a, au, i, e/ occurred earliest, while /u, y, o/ appeared later. Though Jeng used the terms of ‘emergence’ and ‘stabilisation’ in his description, he did not define the criteria involved.
Su (1985) investigated the early phonological development of Mandarin in two Mandarin-Taiwanese bilingual children aged 1;5-2;4 and 1;2-1;11 respectively. In this study, the children were able to produce stops and nasals accurately when the data collection was complete. The frequent substitution patterns included: replacing aspirated consonants with unaspirated consonants, and replacing affricates with stops rather than fricatives of the same place of articulation. Su proposed that the last process might be related to the relative frequency of occurrence of affricates in Mandarin. As to the vowels, /a/ was the first vowel to be acquired, followed by /i/, and in turn followed by /u/. Almost all the diphthongs and triphthongs were not stabilised by the completion of data collection. The children tended to delete part of diphthongs or triphthongs when they failed to produce them accurately. Su’s study captured the characteristics of early phonological development of Mandarin in bilingual children.

Hsu (1987) carried out a small scale cross-sectional longitudinal study to examine the phonological development of 28 children aged 1;0 - 6;0 who were acquiring Mandarin Chinese in Taiwan. Two thirds of the parents spoke Taiwanese as the first language and the other third spoke various Chinese dialects. Among the consonants acquired by the age of 20 months were /p, m, t, k/, while the children aged 4;4 - 6;0 still made errors with the consonants /f, n, tʂ, tʂʰ, ş, ş, ɕ, ts, tsʰ/. Vowels, Hsu believed, were harder to acquire than most of the consonants. Similarly to Su (1985), Hsu also found that among the simple vowels /a/ and /i/ emerged first around the age of 1;1. By the age of 1;6, children had all the simple vowels except /y/, with which the children aged 6;0 still had difficulties. The diphthongs emerged almost as early as the simple vowels, but only five diphthongs /ae, au, ia, ie, ua/ became stabilised by
the age of 6;0. The first triphthong which was stabilised was /uei/ at the age of 1;9, followed by /iao/ at the age of 2;7-3;0. /iou/ did not stabilise among the children aged 5;1-6;0. Despite the scale of the study, the generalisation of Hsu's findings were seriously undermined by the following factors: a) it was not clear whether the children in his study were acquiring Mandarin as first language or monolingually; b) he did not clarify his criteria in defining when a sound would be considered 'emerged', 'established' or 'acquired'; c) the stages in his description of acquisition of consonants and vowels were not justified. For example, it was not clear why he analysed the acquisition of consonants in the age bands of 1;0-1;8, 1;8-2;6, 2;6-3;2, 3;4-4;0, and 4;4-6;0. There were gaps in the age bands which were not covered in the description.

Shiu (1990) described the phonological development of a boy aged 1;0-3;0 and a girl aged 0;7-2;4 in the framework of language universals. Though exposed to more than one dialect, both children were primarily acquiring Mandarin as their first language during the observation period. Shiu (1990) analysed their phonological development in accordance with four stages in their syntactic development and used an explicit criterion for acquisition in terms of consistent phonetic accuracy in children's realisations. The early acquisition of /p/ and /m/ was followed by the acquisition of the labial-dental contrasts between /p/ and /t/, and /m/ and /n/ confirmed Jakobson's laws of the first and second consonant split (i.e. the first contrast within the consonantal system is between nasal and oral; the second contrast within the consonantal system is between labial and dental). However, the earlier acquisition of /k, kʰ/ than /t/ in one child and simultaneous acquisition of these sounds in the other child contradicted Jakobson's claim on the early acquisition of front consonants compared to back
consonants. Shiu's contribution to the study of phonological development of Mandarin-speaking children mainly lies in that she systematically identified a number of phonological processes evident in the subjects' speech. Among the phonological processes found were fronting, backing, stopping, aspiration, deretroflexion, denasalisation, gliding, assimilation, deletion, reduplication, and metathesis. However, the chronology of these processes was not clear.

All these studies make one common claim, that is, Chinese children's phonological development is influenced by the structure of the language and is different from that of children of other linguistic backgrounds. However, most of these studies were based on diary records of one or two subjects. Such data do not allow generalisations concerning age or order of acquisition, nor identification of the developmental error patterns used by Chinese children.

This chapter reports a normative, cross-sectional study of 129 Putonghua-speaking children aged 1;6-4;6. The age of phoneme acquisition, and the phonological processes used by these children are identified. It is expected that the developmental patterns of the Putonghua-speaking children would reflect an interaction of universal tendencies and language-specific constraints. Specific hypotheses regarding the individual aspects of the phonology, based on the description in Chapter 2, are proposed:

1. **Order of phoneme acquisition** --

   - Nasals would be acquired earlier than orals, and stops earlier than fricatives. This pattern, predicted by Jakobson (1941/1968), is already supported by the existing studies of Cantonese-, Japanese-, Italian-, Turkish-, Spanish- and English-
speaking children (for Cantonese data, see So & Dodd, 1995; for Japanese, see Yasuda, 1970, cited in Locke, 1983; for Italian, see Bortolini & Leonard, 1991; for Turkish, see Topbas, 1997; for Spanish, see Jimenez, 1987; Acevedo, 1988; for English, see Olmsted, 1971; Prather, Hedrick, & Kern, 1975.)

- Marked features, such as aspiration and affrication, would be acquired later than the default and unmarked features of the language (for aspiration, see So & Dodd, 1995; for affrication, see Olmsted, 1971; Prather et al, 1975.)

2. Phonological processes --

Simplification processes would be evident both in the overall structure of syllables and within syllable components. Young children were expected to deaspirate and stop within a syllable, and assimilate and delete some syllable components such as syllable-initial and -final consonants at syllable level.

3. Tones --

The acquisition of tone would be early, probably due to its capacity in differentiating lexical meaning and fulfilling children's communicative intentions.

4. Vowels --

Children would make fewer vowel errors compared with syllable-initial consonant errors, probably because vowels are compulsory components of syllables in Putonghua.
**3.2 METHOD**

**3.2.1 CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY AS A DATA COLLECTION APPROACH**

A cross-sectional study approach was adopted in this study. In a typical cross-sectional study, a number of children would be selected from several age bands and a large sample would be collected from these children. The assumption underlying a cross-sectional study is that if enough subjects are sampled, a typical developmental pattern that minimises individual differences in the rate and patterns of development will be established (see Ingram, 1989a, for discussions of the methodological issues of cross-sectional design). Therefore the purpose of this type of study is usually to present a representative picture of children’s development over a certain period and to establish norms for the rate and patterns of development for children of a particular age. The norms may be used either for cross linguistic comparison or for detecting children with problems in speech and language development.

The size of the sample in cross-sectional studies is essential. Ideally, the larger the size is, the more representative the finding will be in statistical terms. However, due to the enormous task a large size of sample will impose on the researcher either in transcription or analysis, the size of the sample is usually decided in the light of the research questions.

Table 3.1 summarises previous cross-sectional studies on phonological acquisition in English and other languages. In these studies, the number of children selected ranged from 20 to 480 children. Topbas (1997) used only 20 children in her cross-sectional study, since her study was intended to describe the phonological rules typical of normal development of Turkish-speaking children rather than establish norms.
Templin’s (1957) study had the largest sample size, with 60 children from each of eight age bands. In addition to articulation, she investigated children’s perception, sentence development and receptive vocabulary.

Table 3.1 Previous cross-sectional studies on phonological acquisition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Aims of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wellman, et al. (1931)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>204; aged 2;0-6;0</td>
<td>Developmental age of phonemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poole (1934)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>65; aged 2;6-8;6</td>
<td>Developmental age of phonemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templin (1957)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>480; aged 3;0-8;0</td>
<td>Articulation development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prather, et al (1975)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>147; aged 2;0-4;0</td>
<td>Articulation skill in terms of distinctive features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irwin &amp; Wong (1983)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>100; aged 1;5-6;0</td>
<td>Normative development in terms of distinctive features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimenez (1987)</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>120; aged 3;0-5;7</td>
<td>Developmental age of phonemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowrer &amp; Burger Xhosa (1991)</td>
<td>Xhosa</td>
<td>70; aged 2;6-6;0</td>
<td>Comparative analysis of phonological acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So &amp; Dodd (1995)</td>
<td>Cantonese</td>
<td>268; aged 2;0-6;0</td>
<td>Phoneme repertoires and phonological error patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topbas (1997)</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>20; aged 1;0-3;0</td>
<td>Phonological rules typical of normal development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cross-sectional studies have strength in that it is feasible to make generalisations on the developmental characteristics of the children, based on the extensive data collected in the study, with statistical analysis. These generalisations, or norms, can be further referred to in judging whether a child follows a typical developmental path, or deviates from normal development. Generally speaking, it is easier to conduct and control a
cross-sectional study than longitudinal research. The weakness of cross-sectional study is that it is unable to trace a sequential developmental pattern of a particular child. Therefore, the findings are only probabilistic statements regarding the rate and pattern of development. These strengths and weaknesses of cross-sectional studies should be taken into account in the data interpretation.

### 3.2.2 SUBJECTS

In the present study, the phonological acquisition of 134 children aged 1;6-4;6 was assessed. The children were recruited from five nurseries and kindergartens in Beijing. School records and parental reports ensured that all of the children were acquiring Putonghua as their first language, and had no intellectual or hearing impairment. Preliminary analysis of the data revealed that five children had very atypical speech errors and were therefore excluded from the present normative data analysis. The 129 children were divided into six age groups of six month intervals. The subject characteristics of each group are outlined in Table 3.2.

A balanced distribution was achieved between boys and girls within each age group. Altogether there were 61 girls and 68 boys. Among the children, 68 were from the kindergarten attached to Beijing Normal University (BNU) and 61 from the District kindergartens. Among the children from the BNU kindergarten, 22 children aged 3;1-4;6 were learning English, a language whose phonology is significantly different from Putonghua (see further in 2.12). These children had attended English classes on Sundays regularly for between six months and one year. Apart from 4 hours in these classes, they had some English practice with their parents at home. Nineteen children from the same kindergarten who had no English training background were also sampled.
Table 3.2 Subject information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Non-English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.3 MATERIALS

Picture-naming and picture-description tasks were used to collect speech samples. For the picture-naming task, 44 words were used to sample all of the tones and all of the phonemes in each legal word position (see Appendix 1). The word list included 39 nouns likely to be known by young children (e.g., nose, apple, bird, bed, sun). Four verb phrases (thank you, bye-bye, brush teeth, wash face) frequently used with young children in daily interactions were included in the picture-naming task. One colour term was also used (red). High quality colour drawings that were attractive to children were prepared. The drawings were laminated on A5 white cards. Five pictures of scenes incorporating most of the objects and actions in the picture-naming task were also prepared. Due to the lack of information on the frequency distribution of Putonghua phonemes in speech, the choice of target words and phrases was primarily motivated by their familiarity to young children and imageability for producing the pictures. Thus the frequency of phonemes in the test varied. Appendix
2 summarises frequency distribution of syllable-initial consonants, vowels, syllable-final consonants, tones, tone sandhi, weak stress and rhotacisation in the picture-naming task.

3.2.4 PROCEDURE

The children were assessed individually in a quiet room at their nursery or kindergarten. If the child failed to say the target word in the picture naming task, the examiner would offer semantic or contextual prompts. If it was impossible to elicit a spontaneous production of the target word, the child would be asked to imitate the examiner. Imitated responses were noted on the record form. If the child produced the wrong word, the examiner would ask the child to say the word again. Up to three attempts were made to elicit the correct pronunciation of the word. Repetitions were noted on the record form.

The children were also asked to describe what they saw in the five picture scenes, to elicit continuous speech. The children were asked either 'Can you tell me what's happening here?' or 'What's funny about this picture?'. Each session lasted between 10 and 15 minutes and was audiotaped using a Sony professional micro recorder.

3.2.5 IMITATED PRODUCTION

Although efforts were made to use the commonest words and phrases in the picture-naming task, the objective of sampling all the phonemes in each legal word position and tones meant that some of the words and phrases used may be less familiar to
some children than others. There were occasions when the child failed to produce the target word spontaneously, for the following reasons:

- The target word was beyond the child’s conceptual and lexical ability and therefore the task of accessing an appropriate lexical representation distracted the child from fulfilling the phonological task.

- The child was actively using an avoidance strategy when s/he found certain sounds too difficult to pronounce (Macken & Ferguson, 1983).

In the present study, the children were asked to imitate the examiner when they failed to produce the target word or phrase spontaneously. Imitated responses were taken into account only when the age of phone emergence was calculated, since the focus was children’s articulation ability. When age of phoneme stabilisation, i.e. phonological accuracy, was calculated, imitated responses were excluded. It should be noted that the frequency of occurrence of imitated responses decreased with age. The mean frequency and standard deviation (in parentheses) of occurrence of imitated responses for each age group in the sample was: 1;6-2;0: 12.04 (6.01); 2;1-2;6: 6.79 (4.11); 2;7-3;0: 4.42 (2.92); 3;1-3;6: 2.73 (2.61); 3;7-4;0: 1.27 (1.48); 4;1-4;6: 0.81 (0.88). Statistical analysis showed that there was a significant age effect in the frequency of occurrence of imitated responses (one-way ANOVA: $F_{(5,120)} = 28.7599, p<.001$).

There were some words that children, especially those in the younger age groups, tended to fail to produce spontaneously, for conceptual, lexical or cultural reasons. The five most frequently imitated words were /ny xac/ (girl, 25.6% of children), /gin/
(heart, 25.6%), /tɕʰyn tsi/ (skirt, 21.7%), /nən xae/ (boy, 20.9%), and /ɕi lɨn/ (wash face, 16.2%). The five least frequently imitated words were /tɕʰioul/ (ball, 0.02%), /ɕio tɕʰi tɕʰY/ (car, 0.02%), /ɕi kuA/ (watermelon, 0.04%), /ɕ tuo/ (ear, 0.04%), and /ɕua ia/ (brush teeth, 0.05%).

3.2.6 TRANSCRIPTION

The data from the picture-naming and picture description tasks were transcribed. Incomplete responses (use of a shortened word, similar to the use of ‘plane’ for ‘airplane’ in English) were marked and excluded in the data analysis. Recordings from 20 children were independently transcribed by another phonetician to check the transcription reliability. The inter-transcriber reliability for syllable-initial word-initial consonants, syllable-initial within-word, syllable-final word-final, syllable-final within-word consonants and vowels was 97.6%, 94.6%, 98.1%, 98.5%, and 97.8% respectively.

3.3 DATA ANALYSIS

3.3.1 PHONEME EMERGENCE

A phoneme was considered to have emerged when 90% of the children in an age group produced the sound at least once, irrespective of whether it was the correct target. This measure determined when the children were able to articulate each sound.
Each phoneme occurred in the sample once or several times. Since there was a certain amount of inconsistency in children’s production, a criterion was needed to derive the age of phoneme stabilisation. A sound was considered stable when the child produced the sound correctly on at least two of three opportunities. When 90% of the children in an age group achieved an accuracy rating of at least 66.7% (i.e. 2/3) for a phoneme, the phoneme would be considered to have been stabilised by that age group. To balance various actual occurrence of phonemes in the task, the following accuracy rating formula was applied (see Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1982; Shriberg, et al, 1997):

\[
\text{Accuracy Rating} = \frac{\text{The number of times a phoneme is produced correctly}}{\text{The number of opportunities for phoneme production}}
\]

Since phoneme development is a continuum ranging from the initial stage of being able to articulate a sound in isolation to the final stage of being able to articulate a sound both phonetically and phonologically accurately, it is important to define the terms and criteria in describing phoneme acquisition. Following previous studies (Prather et al, 1975; So & Dodd, 1995), this study adopted a 90% criterion in determining age of acquisition. Data on 75% of the age groups are also presented for comparison with other studies. One of the reasons for setting up a 90% criterion is that the prevalence figure for phonologically delayed and disordered children is reported to be about 10% of the normal population (National Institute on Deafness and Other communication Disorders, 1994).
3.3.3 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES

The importance of phonological processes lies in that they can be understood as children's simplifying strategies (Stampe, 1973). Therefore, they are a useful descriptive tool in describing and classifying the error patterns in the children's speech (for definitions of phonological processes, see Section 1.3.1).

The consistent differences between children’s realisations and target forms are described as phonological processes. In the data, if 10% of the children of the same age group were found to use the same phonemes (in terms of place or manner of articulation) to replace certain target sounds, that process would be recorded. The percentage of the children in each age group using that process was calculated to measure the developmental pattern of the process involved.

3.3.4 PERCENTAGE OF CONSONANTS IN ERROR

*Percentage of Consonants In Error (PCE):* PCE for each child was calculated by the formula \( \frac{\text{number of times phonemes are produced in error}}{\text{total number of phonemes in the sample}} \times 100 \). (cf. *Percentage of Consonants Correct* proposed by Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1982).

3.3.5 CONSISTENCY OF PRODUCTION

The variation in production of the same phoneme at the same syllable position was investigated. The variation may be an indication of children’s development when there is variation between the correct target and a developmental error. In contrast, a range of error forms used for the same phoneme in the same phonetic context may
reflect acquisition difficulties (Dodd, 1995). Consistency in the realisation forms of the same sound in picture naming and spontaneous speech was compared to investigate the stability of the children's realisations.

3.3.6 COMPARISON OF CONNECTED AND SINGLE WORD SPEECH

Many researchers assume that children would make more errors in continuous speech than in single words because connected speech is linguistically more complex (e.g., constructing sentences) (Shriberg, Austin, Lewis, McSweeny, & Wilson, 1997). It is necessary to test this assumption to determine the speech mode most indicative of phonological ability. The production of all of the words elicited in both speech conditions by 18 children (three from each age group) was compared.

3.4 RESULTS

3.4.1 OVERVIEW OF SPEECH ERRORS

Errors were classified into three types: syllable-initial; syllable-final; and vowel errors. The mean number of all types of errors decreased with age (see Table 3.3). Compared to the mean error of 30.76 in the youngest age group, the oldest group's mean error was only 7.45. The proportion of syllable-initial consonant errors in the total number of errors was greater than that of vowel and syllable-final consonant errors. The proportion of syllable-initial error increased with age range while the proportion of vowel errors decreased, suggesting vowel acquisition was complete earlier than that of syllable-initial consonants.
Table 3.3 Overview of speech error in different age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>1;6-2;0</th>
<th>2;1-2;6</th>
<th>2;7-3;0</th>
<th>3;1-3;6</th>
<th>3;7-4;0</th>
<th>4;1-4;6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total error</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean error</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>26.04</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Syllable-initial error</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Syllable-final error</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Vowel error</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.2 EMERGENCE OF SYLLABLE-INITIAL CONSONANTS

By 4;6, 90% of the children were able to articulate all the 21 syllable-initial consonants (Table 3.4). Among the first sounds produced by 90% of the children were nasals; alveolar stops; alveolo-palatal fricatives and affricates; and the velar stop and fricative. The two alveolar affricates and the alveolar approximant appeared later. In terms of features, some unaspirated sounds emerged earlier than their aspirated pairs (e.g., /k/ and /kh/); some unaspirated sounds emerged more or less simultaneously with aspirated pairs (e.g., /ts/ and /ts'h/). The six affricates occurred later than the stop /t/ which has the same place of the articulation. However, the continuants at the same place of articulation did not necessarily appear before the affricates. For example, /ts/ and /ts'h/ emerged later than /s/, but /ts'/ and /ts'h'/ emerged earlier than /s'/. The three alveolo-palataals emerged very early in the children's speech.
Table 3.4 Age of emergence of syllable-initial consonants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>90% Criterion</th>
<th>75% Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
<td>$t, th, k, m, n, x, t\emptyset, t\emptyset h, ç$</td>
<td>$t, th, k, m, n, f, s, x, t\emptyset, t\emptyset h, ç, p\emptyset, p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>$f, s, ts$</td>
<td>$s, ts, t\emptyset h, kh$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>$p, l$</td>
<td>$ts, l$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>$p\emptyset, kh, ts\emptyset$</td>
<td>$s, ts\emptyset$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>$s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>$ts, ts\emptyset, s$</td>
<td>$s$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4.3 STABILISATION OF SYLLABLE-INITIAL CONSONANTS

The age of stabilisation of syllable-initial consonants is summarised in Table 3.5. The stabilisation of phonemes in Putonghua phonology, compared with their age of emergence, can be categorised into the following three groups:

- phonemes which were stabilised as soon as the child was able to utter them. These phonemes were basically error-free, e.g., /l/, /m/, /p/;

- phonemes which took a relatively short period to become stabilised after the child was able to utter them, e.g., /n/, /l/, /x/; and

- phonemes which took a long time to become stabilised after the child was able to utter them, e.g., /t\emptyset, /t\emptyset h/, /s/.
Table 3.5 Age of stabilisation of syllable-initial consonants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>90% Criterion</th>
<th>75% Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0 t, m</td>
<td>t, th, m, n, x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6 n</td>
<td>p, ph, k, kh, c, t, th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0 p, th, f, x, c</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6 k, kh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0 ph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6 l, s, Ɂ, t, th</td>
<td>l, s, Ɂ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4;6 Ɉ, tɈ, tɈh, ts, tsh</td>
<td>tɈ, tɈh, ts, tsh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.4 VOWELS

Vowels emerged very early in development. The youngest group of children were able to pronounce all of the simple vowels. Vowel errors were classified into the following categories (see Table 3.6):

Table 3.6 Percentage of children using processes affecting vowels (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Triphthong reduction</th>
<th>Diphthong reduction</th>
<th>Substitutes</th>
<th>Assimilation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Triphthong reduction:** triphthongs were often reduced to diphthongs (in most cases) or sometimes to monophthongs. The middle vowel, the main vowel in Putonghua triphthongs, was maintained and one of the other vowels was deleted. This error pattern was most evident for the triphthong /iɑo/. 37% of the children reduced this triphthong. Of these children, 29% used /iɑ/ and only 8% used /ɑo/.

The second most frequently reduced triphthong was /uei/ (10% of the children): the most frequent reduction form was /ei/ (7% of the children).

• **Diphthong reduction:** diphthongs were often reduced to a simple vowel. The vowel retained was the louder and more sonorant vowel of a diphthong. The children tended to produce the second element of ongliding diphthongs when reduced. For example, 12% of the children realised /ua/ as /a/ once or several times in their speech production and none of them realised it as /u/. The first element of offgliding diphthongs was most often maintained. Thus, more children replaced /ɑo/ with [A] than with [o].

• **Vowel substitution:** some of the children substituted vowels at the same time when they deleted consonants (34.7% of vowel substitutions). When a syllable-final consonant was deleted, the vowel was lengthened, most frequently with the vowel /ɛ/. As a result, a monophthong in the target syllable would turn into a diphthong ending with [ɛ], a diphthong would turn into a triphthong ending with [ɛ]. Other vowel substitution errors were not systematic.
• **Assimilation:** vowel assimilation occurs when two adjacent syllables shared the same vowel where target vowels should be different. This process was found in the speech of a very small group of children.

### 3.4.5 TONE

Tonal errors were rare, even in the youngest group of children. Only two tonal errors were observed in the entire data corpus and they were produced by children in the youngest age group. The two tonal errors were [ɕiɛ4] for /ɕiɛ2/ and [uan2] for /uan3/. Five children in the youngest age group and three children in the second youngest age group occasionally used citation tones when tones should be adjusted according to tone sandhi rules. As Li & Thompson (1977) pointed out, a child who is able to adjust tones in single word context may not necessarily have acquired the tone sandhi rule. It is likely that s/he manages to learn the single words as adjusted forms without being aware of tone sandhi rules. An analogy is that an English-speaking child who uses ‘went’ may not know anything about the past tense. Alternatively, the scarcity of tone sandhi errors in the study may be an artefact of the cross-sectional design, in that tone sandhi rules may be acquired during a very short period of time and a cross-sectional study is unable to capture such rapid changes. A longitudinal study is needed to trace the development of tones.

### 3.4.6 STRESS

Data concerning the acquisition of weakly stressed syllables are shown in Table 3.7. Weakly stressed syllables were evident in 57% of the youngest group of children’s speech. Only 36% of the oldest group were able to correctly stress all the 13 weak
stresses. Almost all of the weak stress errors were associated with the pitch level and duration. Of the ‘affix’ weak syllable type, 42.3% of the total errors were weakly stressed syllable deletions; for example, [pi2] for /pi2 tsiO/. The remaining errors involved pitch level and intensity: the children either used citation tone of /tsi3/, i.e. the falling-rising tone, or lengthened the syllable. Of the other three weak stress syllable types, most of the errors (93.4%) occurred when children used the citation tone (the pitch level of the syllable when pronounced individually, e.g., /tou2 fA0/ was realised as [tou2 fA4]).

Table 3.7 Percentage of children using weak stress in different age groups (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Emerge 50% correct</th>
<th>60% correct</th>
<th>70% correct</th>
<th>80% correct</th>
<th>90% correct</th>
<th>100% correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Compared to the cases when the children could stress 50%-100% of the target weak stresses correctly, ‘emerge’ refers to the cases when the children were able to produce the target weak stress once or several times.

3.4.7 RHOTACISED FEATURE

Only 57% of the youngest group used the rhotacised form once or several times (see Table 3.8). However, over 90% of the children over 2;0 and all the children over 3;6
used rhotacised forms. The rhotacised feature was not acquired 'across the board'. With four words which are always rhotacised in adult speech, some children rhotacised some of them while using non-rhotacised forms for the others.

Table 3.8 Percentage of children rhotacising target words in different age groups (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Emerge</th>
<th>uan</th>
<th>mən</th>
<th>ɕiŋ</th>
<th>xua</th>
<th>nico</th>
<th>ɕywn</th>
<th>məo</th>
<th>tɻi</th>
<th>tɻʰy</th>
<th>nyxae</th>
<th>nanxae</th>
<th>yan</th>
<th>tɻʰyan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 'Emerge' refers to cases when the children were able to produce rhotacisation once or several times.

3.4.8 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES

3.4.8.1 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES AFFECTING SYLLABLE-INITIAL POSITION

The phonological processes affecting syllable-initial position can be generalised into three groups: assimilation, deletion and systematic substitution. Table 3.9 summarises the data.
Table 3.9 Phonological processes affecting syllable-initial consonants and percentage of children using these processes in different age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of children using processes (%)</th>
<th>Most common error types and percentages of children in all age groups using error types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-4;6</td>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC of one syllable harmonises with IC of another syllable; 21%. e.g. ɕiŋŋ. tɕ. tɑːo: dɑŋ. tɑːo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC harmonises with final consonant by being nasalised; 17%. e.g. ɕi. liɛm; ɕi. niɛn; ɣɛ. liɑŋ; ɣɛ. niɑŋ/ myɛ. niɑŋ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC harmonises with final velar by being velarised; 2%. e.g. tsuɑŋ; xuɑŋ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC deletion</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC deletion before high vowels /i/, /y/ &amp; /u/; 37%. e.g. tsuiɛ: uɛi; tɕʰisión: yn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/l/ deletion; 16%. e.g. liɛm; iɛm; liɑŋ: iɑŋ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fronting</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retroflex fricatives and affricates become alveolars; 77%. e.g. sʰ; tˢ; tʃsʰ; tʃsʰ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolo-palatal fricatives &amp; affricates become post-alveolars; 36%. e.g. ʃ; tɕ; tʃ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velar stops become alveolar stops; 16%. e.g. k; t; k; d; kʰ; tʰ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar affricates and fricative become post-alveolar; 65%. e.g. tʃj; dʒ; s; ʃ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fricatives become a glottal fricative; 5%. e.g. f; h; ʂ; ʐ; x; h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-velarisation occurs before a high vowel /u/; 24%. e.g. ʂu: xu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-velarisation occurs before a high vowel /i/ &amp; [y]; 23%. e.g. ɕi: xi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ʃ/ becomes X-velarised; 8 %. e.g. fA: xA; fei: xeɪ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Cont...)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>63</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>92</th>
<th>76</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>46</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stopping</td>
<td>tɛ / tɛʰ / tʃ / tʃʰ / ts / tsʰ: t / d / tʰ: 63%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x : k/g; 22%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ç / n / l / ɛ : v/d; 13%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affrication</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s / ʃ / ç : ts / dʒ; 34%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ç : tɛ / tɛʰ; 22%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tʃʰ : ts; 21%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tʃʰ : tʃ; 20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tʃʰ / tʃʰ / tʰ: v/d; 16%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tʃʰ : tɛ; 8%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kʰ : k; 5%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pʰ : p; 2%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t : tʰ; 15%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ç / tɛ : tɛʰ; 15%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k : kʰ; 3%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliding</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x : [j]; 28%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The most typical realisation of these processes and their sub-categories are outlined below:

- **Assimilation.** Assimilation occurs when one or more distinctive features of a sound is transferred to an adjacent sound. The transference can take place both within a syllable and across syllables and is thus highly context-sensitive. Twenty one percent of the children harmonised a syllable-initial consonant with another consonant and 17% of the children nasalised syllable-initial consonants. Both progressive and regressive assimilation were found in the data.

- **Deletion.** Syllable-initial consonant deletion was very common in the youngest group. It happened most frequently before the vowels /i/, /y/ and /u/. For example, a number of the children deleted /l/ in the target word /liːn/ and /ts/ in /tsuei/.

- **Fronting.** While the most typical fronting pattern is the realisation of target velar sounds as alveolars in English-speaking children, only 16% of the Putonghua-speaking children in this study have used this pattern. The majority of the children (77%) fronted the retroflex sounds by realising them as alveolars and 36% replaced the alveolo-palatals with post-alveolars, which do not exist in Putonghua phonology.

- **Back ing.** Backing occurs when the place of articulation is backed. This category is rarely reported in the studies of other languages. However, in terms of the percentage of children using the process, it is the second most frequent process used by Putonghua-speaking children: 65% of the children substituted post-alveolars for alveolars. For example, /suə/ was realised as [ʃua].
• X-velarisation. X-velarisation was another frequent form of backing, and so frequent that it has been categorised as a group of its own for clarity: 48% of the children used [x], a velar fricative, to replace other fricatives and affricates. In most cases, X-velarisation process occurred either before the vowel /u/ or before the vowels /i/ or /y/.

• Stopping and affrication. The most common type of stopping (63%) in the data was the use of stops of the same place or nearest place of articulation in the place of affricates. Its opposite process, affrication, was used by a relatively small number of the children (34%).

• Deaspiration and aspiration. Deaspiration (56%) occurred significantly more frequently than the aspiration process (32%) and was often associated with other processes such as deaffrication and fronting. Among all the aspirated sounds, the aspirated retroflex /tʰʃ/ and alveolo-palatal /tʃʰ/ were most frequently deaspirated while /pʰ/ was rarely deaspirated.

• Gliding. /ɬ/ was replaced with [j] by 28% of the children. Besides this type of substitution, there were 4% of the children replacing /ɬ/ with the liquid [l].
3.4.8.2 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES AFFECTING SYLLABLE-FINAL POSITION

In Putonghua, there are only two possible syllable-final consonants and they are both nasal, /n/ and /ŋ/. Both of these syllable-final consonants occurred very early in the children's inventory. In the data, all the children in the youngest age group were able to articulate these two phonemes. The five phonological processes associated with these two syllable-final consonants were /n/ deletion, /ŋ/ deletion, replacing /n/ with [ŋ], replacing /ŋ/ with [n] and syllable-final consonant addition. Examples are listed in Table 3.10.

**Table 3.10** Phonological processes affecting syllable-final consonants and percentage of children using these phonological processes in all age groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Percentage of children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/n/ deletion</td>
<td>san</td>
<td>sa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ŋ/ deletion</td>
<td>φhŋ</td>
<td>φŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacing /n/ with [ŋ]</td>
<td>san</td>
<td>sanŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacing /ŋ/ with [n]</td>
<td>φhŋ</td>
<td>φhn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllable-final consonant addition</td>
<td>niao</td>
<td>nian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two most frequently used processes were /n/ deletion and replacing /n/ with [ŋ], used by 57% and 55% of children respectively. /ŋ/ deletion was ranked the third most
common process. However, the other two processes, syllable-final consonant addition and replacing /ŋ/ with [n] rarely occurred. It is worth noting that all the syllable-final consonants in the children’s speech were 'legal' nasal consonants. No other consonant occurred in syllable-final position even among the youngest age group.

3.4.9 CONSISTENCY OF PRODUCTION

The children’s realisations of sounds in different linguistic contexts were highly consistent. Individual sound productions were compared between the connected speech and single word tasks. Vowel, syllable-initial word-initial consonant, syllable-initial within-word consonant, syllable-final word-final consonant, and syllable-final within-word consonant consistencies were 98.9%, 84.4%, 95.3%, 96.9% and 87.7% respectively.

3.4.10 COMPARISON OF CONNECTED AND SINGLE WORD SPEECH

The children’s speech accuracy in continuous speech was comparable to their accuracy in the picture-naming task. Of the 18 children compared, seven gave more correct responses in picture-description than they did in picture-naming; five gave the same number of correct responses in both modes; and six gave more correct responses in picture-naming (see Table 3.11). A t-test ($p=.714$) indicated there was no significant difference between the two speech samples in terms of the number of correct responses.
Table 3.11  Comparison of speech production in picture-naming and picture-description tasks (based on 18 children randomly selected from all age groups)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. of syllables targeted in both tasks</th>
<th>No. of correct syllables in Picture-naming</th>
<th>No. of correct syllables in Picture-description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2;0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4.11 VARIABLES

Age: ANOVA shows that there was a significant age effect on children's PCE score (\(F_{5,120}=38.648, p<.001\)). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests show that younger age groups tend to be significantly different from each other. The results are summarised in Table 3.12.

Table 3.12 Multiple comparisons of different age groups on PCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group I</th>
<th>Age group II</th>
<th>Mean differences</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>0.1177</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>0.1884</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>0.2304</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>0.2753</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>0.2935</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>0.1127</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>0.1577</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>0.1759</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>0.0420</td>
<td>.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>0.0869</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>0.1051</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>0.0450</td>
<td>.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>0.0631</td>
<td>.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>.990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gender: MANOVA (group: boys vs. girls x conditions: six age groups) results reveal no significant difference between girls and boys for PCE (Pillais test: $F_{(1, 127)} = .0902; p = .325$; Wilks test: $F_{(1, 127)} = .9098; p = .325$). Nor is there a significant interaction between age and gender for PCE ($F_{(5, 117)} = .38, p = .863$).

Exposure to English: one-way ANOVA finds no significant difference for PCE between the children who had second language learning experience and the group who had not ($F_{(1, 35)} = 2.122, p = .154$).

3.5 DISCUSSION

As described in Chapter 2, Putonghua syllables have four possible elements: tone, syllable-initial consonant, vowel, and syllable-final consonant. Analyses of speech samples from 129 monolingual Putonghua-speaking children, aged 1;6 to 4;6, suggest that Putonghua-speaking children acquired these elements in the following order: tones were acquired first; then syllable-final consonants and vowels; and syllable-initial consonants were acquired last. Phonetic acquisition of the 21 syllable-initial consonants was complete by 3;6 for 75% of children. By 4;6 the children were using the syllable-initial consonants correctly on two thirds of occasions (with the exception of four affricates). Simple vowels emerged early in development. However, triphthongs and diphthongs were prone to systematic errors. Tone errors were rare. In contrast, acquisition of 'weak stress' and 'rhotacised feature' was incomplete in the oldest children assessed. Compared with children acquiring other languages, Putonghua-speaking children generally shared the tendency of structural and systemic simplifications in their production. There were also some differences in the processes used by the children acquiring Putonghua. For example, syllable-initial consonant
deletion and backing, which are considered atypical error patterns in English, were evident in the speech of the children acquiring Putonghua. While the affect of age was significant in the children's PCE, gender and exposure to English were found to have little influence on the children's phonological acquisition.

3.5.1 PHONEME ACQUISITION

The order of phoneme acquisition in Putonghua provides evidence for and against various theories of acquisition. Jakobson's (1941/1968) law of irreversible solidarity predicts that nasals should be acquired before orals, front consonants before back consonants, and stops before fricatives. The Putonghua-speaking children acquired nasals before orals, and stops before fricatives. However, front consonants (/p/, /pʰ/, /m/ and /l/) were acquired at about the same stage as back consonants (/k/, /kʰ/, /x/ and /ŋ/). The three alveolo-palatal sounds, which are very rare in the world's major languages, were acquired relatively early (75% of children by 2;6). These data do not support Jakobson's proposal that the frequency of a phoneme across the world's languages reflects the order of acquisition of the phoneme.

The last 10 phonemes to be acquired in Putonghua include all the three retroflex sounds, all the six affricates and both liquids. The late acquisition of these sounds, believed to be difficult to articulate and perceive (Locke, 1983), supports the hypothesis that biological constraints affect the order of phoneme acquisition. However, a closer comparison of the age of emergence of syllable-initial consonants and the age of stabilisation of these consonants (see Tables 3.4 and 3.5) casts some doubt on the role of articulatory constraints. Some of the late-stabilised sounds
emerged very early in children’s speech. In the youngest age group, 90% of the subjects were able to articulate the affricates /tʃ/ and /tʃʰ/ once or more. By 2;6, 90% of children were able to utter the retroflex /tʂ/ and alveolar fricative /s/. However, it was not until the children were aged over 4;0 that they began to consistently use these sounds correctly. The delay between emergence and stabilisation indicates that articulatory constraints were not a major factor in the phonological acquisition.

3.5.2 FEATURE ACQUISITION

There was a clear developmental sequence of feature acquisition. The features of aspiration, affrication and retroflex were acquired last. Late acquisition of affrication has been reported for a variety of languages. English-speaking children acquired the two affricates in English (i.e./tʃ/ and /dʒ/) later than other phonemes (Olmsted, 1971; Prather et al, 1975). So & Dodd (1995) also found that Cantonese-speaking children acquired the two affricates after all the other phonemes. Timm (1977, cited in Locke, 1983) claimed that in Russian the affricate /tʃ/ ranked eighth of the 33 consonants in terms of error scores.

However, it is premature to conclude that affrication is a marked feature. Locke (1983) discussed the research into other languages that have a different pattern of affrication acquisition. For example, two affricates were among the first group of phonemes to be acquired in Japanese (Yasuda, 1970, cited in Locke, 1983). Battacchi, et al. (1964, cited in Locke, 1983) also reported the early acquisition of the affricate /tʃ/ by Italian children.
The discrepancies associated with the acquisition of a particular feature such as affrication highlight the possibility of the influence of the ambient language on acquisition. The cross-linguistic differences also reflect the explanatory inadequacies of the theoretical concept of markedness or default features. The current theories are able to explain acquisition order similarities. However, they do not account for cross-linguistic differences.

3.5.3 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES

Phonological processes are 'a mental operation that applies in speech to substitute, for a class of sounds or sound sequences presenting a common difficulty to the speech capacity of the individual, an alternative class identical but lacking in the difficult property' (Stampe, 1973, p.1). Stampe viewed processes as an indicator of restricted resources (motor-oral skills, cognitive capacity, perceptive ability) available to children at a particular stage of development. Ingram (1986) proposed a more active role for the children acquiring their phonological system. He viewed phonological processes as 'a universal set of hierarchically ordered procedures used by children to simplify speech' (Ingram, 1986, pp. 223-224). Therefore, these processes can be interpreted as children’s simplification strategies, if it is assumed that the children’s underlying representations resemble those of the adult. This inherent tendency to simplify underlies children’s attempts to realise the target

---

2 Since it is difficult to determine to what extent a child’s underlying representation resembles that of an adult, the phonological processes — the consistent differences between children’s realisations and target forms — may alternatively be interpreted as a realisation of children’s own phonological rules and part of their own phonological systems. In this regard, when a syllable deletion process takes place, the child may produce the simplified form without being aware of the full target form.
pronunciation, irrespective of language. Consequently, all children are expected to have common processes of structural and systemic simplification.

The phonological processes used by the children acquiring the Putonghua phonological system distinctly revealed both universal tendencies and language-specific constraints on acquisition. Structural simplifications such as assimilation, deletion, and reduction, and systemic substitutions such as stopping, fronting, backing, gliding were evident in Putonghua-speaking children’s speech sample. These processes are similar across languages. Table 3.13 compares the phonological processes used by Putonghua-, Cantonese-, English-, and Italian-speaking children. Despite discrepancies in terminology and analysis method, the structural simplification processes are very similar. There are noticeable cross-linguistic differences, however, in the systemic substitution processes. Some of these differences can be attributed to the language-specific phonological characteristics.
Table 3.13 Phonological processes used by more than 10% of Putonghua-, Cantonese-, English-, or Italian-speaking children of different age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Processes</th>
<th>Putonghua</th>
<th>Cantonese</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Italian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6-2.0</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>Fronting $g \rightarrow s$</td>
<td>Reduplication</td>
<td>Fronting of velars</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IC deletion</td>
<td>Backing $ts \rightarrow t\xi$</td>
<td>Consonant Harmony</td>
<td>Stopping</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>Final consonant deletion</td>
<td>Gliding $r \rightarrow w$</td>
<td>Weak syllable deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
<td>Stopping $ts \rightarrow t$</td>
<td>Cluster reduction</td>
<td>Context sensitive voicing</td>
<td>Obstruent devoicing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final n deletion</td>
<td>Affrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final $ŋ$ deletion</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n \rightarrowŋ$</td>
<td>Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gliding $s \rightarrow j$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1-2.6</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>Fronting $g \rightarrow s$</td>
<td>Cluster reduction</td>
<td>Stopping $f/s/h/s^h$</td>
<td>Final consonant deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IC deletion</td>
<td>Backing $ts \rightarrow t\xi$</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>Fronting $k^h \rightarrow t$</td>
<td>Cluster reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>$A/k^h$ deletion</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>Affrication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
<td>Stopping $ts \rightarrow t$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final n deletion</td>
<td>Affrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final $ŋ$ deletion</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n \rightarrowŋ$</td>
<td>Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gliding $s \rightarrow j$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7-3.0</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>Fronting $g \rightarrow s$</td>
<td>Cluster reduction</td>
<td>Stopping $f/s/h/s^h$</td>
<td>Final consonant deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IC deletion</td>
<td>Backing $ts \rightarrow t\xi$</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>Fronting $k^h \rightarrow t$</td>
<td>Cluster reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>$A/k^h$ deletion</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>Affrication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
<td>Stopping $ts \rightarrow t$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final n deletion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: $s^h$ represents a voiceless fricative.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1-3.6</th>
<th>Assimilation</th>
<th>Fronting $g$ → $s$</th>
<th>Cluster reduction</th>
<th>Stopping $l$/$s$/$st$</th>
<th>Stopping $l$/$g$/$sto$</th>
<th>Stopping $v$/$o$/$o$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC deletion</td>
<td>Backing $ts$ → $t$s</td>
<td>Fronting $k$→$t$</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>$o$→$i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>Fronting of $A$ $d$ $S$ $f$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
<td>Stopping $ts$ → $t$</td>
<td>Stopping $ts$ → $t$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $n$ deletion</td>
<td>Affrication</td>
<td>Gliding $t$→$j$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $q$ deletion</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.7-4.0</th>
<th>IC deletion</th>
<th>Fronting $g$ → $s$</th>
<th>Cluster reduction</th>
<th>Stopping</th>
<th>$o$→$i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
<td>Backing $ts$ → $t$s</td>
<td>Fronting $k$→$t$</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>$o$→$i$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>Palatalisation of $A$ $d$ $S$ $f$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $n$ deletion</td>
<td>Stopping $ts$ → $t$</td>
<td>Stopping $ts$ → $t$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$→$q$</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>Gliding $t$→$w$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.1-4.6</th>
<th>IC deletion</th>
<th>Fronting $g$ → $s$</th>
<th>Cluster reduction</th>
<th>Stopping</th>
<th>$o$→$i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
<td>Backing $ts$ → $t$s</td>
<td>Fronting $k$→$t$</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>$o$→$i$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>Palatalisation of $A$ $d$ $S$ $f$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $n$ deletion</td>
<td>Stopping $ts$ → $t$</td>
<td>Stopping $ts$ → $t$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$→$q$</td>
<td>Deaspiration</td>
<td>Gliding $t$→$w$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The six aspirated / unaspirated phoneme pairs of Putonghua allowed exploration of the acquisition process. While deaspiration and aspiration processes were both evident, deaspiration was more prevalent (56% of the children deaspirated). Although the children continued to deaspirate phonemes throughout the age groups described, aspiration was suppressed earlier. Cantonese-speaking children's use of aspiration and deaspiration processes is similar to Putonghua children's (So & Dodd, 1995). These patterns suggest that children acquire the unmarked before the marked member of a pair irrespective of language. These findings support the existence of universal tendencies in cross-linguistic phonological acquisition.

Some error patterns, such as syllable-initial consonant deletion, indicate the children's high sensitivity to the characteristics of Putonghua phonology. Syllable-initial consonant deletion always occurred before the vowels /i/, /u/ or /y/. This pattern may reflect the flexible function of these three vowels. The vowels /i/, /u/ and /y/ can occur either as monophthongs, or as a component of diphthongs and triphthongs, such as /iɛ/ or /uei/. As mentioned in Chapter 2, the status of these vowels has been debated. Traditionally these sounds have been described as the first element of a diphthong or triphthong: they function as 'medial sounds' or 'prenucleus glides' between the syllable-initial consonant and the following vowel, having a shorter duration than the following main vowels. Several studies (e.g. Wang, 1989) have challenged this traditional description and proposed that the Putonghua syllable has a branching onset consisting of a consonant and a glide (i.e. syllable-initial consonant and /i/, /u/ or /y/). In other words, these three vowels form part of syllable-
initial clusters. Therefore, the process of syllable-initial consonant deletion could be considered as cluster reduction.

As reviewed in Section 1.2.1.1, acquisition of the liquid /l/ has been widely discussed in cross-linguistic studies. Bortolini & Leonard (1991) compared the acquisition of this phoneme in several languages. They discovered that /l/ was frequently replaced by [l] in Italian-, Hindi-, Igbo-, Portuguese-, Quiche- and Spanish-speaking children. However, English-speaking children substituted the glide [w]. In this study, 28% of Putonghua-speaking children substituted [j], another glide, for /l/. Only 4% of the children replaced the sound with [l]. Bortolini & Leonard argued that the cross-linguistic difference between English and Italian was due to the restricted use of /w/ in Italian, as well as phonetic differences in each language. However, similar restrictions do not account for Putonghua-speaking children’s use of /j/ rather than /w/. In Putonghua, /w/ and /j/ are variants of medial or pre-nucleus vowels /u/ and /i/. They are equally flexible in their combinations with other vowels or consonants. Other factors must affect the pattern of children’s systemic simplification of speech.

3.5.4 FACTORS AFFECTING SYSTEMIC SIMPLIFICATION

The acquisition of Putonghua phonology has shown that children do not simplify their speech by replacing difficult sounds with sounds that are easier to articulate. The long delay between emergence and stabilisation of some phonemes (particularly the three alveolo-palatals) undermines the role of biological constraints on stage of acquisition. Further, while markedness may account for some error patterns (e.g., the
unidirectional replacement of aspirated sounds with unaspirated sounds), it does not account for cross-linguistic differences in the acquisition order of affricates.

The concept of functional load directly links order of phoneme acquisition to the role of those phonemes in a phonological system. However, previous proponents of functional load (e.g. Pye et al, 1987) have failed to investigate the influence of aspects of phonology other than consonants on order of acquisition (So & Dodd, 1995). A simplified analysis of the impact of functional load, measuring only the load of consonants, does not explain the acquisition order of Putonghua. For example, Pye et al (1987) argued that /ŋ/ has lower functional load in English than /m/ because /ŋ/ does not occur initially and thus has a smaller number of oppositions. In the same vein, /ŋ/ should also have a lower functional load in Putonghua than /n/, since /ŋ/ does not occur word-initially in Putonghua. Consequently, children should acquire /n/ before /ŋ/. However, as shown in Table 3.10, the children made more errors on /n/. They either deleted /n/ or substituted /ŋ/. The phoneme /ŋ/, with a lower functional load, was acquired before /n/. These data suggest that Pye et al’s (1987) notion of functional load is inadequate. Alternatively, the order of acquisition might be determined by the phonological saliency of a component within the language.

**3.5.5 PHONOLOGICAL SALIENCY**

The notion of phonological saliency has been alluded to by others (e.g. Peters, 1983; Vihman, 1996), but there is no agreement on its definition. In the context of the
current study, we use phonological saliency as a syllable-based, language-specific concept. It is determined and affected by a combination of several factors:

- The status of a component in the syllable structure, especially whether it is compulsory or optional; a compulsory component is more salient than an optional one;

- The capacity of a component in differentiating lexical meaning of a syllable; a component which is more capable of distinguishing lexical information is more salient than one which carries less lexical information;

- The number of permissible choices within a component in the syllable structure. e.g. 21 syllable-initial consonants would be considered less salient compared to four tonal contrasts.

It should be noted that the concept of phonological saliency is different from linguistic markedness in that it is cognitive in nature and characterises the accessibility or noticeability of certain linguistic forms to children. Slobin (1979, 1985) also discussed the notion of saliency with particular regards to the acquisition of grammatical structure. He proposed a series of 'operating principles', by which the learner perceives and processes the linguistic input and organises it in his/her internal system.

Tone has the highest saliency in Putonghua: it is compulsory for every syllable; change of tone would change lexical meaning; and there are only four alternative choices. Lexical information of a word in Putonghua is conveyed by both tone and phoneme sequence. Therefore, tone is crucial in differentiating lexical meaning. In
contrast, other syllable components are less vital: information lost by an incorrect
phoneme within a phoneme sequence can be remedied to some extent by other
phonemes in the sequence (e.g., in English we could guess that [lɛlou] means yellow).
The phoneme sequence as a whole unit shares the task of conveying lexical meaning.
Therefore, the significance of each phoneme in a sequence is less than each tone.

Tone is more salient than the three other syllable components for a variety of reasons,
not simply because it conveys meaning. Syllable-initial consonants have the lowest
saliency of the four syllable components: their presence is optional (not all syllables
have syllable-initial consonants); and there is a range of 21 syllable-initial phonemes
that can be used. Vowels are compulsory syllable components. However, the
relatively large number of options (21 in total including monophthongs, diphthongs
and triphthongs) lowers their saliency. Although there are only two syllable-final
consonants, their saliency is undermined by their optional presence in the syllable
structure. Compared with the saliency of tones, consonants and vowels, the saliency
of weak stress and rhotacised feature is much lower. Neither ‘weak stress’ nor
rhotacised feature is a compulsory syllable component, and their value in
differentiating lexical meaning is low.

The saliency values of the four syllable components in Putonghua are congruent with
their acquisition order: tones were acquired earlier than syllable-final consonants and
vowels, which were acquired earlier than syllable-initial consonants. The features of
‘weak stress’ and rhotacisation were acquired last due to their low saliency value.

Differences in the saliency of individual components in different languages may
result in the cross-linguistic variations in developmental patterns. The number of
options within a syllable component may determine rate of acquisition when other factors are equal. For example, Putonghua-speaking children's tonal acquisition was more rapid than that of Cantonese-speaking children. In both languages tone is a compulsory syllable component and differentiates lexical meaning. However, Cantonese has nine tones while Putonghua has only four. The four children in So & Dodd's longitudinal study (1995) mastered only three of the nine tones by 1;6, and their acquisition was not complete until 2;0. In the present study, only two of the children aged 1;6 to 4;6 made any tone errors.

The effect of the number of options within a component was also evident in the number of vowel errors made by Cantonese and Putonghua-speaking children. The Putonghua-speaking children made more vowel errors than Cantonese-speaking children of the same age. Putonghua's 21 vowels include monophthongs, diphthongs, and triphthongs. In contrast, Cantonese has 22 vowels, but only two vowel types: monophthongs and diphthongs. The additional vowel type in Putonghua might reduce the saliency of the vowel component, resulting in the slower acquisition of vowels.

The role of phonological saliency in determining acquisition rate is compatible with previous research findings. So & Dodd (1995) reported that the consonant acquisition rate of Cantonese-speaking children was more rapid compared to the acquisition rate of English-speaking children. Cantonese-speaking children acquired their range of consonants by 3;6. English-speaking children's phoneme repertoires were not complete until they were five-years-old (Prather et al., 1975). Mowrer & Burger (1991) found that Xhosa-speaking children acquired most consonant phonemes earlier than their English-speaking counterparts. These discrepancies in consonant
acquisition rates between Cantonese, English and Xhosa are compatible with the concept of saliency (see Appendix 3 for comparison of the phonological structure of Putonghua, Cantonese, English and Xhosa). Cantonese has only 17 consonants and 2 clusters, while English has 24 consonants and 49 clusters. Although consonants are optional syllable components in both languages, the larger number of consonants and clusters in English lowers the saliency of each consonant. Therefore, the rate of acquisition in English is slower than that of Cantonese.

It is important to remember that phonological saliency as defined here is a language-specific concept. The saliency level of a particular phonological feature is determined by its role within the phonological system of the language, not by reference to other languages. For instance, although Xhosa has 41 consonants, it has a very simple syllable structure. A typical Xhosa syllable is structured as CV. In addition, Xhosa has very few consonant clusters\(^3\). Their relatively indispensable status in a syllable and lack of clusters thus contribute to the higher saliency of consonants in Xhosa and explain their early acquisition. Nevertheless, the factors that should be taken into account in determining the saliency of a phonological component require further testing of hypotheses with cross-linguistic studies.

---

\(^1\) Lanham (1969) suggested that the so called ‘nasal compounds (i.e. cluster)’ should be treated as ‘prenasalised consonants’, i.e. single segments.
3.5.6 INTERACTION BETWEEN LEXICAL AND PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION

The acquisition of weak stress and rhotacisation in Putonghua reflects interactions between lexical and phonological development. The children’s tendency to use citation tones in the place of weak stress may be attributed to caretakers’ often exaggerated and emphasised manner of speaking in which citation tones are given to weak stress (Li & Thompson, 1977; Erbaugh, 1992). However, it is arguable whether the acquisition of these features are rule-based or lexically motivated. Most of the children in the study made consistent errors with the weak stress syllable /tsi/ when it occurred in different syllable contexts. If the learning of weak stress took place on a word-by-word basis, different error types would have been present. Further investigation is needed to examine the interaction of phonological and lexical constraints in children’s phonological and lexical development.

3.5.7 VARIATIONS IN CHILDREN’S PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT

The role of gender in language acquisition is a controversial issue. Some researchers argued for the existence of differences in the phonological development between girls and boys, probably due to biological differentiation between them in the rate of maturation of the left cerebral hemisphere (Kagan, 1971), in the rate of lateralisation (Buffery, 1970, 1971) or in the pattern of hemispheric development (Shucard, Janet, Thomas, 1987). Other researchers argued that there was difference between boys and girls either in the rate of language development or in the style of language acquisition as a result of differences in the language input they received (Wells, 1985).
The statistical analysis in this study confirmed previous findings by Mowrer & Burger (1991) and Ritterman & Richtner (1979) in that there is no difference in the rate of phonological acquisition between boys and girls. No interaction is found between gender and age (cf. Wellman et al., 1931; Poole, 1934). A plausible explanation is that while biological differentiation or input differences between boys and girls result in gender-related differences in some other aspects of language development (such as semantics, syntax or pragmatics), phonological acquisition is little affected by such biological differentiation or input differences. Alternatively, the differences in the amount or quality of language input received by boys and girls are not as considerable as they used to be. Templin (1963) argued that many studies did not find gender-related difference simply because boys and girls were brought up in a increasingly similar language environment. In this study, all the children, boys and girls, are the only child in their families due to the implementation of ‘one family one child’ policy in China. The discrimination against girls is minimal in a metropolitan city like Beijing. The role of some variables in language development may change with changes in social and cultural environments.

The argument that exposure to two or more languages might have an impact on children’s language and speech development has been supported by studies on bilingual children. Research on simultaneous bilingual children (i.e. children acquiring two languages at the same time from birth), suggests that they would follow separate paths of development from monolingual children. Ingram (1981) analysed the speech errors of a two-year-old Italian-English bilingual child and found that the error patterns were different from that of Italian or English monolingual children. Dodd, Holm, & Li (1997) found that the phonological acquisition of young
Cantonese/English bilingual children was characterised by unusual error patterns in both languages. Exposure to two or more languages also has an impact on children's phonological awareness (Campbell & Sais, 1995; Rubin & Turner, 1988; Bruck & Genesee, 1995).

It has been accepted that second language learning is strongly influenced by the learner’s first language (see Ellis, 1985; Larsen-Freeman & Long, 1991). For example, the influence of L1 on L2 phonology is evident in the 'foreign' accent of second language learners (Ellis, 1985). A collateral question would be whether learning a second language in a monolingual environment affects first language acquisition?

The data analysis in this study showed that the children who had learned English did not show any significant difference in the rate of phonological acquisition from the children who have not. A possible explanation is that the amount of input was not sufficient for the expected impact on the phonological development to take place. Second language learning in a monolingual environment is different from second language acquisition in a bilingual environment in that the amount of language input is extremely impoverished in the former condition. In this study, the amount of English Putonghua-speaking children were exposed to is very limited: four hours a week at school plus occasional input from their parents. It needs to be pointed out that the school teacher and the children's parents are second language learners themselves. This perhaps shows how important both the quality and quantity of language input are in language acquisition.
3.6 SUMMARY

The cross-sectional data in this chapter established norms for the rate and patterns of phonological development for Putonghua-speaking children aged 1;6-4;6. Putonghua (Modern Standard Mandarin) syllables have four possible elements: tone, syllable-initial consonant, vowel, and syllable-final consonant. The children’s errors suggested that Putonghua-speaking children completed the acquisition of these elements in the following order: tones were acquired first; then syllable-final consonants and vowels; and syllable-initial consonants were acquired last. Phonetic acquisition of the 21 syllable-initial consonants was complete by 3;6 for 75% of children. By 4;6 the children were using the syllable-initial consonants correctly on two thirds of occasions (with the exception of four affricates). Simple vowels emerged early in development. However, triphthongs and diphthongs were prone to systematic errors. Tone errors were rare, perhaps because of their role in distinguishing lexical meaning. In contrast, acquisition of ‘weak stress’ and ‘rhotacised feature’ was incomplete in the oldest children assessed. Phonological processes used by the children were identified. Two of these processes, syllable-initial consonant deletion and backing, would be considered atypical error patterns in English. Existing theories of phonological acquisition (e.g., concepts of markedness, functional load, feature hierarchies) cannot account for some of the patterns revealed. A satisfactory explanation of the findings requires more attention to the specific characteristics of the linguistic system the children are learning. It is proposed that the saliency of the components in the language system determines the order of acquisition.
The norms, may be used either for cross-linguistic comparison (as in this chapter) or for assessing children in atypical circumstances (in Chapters 5, 6, 7, & 8). However, the very nature of cross-sectional data makes it difficult to make reliable estimates of the interrelationship between the age factor and developmental changes, especially those relatively small but steady changes over time. In addition, the age group 1;6-2;0 was set up to be the youngest age group in the cross-sectional study, out of concerns for the feasibility of the picture-naming and -description tasks. As a result, detailed information on the development of tones, vowels and some consonants, which were acquired at an early stage, was missing. To explore these issues further, a longitudinal study on four children between 12 and 24 months was carried out and is reported in the next chapter.
CHAPTER 4

THE PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION OF NORMALLY DEVELOPING CHILDREN II: LONGITUDINAL STUDY
4.1 LONGITUDINAL STUDY AS A DATA COLLECTION APPROACH

Longitudinal studies have long been claimed to be very effective in studies of age-related development and individual differences, especially in those studies of relatively small but stable development over time (Ingram, 1989a). In a typical longitudinal study, a small number of children are observed over a considerable period of time or repeatedly sampled at predetermined intervals within a long period. With other variables of an individual subject being stable over time (such as gender, socio-economic status, parents’ background, etc.), researchers are able to put the collected data on one subject in parallel, comparing each observation of the subject’s behaviour with some earlier or later observation. If there are changes in the performance of subjects over time, researchers can make reliable estimates of the interrelationship between the age factor and changes.

The earliest longitudinal studies of language development in children can be traced back to the 19th century when linguists and psychologists (usually parents of the children under study) would keep a record of children’s performance in a diary over a considerable period of time, hence referred to as ‘diary studies’. Among the most often cited are Leopold (1939-1949) and Smith (1973). In another type of longitudinal study, the subject’s speech is sampled at predetermined intervals over a considerable period of time by someone other than the subject’s parents. Examples which are particularly relevant to the present thesis include Clumeck’s study (1977) on Mandarin tones and Shiu’s (1990) study on the phonological acquisition of two Mandarin-speaking children aged 1;0-3;0 and 0;7-2;4.
The close observation in longitudinal studies can provide a clear picture of a child's development at various stages and find subtle yet important information throughout children's development. For example, by observing longitudinal changes in his son's phonological system, Smith (1973) found that changes in the child's developing phonology took place in an 'across-the-board' way in the sense that the 'changes in the child's output occur virtually simultaneously to phonologically defined classes of items and not piece-meal to individual lexical items' (p. 3). This type of information cannot be inferred from data collected at one specified point in time. They must be gathered longitudinally through time and through the researcher's careful observation.

However, the weaknesses of the longitudinal study lies in that it is focused on a very small number of children. Given individual variations and preferences at the various stages of development, it is difficult to generalise the findings, particularly on normative developmental patterns. In addition, since there is no control on the children's production in the most cases, it is difficult to make comparison between one child and another. Another weakness is that it is very time-consuming and slow in progress. Subject attrition is a potential problem over which researchers have little control. Besides, researchers' expectation and preference may undermine the reliability of the data.

With these strengths and weaknesses of longitudinal studies in mind, this chapter reports on findings from a study of four children during the age of one to two. Subjects' information and data collection and analyses methods are discussed in detail in 4.3 below. Particular attention is paid in this study to the development of suprasegmental features, which the cross-sectional study reported in the previous chapter was unable to investigate.
4.2 SUPRASEGMENTAL FEATURES OF CHINESE

By suprasegmental features, we mean tone, tone sandhi and weak stress. According to Fromkin and Rodman (1993), the majority of the world’s languages are tonal in that pitch variations in these tonal languages contrast word meanings or mark grammatical properties or both. As has been described in 2.5, the four tones in Putonghua are lexical tones, for differences in tones result in different lexical meanings. However, while lexical tones are widely regarded as one of the most salient features of the Chinese languages, there is relatively little research on tonal acquisition by Chinese-speaking children. Most of the existing studies of Chinese tonal acquisition (on Mandarin, see Chao, 1951; Li & Thompson, 1977; Li, 1977; Clumeck, 1977, 1980; Su, 1985; Jeng, 1985; Hsu, 1987; Shiu, 1990; on Cantonese, see Tse, 1978; Tse, 1992) were carried out in 70s and 80s and were heavily influenced by Jakobson’s structural theory (see Lee, 1996, for a summary).

Although these studies generally agreed that (a) the acquisition of the tones precedes that of segments, and (b) some tones were acquired earlier than others, the details on the order of acquisition of tones, age of acquisition and error patterns identified in these studies differed from each other. The main disagreement is summarised as follows:

- The age of acquisition of tones: Clumeck (1980) reported a study of one subject who did not finish the acquisition of tones until the age of 3;0. Shiu (1990) also found the late completion of tonal acquisition -- neither of the two children in her study had acquired rising and falling-rising tones by the end of data collection when they were aged 3;0 and 2;4. However, Jeng (1979) revealed that one of his subjects was able to produce tones correctly by the age of 1;7,15 and another by the age of 1;5.
• The order of acquisition of tones: Clumeck (1977) found that the rising tones were acquired first when the subject began to use words based on adult models at about the age of 1;10. Li (1977) briefly mentioned that his subject seemed to have acquired high level, rising, and high falling tones before falling-rising tones. A different order was reported by Jeng (1979). In his study, rising and falling-rising tones were acquired more or less simultaneously and without much difficulty.

Tone sandhi is another prominent suprasegmental feature in Putonghua. As reviewed in 2.6, there are four rules:

• Tone 3 sandhi rule 1: a falling rising tone → a rising tone before another falling rising tone.

• Tone 3 sandhi rule 2: a falling rising tone → a falling tone before high level, rising or high falling tones.

• Tone 4 sandhi rule: a high falling tone → a low falling tone before another high falling tone.

• Morphologically conditioned sandhi rule: four lexical items (i.e. /pu4/, /i1/, /la1/ and /pA1/) follow their own rules. Their citation tones will become rising before high falling tones. /i/ will become a high falling tone before all the tones except a high falling tone.

Among these three rules, tone 3 sandhi rule has received the most research attention. Chao (1951) reported that his subject only began to use tone 3 sandhi rule at the age of 2;4. Li & Thompson (1977) pointed out that the late emergence of tone sandhi rules in the children's speech might be related to the fact that tone sandhi rules depended on the
children's ability to produce multi-word utterances. In other words, children cannot be said to be actively applying any rule until there is evidence that they are able to produce multi-word constructions. Therefore, they believe that tone sandhi rules are learned, with infrequent errors, as soon as the children began to produce multi-word utterances. Their argument was supported by Jeng (1979), who found his subject made very few errors where tone sandhi rules should apply. Jeng also reported that the morphologically conditioned tone sandhi rule was acquired later than tone 3 sandhi rule. Individual variations in acquiring these tone sandhi rules were reported in Shiu (1990). She found that tone 3 sandhi rule appeared in one child's speech as early as at the age of 1;1. However, the rule did not become stabilised until 2;4. The other subject in her study was able to produce the rule at the age of 1;5 and reached a certain level of accuracy by the age of 2;8. Error patterns, if any, were little described in these studies.

A related feature is weak stress which has been described in 2.7. When a syllable becomes weakly stressed, it has a very short duration and a much reduced pitch range. The main changes include: the citation tone of the syllable will be replaced by another tone, depending on the preceding tone; the vowel in the syllable may change; some syllable-initial consonants may become voiced. As reviewed in 2.7, weak stress can be described in several types:

- 'affix' type in which weak stress occurs to the affix of nouns. For example, /tsi/ 'zi' in /pitsi/ ('nose').
• ‘reduplication’ type in which the reduplicated second syllables in nouns, verbs and adjectives are weakly stressed; for example, /sɪn⁸sɪn/ (‘star’) and /sɪtəsɪt/ (‘thank you’).

• ‘grammatical particle’ type in which particles such as /lɪ/ ‘le’, /pə/ ‘ba’ are weakly stressed.

• ‘lexeme’ type in which two lexemes, especially nouns, are combined together and the stress of the second lexeme is weakly stressed, for example, /ʃtuo/ (‘ear’), /həufə/ (‘hair’).

Li & Thompson (1977) claimed that weak stress was often replaced with citation tones in the children’s speech during the process of acquisition. Shiu (1990) found an opposite pattern: in the first stage of phonological development, the accuracy of weak stress production was high in the speech of both of her subjects. However, one child’s accuracy rating of weak stress plunged during the following stages while that of the other kept increasing during the observation period. Su (1985) suggested that children might use different strategies in the substitution of full tones for weak stress, depending on different contexts. The weak stress occurring in utterance-final particles, classifiers, particle ‘de’, and nouns ending with ‘tsi’ was likely to be replaced with a low falling tone, while the weak stress in disyllabic words was likely to change into citation tones.

One of the difficulties in interpreting the conflicting findings of the existing studies is that different criteria were used. Ambiguity in the definition of acquisition might contribute to the above-mentioned controversies. The term ‘acquisition’ may imply that children are able to pronounce tones accurately according to the underlying representations of tones of
each morpheme. Alternatively, children may be able to apply, at a lexical level, the phonological rules governing tone sandhi and weak stress where appropriate. Various degrees of accuracy and consistency in acquiring a feature are another source of ambiguity and controversy. There is little clarification on tonal acquisition in the literature reviewed above.

The existence of individual variations in phonological development also leads to disagreement in the above studies. The discrepancy in the subjects’ age of acquisition in some studies (Jeng, 1979; Clumeck, 1980; Li, 1977) further affected comparability of the subjects within and across studies.

Another fact that may account for some of the discrepancies in the reported findings is that the target language environment of the children in the studies is not the same. The children in Chao (1957) and Clumeck’s (1980) studies were acquiring Mandarin in America (English-dominant, L2 environment). The children in Su (1985), Hsu (1987) and Shiu’s (1990) studies were acquiring Mandarin and Taiwanese (or Hokkien, of the Southern Min dialectal group of Chinese; see further 2.1) simultaneously in Taiwan. It is arguable whether the patterns of tonal acquisition identified in the speech of children exposed to two languages are comparable to those of monolingual children.

The present longitudinal study is primarily aimed to systematically describe the patterns of acquisition of suprasegmental features in Putonghua, including lexical tones, tone sandhi and weak stress, and the patterns of acquisition of vowels and some of the consonants by young, monolingual Putonghua-speaking children in Beijing. We shall examine both the ‘emergence’ (i.e. first appearance) and ‘stabilisation’ (i.e. consistent production; see 4.5.1.2 below). More specifically, the following aspects will be examined:
• the age and order of emergence and stabilisation of tones compared to that of segments;

• the patterns of substitution of tones;

• the patterns of acquisition of tone sandhi;

• the patterns of acquisition of weak stress;

• the patterns of acquisition of vowels and consonants, particularly those consonants acquired before the age of two (cf. cross-sectional study in Chapter 3).

In this chapter, the four Putonghua tones are referred to as high level, rising, falling-rising and high falling respectively except in the description of Tone sandhi where tonal markers 1, 2, 3 & 4 are used for reasons of convenience. These tonal markers are also used in transcription.

4.3 METHOD

4.3.1 SUBJECTS

Four children (referred to as J.J., Z.J., H.Y., and Z.W.) were recruited in Beijing. The children were aged 1;1.15, 1;0.0, 0;10.15 and 1;2 at the beginning of the data collection. The data collection was ended when the children reached the age of two with the exception of the fourth child (Z.W.) whose family moved abroad when she was 1;8. The subject information is summarised in Table 4.1.
Table 4.1 Subject information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Age of 4-word point</th>
<th>Total number of tokens in the data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J.J.</td>
<td>girl</td>
<td>1;1.15 -- 2;0.15</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z.J.</td>
<td>boy</td>
<td>1;0 -- 2;0.15</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.Y.</td>
<td>boy</td>
<td>0;10.15 -- 2;0.15</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z.W.</td>
<td>girl</td>
<td>1;2 -- 1;8</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Four-word point is defined by Vihman (1996) as the approximate beginning of lexical use.

All of the children were acquiring Putonghua monolingually as their first language. They were the only child in the family. They were healthy and had no hearing impairment according to their medical records. Their motor development was reported to be within normal range. One or both of the parents of the children were working in the University where the recruitment for this study took place. All the parents were Putonghua speakers. Two of the children were taken care of mainly by the family relatives in the day and the other two went to a private nursery.

### 4.3.2 DATA COLLECTION

Data collection took place every 15 days. In each data collection session, the mothers were asked to talk with the children while playing games together. The mothers were also asked to repeat the children's words when they could so that the target/adult forms were recorded on tape for ease of later transcription by the non-participating researcher. Each
session lasted about one hour. The conversation was recorded using a Sony professional micro-recorder. Mini-microphones were pinned to the children.

The mothers were trained together before the first data collection session. They were given detailed guidelines as to what they were expected to do in the data collection. This, to a certain extent, guaranteed consistency in the data collection method for different children and between different data collection sessions for the same child.

4.3.3 TRANSCRIPTION

The speech samples from each session were transcribed using the International Phonetic Alphabet. Inter-transcriber reliability (on 10% of the samples) for syllable-initial word-initial, syllable-initial within-word, syllable-final word-final, and syllable-final within-word consonants was 94.3%, 92.9%, 98.5% and 98.1% respectively. Imitated productions from the children were marked. The unintelligible productions (i.e. the targets of these productions were not clear from the context) were also marked.

4.4 DATA ANALYSIS

Words were identified using the criteria proposed by Vihman and McCune (1994) and are briefly summarised below:

- Criteria based on context: vocalisations will be identified as words when their meanings are easily identified in contexts or by the mother, or when they are used by the child more than once with similar phonological shapes across different uses. An imitative response to a verbal stimulus is not considered as a word.
• Criteria based on vocalisation shape: vocalisations will be identified as words when they match more than two segments of the adult form, or when the prosody (in the present study, the tone) of the vocalisations matches the adult target.

• Criteria based on relation to other vocalisations: vocalisations will be recognised as words when vocalisations are instances of imitation produced with apparent understanding, when all instances of vocalisations share the same phonological shape, or when all uses of vocalisations occur in contexts which plausibly suggest the same word.

Although the data collection took place before or very closely to the onset of speech, the present study was limited to the analysis of the children’s productions when the children had attempted to produce at least four or more different adult words in two subsequent sessions (i.e. the four-word point defined by Vihman (1996, p. 249) as the approximate beginning of lexical use). Table 4.1 lists the age of the four-word point for each child. A maximum of 50 tokens were transcribed for each recording. The target words the children were attempting were identified either on the basis of the mother’s repetition of the children’s vocalisations or the contexts. The words whose targets were ambiguous would be marked. Imitated responses were included in the data analysis, following Ferguson & Farwell’s arguments (1975, p. 422). The main reasons for including imitated responses were:

• very little data would be available if imitated utterances were excluded, since a very high percentage of the utterances produced by children aged 1;0-2;0 is imitated;
technically, no simple definition of imitation is feasible, because children of this age
band can ‘repeat’ or ‘imitate’ adult forms after a considerable interval or they may
include some elements not present in the adult model in their imitation.

It should be noted that the range of words recorded and transcribed did not guarantee
opportunities for the child to attempt all the features, which may be due to either
incomplete sampling or the child’s active selection. To be specific,

- the data were collected spontaneously; therefore, the occurrence of the target features
  (whether a child would have a chance to use a feature or how many times a feature
  would occur) varied from one child to another and from one data collection session to
  another.

- ‘avoidance’ strategies were evident in the children’s phonological development. The
  mere non-existence of a feature in children’s production did not mean that the child
could not produce it.

These factors need to be taken into consideration when accounting for the age of
emergence and stabilisation, patterns of acquisition, and particularly the issue of
individual variations in the age of emergence and stabilisation as well as accuracy rating
of the features involved.

4.5 RESULTS

In order to obtain a picture of the overall phonological development of the four children,
findings of their acquisition of vowels and consonants will be presented first.
4.5.1 VOWELS

Table 4.2 lists the age when the children were able to produce a vowel phonetically correctly for the first time (i.e. emergence). Because the nature of spontaneous speech, not all vowels were sampled. The fact that vowels carry a heavy lexical load made the identification of children’s realisations in which target vowels were substituted difficult— for if the target word of such a realisation was unclear, the realisation had to be excluded in the data analysis.

Despite these methodological difficulties, as well as individual differences, some patterns were identifiable in the development of vowels in the children. Among the simple vowels, the central low vowel /A/ and back high vowel /u/ were the earliest to emerge in the four children; the retroflex vowel /ɛ/ and the back vowel /o/ seemed to be the last simple vowels to emerge in the children’s output. Among diphthongs, /ei/ was the first to emerge for all the children, and /ye/ the last. Among triphthongs, /iou/ emerged first in three children’s speech, while /uae/ was the last for three children. Among the four children, Z.J. was the slowest in using the simple vowels, diphthongs and triphthongs.
Table 4.2 Age of emergence of vowels (in year; month, day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vowels</th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>1;3</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;5</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;8.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>1;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɤ</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>no data</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Λ</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɔ</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>no data</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ae</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ao</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;3</td>
<td>1;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>1;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ou</td>
<td>1;3</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;5</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ia</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ie</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
<td>1;8.15</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ua</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uo</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye</td>
<td>1;10</td>
<td>no data</td>
<td>no data</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iao</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iou</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uae</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uei</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
<td>1;8.0</td>
<td>1;6.0</td>
<td>1;3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age of emergence of simple vowels</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
<td>1;6.9</td>
<td>1;3.27</td>
<td>1;4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age of emergence of diphthongs</td>
<td>1;5</td>
<td>1;7.24</td>
<td>1;3.27</td>
<td>1;4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age of emergence of triphthongs</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
<td>1;7.3</td>
<td>1;5.26</td>
<td>1;4.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5.2 CONSONANTS

Tables 4.3 and 4.4 show the age of emergence and stabilisation of consonants. If a sound occurred in a child’s realisation of a meaning unit, the sound would be considered as ‘emerged’ irrespective of whether it was the correct target. A sound was ‘stabilised’ when the child produced the sound phonologically correctly on at least two of three opportunities. By the end of the data collection (J.J., Z.J., & H.Y. were 24 months old and Z.W. 20 months old), syllable-initial consonants /p, t, m/ and syllable-final consonants /n, ŋ/ had become stabilised in the speech of all the children. There were variations in the emergence of sounds: while J.J. and H.Y. were found to have produced all the Putonghua sounds except two sounds once or several times, Z.J. had never used the sounds /pʰ, kʰ, ʂ, tʂʰ, ʂʰ, tʂʰ/. Most of the aspirated sounds were missing from his repertoire. Z.W., whose data collection ended at the age of 1;8, had never produced the sounds /pʰ, tʰ, kʰ, ɻ, tɻʰ, ɻʰ, tsʰ/ in his speech.
Table 4.3 Age of emergence of consonants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td>k, η</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>t, n-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;3</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;3.15</td>
<td>t, m</td>
<td></td>
<td>s, x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>n-, -n η</td>
<td>η</td>
<td>η</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;5</td>
<td>tζ</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>ζ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>th, x, ζ</td>
<td>n-</td>
<td>ζ, ts, ts, -n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;6</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f, l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>m, n-</td>
<td>k, tζ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>s, th</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>k</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>th, l, ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>tζ, -n</td>
<td>ph, th, s, x, tζ, -n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;8.15</td>
<td>x, ts ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>k, ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;10</td>
<td>ζ</td>
<td>th</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;10.15</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;11</td>
<td>th</td>
<td>ζ, ts</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;11.15</td>
<td>ζ</td>
<td>ts, tζ</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;0</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Missing phonemes: k, th, p, n, s, tζ, ts, ζ

Note: '-n' = syllable-final consonant /n/; 'n-' = syllable-initial consonant /n/.
Table 4.4 Age of stabilisation of consonants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1;2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;3.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>m, η</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>-n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>p, t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;8.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;9</td>
<td></td>
<td>n-</td>
<td>t, -n, η</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;10.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;11.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Missing phonemes: p^h, t^h, k, k^h, p^h, t^h, k, k^h, f, p^h, t^h, k, k^h, n-, p^h, t^h, k^h, n-, n-, f, s, x, s, l, f, s, x, s, l, j, tʂ, f, s, x, s, l, j, tʂ, tʂ^h, tʂ, tʂ, tʂ^h, tʂ, tʂ^h, tʂ, tʂ^h, tʂ, tʂ^h, tʂ, tʂ^h, tʂ, tʂ^h

Note: 'η' = syllable-final consonant /n/; 'n-' = syllable-initial consonant /n/.
4.5.3 TONES

4.5.3.1 EMERGENCE OF TONES

Table 4.5 summarises the age at which the four Putonghua tones emerged in the children’s speech. A tone was considered to have emerged when a child could produce it at least once either in his spontaneous speech or in imitation.

In terms of emergence, high level and high falling tones were earliest and they both existed in all the children’s speech data collected at the time when the children began to produce first words (4-word point). Rising tone existed in two children’s first words (Z.J. & Z.W.), yet it emerged about one month later than high level and high falling tones in the other two children. Falling-rising tone was the last to emerge in all the four children.

Table 4.5 Age of emergence of tones (in years; months; days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High level tone</td>
<td>1;2*</td>
<td>1;4*</td>
<td>1;2*</td>
<td>1;2.15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising tone</td>
<td>1;3</td>
<td>1;4*</td>
<td>1;3</td>
<td>1;2.15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;5</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High falling tone</td>
<td>1;2*</td>
<td>1;4*</td>
<td>1;2*</td>
<td>1;2.15*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘*’ marks the first session when the child uttered a recognisable meaningful word.

4.5.3.2 AGE OF STABILISATION

A certain amount of inconsistency in children’s speech production as well as fluctuation (termed reduction and regression by Ferguson & Farwell, 1975) in their overall language development was always a possibility. In addition, the production opportunities for each
tone in the speech sample were not necessarily equal. Consequently, a criterion needed to be set to determine the level of stability in the children's production. A criterion of 66.7% (where there were two occurrences, both must be correct; or two correct out of three occurrences) was used in the present study as the accuracy rating to derive the age of tonal stabilisation. This is the same criterion as used in the cross-sectional study in Chapter 3. A tone was considered to have become stabilised only when it satisfied all of the following standards:

a. its accuracy rating in the spontaneous speech sample reached 66.7% level (accuracy rating = the number of times of a tone produced correctly / the number of opportunities for the tone in the sample × 100%)

b. its accuracy rating in all the subsequent speech samples collected remained as high as or higher than 66.7%. This minimised the influence of regression.

c. If there was only one opportunity for a tonal feature in the speech data recorded at a given time, the data would be excluded in deriving the age of stabilisation in case the child produced it solely on a formulaly learned basis (e.g. 'thank you'). This particular criterion was even more important when applied to tone sandhi and weak stress (see 4.5.2 and 4.5.3 below).

Tables 4.6 summarises the age of stabilisation derived using the 66.7% criterion. It was clear that high level tones were the first to be stabilised, followed by high falling tones. There were variations in the order of stabilisation of rising and falling-rising tones. Falling-rising tones were stabilised earlier than rising tones in two children, at the same time as rising tones in another child and later than rising tones in yet another child. The
differences between the age of stabilisation of these two tones were small. The maximum interval between the age of stabilisation of falling-rising tones and rising tones was one month and a half in Z.W.'s productions.

Table 4.6 Age of stabilisation of tones using 66.7% criterion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High level tone</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising tone</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;8.15</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising tone</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High falling tone</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences between the age of emergence and stabilisation of each tone in each child were compared to examine the time it took for a feature to reach a certain level of phonological accuracy. The mean lengths of time between the age of emergence and that of stabilisation for high level, rising, falling-rising, and high falling tones were one, four, two, and two-and-a-half months, respectively.

4.5.3.3 PATTERNS OF TONAL ERRORS

Some tones seemed to replace a certain tone more often than others in the children's speech (see Table 4.7).
Table 4.7 Frequency of occurrence of substitution patterns in tonal errors (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Realisation</th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Level tone</td>
<td>rising</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>falling-rising</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high falling</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising tone</td>
<td>high level</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>falling-rising</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high falling</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising tone</td>
<td>high level</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rising</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high falling</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High falling tone</td>
<td>high level</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rising</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>falling-rising</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The frequency of occurrence is calculated by the following formula: the number of times a substitute occurs + the total number of the occurrence of errors for a tone×100%.

Shaded cells stand for the most frequent substitute pattern for a tone.

Specifically,

a. High level tones: three children preferred to use high falling tones to replace high level tones, while one child (J.J.) used falling-rising tones to replace high level tones in most cases.

b. Rising tones: three children used high level tones to replace rising tones most often, while one child (J.J.) used falling-rising tones.
c. Falling-rising tones: two children (H.Y. & Z.W.) preferred to replace falling-rising tones with high level tones while the others (J.J. & Z.J.) preferred to replace falling-rising tones with rising tones.

d. High falling tones: All the children showed a preference to replace high falling tones with high level tones when an error occurred.

In general, high level tones seemed to be used in an ‘unmarked’ sense and were most frequently employed to replace other tones. Child J.J. seemed to be different from other children in her strategy: she preferred to use falling-rising tones to replace high level and rising tones in most cases.

4.5.4 TONE SANDHI

4.5.4.1 AGE OF EMERGENCE AND STABILISATION OF TONE SANDHI

As summarised in Chapter 2, Tone sandhi rules can be described in four types according to the tone affected and/or the conditions in which tone sandhi takes place. They are tone 3 sandhi 1, tone 3 sandhi 2, tone 4 sandhi and morphologically conditioned sandhi. The age of emergence and stabilisation (using 66.7% criteria) of various types of tone sandhi are listed in Table 4.8. The age when the children reached 90% in the accuracy rating is also given for reference.
Table 4.8 Age of emergence and stabilisation of Tone sandhi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tone 3 sandhi 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergence</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;10</td>
<td>1;10.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (66.7%)</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;10</td>
<td>1;10.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (90%)</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;10</td>
<td>1;10.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tone 3 sandhi 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergence</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (66.7%)</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (90%)</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;10.15</td>
<td>1;11</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tone 4 sandhi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergence</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;6</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (66.7%)</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;8.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (90%)</td>
<td>1;7.15</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;8.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M conditioned sandhi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘bu’ emergence*</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1;11</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (66.7%)</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1;11</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (90%)</td>
<td>1;4</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘bu’ means ‘no’.

It is difficult to speculate on the order of emergence and stabilisation of tone sandhi among the four children, partly because of the nature of the spontaneous data. There was little data on morphologically conditioned sandhi. However, it is clear from the table that there was very little gap between the age of emergence and the age of stabilisation (66.7% criterion). It seemed that the tone sandhi rules were established very quickly once they emerged. This is confirmed by the comparison of two types of accuracy rating in the following section.
4.5.4.2 ACCURACY RATING OF TONE SANDHI REALISATION

Two types of accuracy rating were calculated. One was the overall accuracy rating of tone sandhi (= the number of times tone sandhi is applied correctly in the speech sample / the number of opportunities when tone sandhi should be applied in the speech sample ×100%). The second was the accuracy rating of tone sandhi after tone sandhi rules have emerged in the children's production (= the number of times tone sandhi is applied correctly in the speech sample after the emergence of tone sandhi / the number of opportunities when tone sandhi should be applied after the emergence of tone sandhi ×100%). Table 4.9 summarises the two types of accuracy rating. Two children (Z.J. & Z.W.) assigned all the correct tones where tone sandhi applied and the other two children (J.J. & H.Y.) showed less proficiency with Tone-3-sandhi-2 and Tone-4-sandhi. Not surprisingly, the second type of accuracy rating was higher than overall accuracy.

Table 4.9 Accuracy rating of Tone sandhi (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tone 3 sandhi 1</td>
<td>Overall accuracy 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall accuracy 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 3 sandhi 2</td>
<td>Overall accuracy 1</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall accuracy 2</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 4 sandhi</td>
<td>Overall accuracy 1</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall accuracy 2</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M conditioned sandhi</td>
<td>Overall accuracy 1</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall accuracy 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5.4.3 ERROR PATTERNS IN TONE SANDHI REALISATION

An error in tone sandhi here refers to the difference between the child’s realisation and the target tonal pattern, where tone sandhi applies.

- Tone 3 sandhi 1: there were no errors for this tone sandhi in the speech of any of the four children.

- Tone 3 sandhi 2: two children (Z.J. & Z.W.) made no errors; among the errors made by J.J., 66.7% of them occurred when the target tones were replaced by citation tones, that is, falling-rising tones; among the errors made by H.Y., 75% were substituting citation tones for the target tones.

- Tone 4 sandhi: two children (Z.J. & Z.W.) made no errors; among the errors made by J.J., 63.6% occurred when the target tones were replaced by citation tones, that is, high falling tones. Other errors occurred when high level tones were used to replace high falling tones. H.Y. made very few errors: in three cases he used citation tones, and in one case he used rising tones.

- Morphologically conditioned tone sandhi: no errors occurred, though this may be an artefact of the limited available data.

4.5.5 WEAK STRESS

4.5.5.1 EMERGENCE AND STABILISATION OF WEAK STRESS AND ACCURACY RATING

As reviewed in 2.7, there are several types of weak stress. They are affix, reduplication, grammatical particle and lexeme types. The age of emergence and stabilisation of various
types of weak stress is summarised in Table 4.10. As early as 1;2, three children could produce weak stress in the reduplication type correctly on one or several occasions (emergence). Yet they still had not stabilised the use of weak stress in the reduplication type at the end of the data collection (at 2;0). The weak stress in affix and lexeme types emerged later than that of the reduplication type. However, stabilisation of the weak stress in the first two types took a shorter time than that of the reduplication type (Table 4.10). Since there were very little data on grammatical particles, the weak stress of grammatical particle type was excluded in the analysis. Fluctuation in the accuracy rating characterised the children’s production of weak stress.

Table 4.10 Age of emergence and stabilisation of weak stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affix Emergence</td>
<td>1;7</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;5</td>
<td>1;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (66.7%)</td>
<td>1;11.15</td>
<td>1;8</td>
<td>1;11</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (90%)</td>
<td>not yet</td>
<td>1;9</td>
<td>1;11</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexeme Emergence</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;4.15</td>
<td>1;6</td>
<td>1;7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (66.7%)</td>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td>1;6.15</td>
<td>1;11.15</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (90%)</td>
<td>1;9.15</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
<td>not yet</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduplication Emergence</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>1;5.15</td>
<td>1;2</td>
<td>1;2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (66.7%)</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilisation (90%)</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
<td>fluctuating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The children whose accuracy rating had not reached 66.7% or 90% are marked by ‘not yet'; the children whose accuracy rating has reached 66.7% or 90%, but showed a tendency of fluctuation and had lower accuracy rating than 66.7% or 90% in the speech samples collected later are marked by ‘fluctuating’.
4.4.5.2 ERROR PATTERNS IN WEAK STRESS REALISATION

Using citation tones for syllables with weak stress was the most common error pattern used by the children, especially for the reduplication type. Using other tones in place of weak stress also occurred in the data, but rather sporadically compared to the use of citation tones (Table 4.11).

Table 4.11 Frequency of occurrence and percentage of substitution patterns in weak stress errors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J.J.</th>
<th>Z.J.</th>
<th>H.Y.</th>
<th>Z.W.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affix type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High falling</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexeme type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High falling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduplication type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High falling</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: shaded cells are the most frequent substitution patterns.
4.5.6 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

4.5.6.1 Acquisition of segments

Unaspirated stops (i.e. /p, t/) and three nasals (/m, -n, ŋ/) seemed to be stabilised earlier than other sounds in the children’s speech. /n/ was acquired earlier at syllable-final position than at syllable-initial position. Acquisition of vowels was relatively error-free though subject to individual variation. The central low vowel /A/ and back high vowel /u/ occurred earliest in the children’s production of first words. The retroflex vowel /ɔ/ and the back vowel /o/ seemed to be the last simple vowels to emerge in the children’s production. Among diphthongs, /ei/ was acquired first by four children, and /ye/ last. Among triphthongs, /iou/ was acquired first in three children’s production, while /uae/ was acquired last by three children.

4.5.6.3 The order of acquisition of tones

- Age of emergence: high level and high falling tones emerged first, followed by rising tones. Falling-rising tones were the last to emerge.

- Age of stabilisation using 66.7% criterion: high level tones were stabilised first, followed by high falling tones. Rising and falling-rising tones were the last.

4.5.6.3 Substitution patterns in tonal acquisition

When an error occurred, the most frequent substitute for high falling tones was high level tones in all the children; the most frequent substitute for rising tones was high level tones in three children and falling-rising tones in one child. Two
children showed a preference for replacing falling-rising tones with high level tones, while the other two children preferred rising tones. Three children frequently used high falling tones to replace high level tones and one child used falling-rising tones in the place of high level tones.

If substitution patterns were associated with the children's strategy of learning, there seemed to be individual differences in the strategy. One child (J.J.) seemed to be different in that she preferred falling-rising tones to replace high level and rising tones in most cases.

4.5.6.4 Tone sandhi:

Individual differences and the nature of spontaneous data made it difficult to generalise the order of acquisition of various types of tone sandhi rules. Nevertheless, the data suggested that morphologically conditioned sandhi seemed to emerge and become stabilised earlier than other types of tone sandhi (it was first in three children), and Tone 3 sandhi 1 was the last to emerge and become stabilised in two children. The age of emergence and stabilisation of tone sandhi was very close. Two children's accuracy ratings of all the four sandhi rules were as high as 100%. When an error occurred, the children usually used citation tones where tone sandhi was expected to apply.

4.5.6.5 Weak stress

Weak stress emerged early in the children's speech, yet its acquisition was still not completed around the age of two. Fluctuation in accuracy rating characterised the process. Using citation tones for weakly stressed syllables, where the pitch of
weakly stressed syllables should be adjusted according to the preceding tones, was the most common error pattern among the children.

4.6 DISCUSSION

4.6.1 VOWELS AND CONSONANTS

According to the age of emergence of vowels reported in this chapter, some vowels emerged as early as tones at the four-word point (when the children were supposed to be at the beginning of lexical use), though the acquisition of some vowels such as /ə, yə/ seemed to fall behind. Similar to the findings reported in Jeng (1979), and Shiu (1990), /y/ emerged later compared to /i, u/. This finding, together with the fact that the back vowel /u/ was among the first groups of vowels to occur in the children’s speech (cf., Jeng, 1979), contradicted Jakobson’s prediction on the early acquisition of front vowels with regard to back vowels (1941/1968).

Though differences between the mean age of emergence of three types of vowels (i.e. simple vowels, diphthongs, triphthongs) were not striking, triphthongs seemed to emerge slightly later than diphthongs and simple vowels in the speech of all but one child, and diphthongs seemed to emerge later than simple vowels in all the children. This confirmed the findings reported in the cross-sectional study (Chapter 3), in which more children made errors with triphthongs and diphthongs than with simple vowels.

The age of stabilisation of consonants was comparable to the finding in the cross-sectional study. In the cross-sectional study, 90% of the children in the 1;6-2;0 age group had stabilised syllable-initial consonants /t, m/ and syllable-final consonants /n, ɳ/. The
syllable-initial consonant /p/, which was mastered by all of the children by the completion of the data collection in this longitudinal study, was not acquired by 90% of the children in the cross-sectional study until 3;0. The difference might be an artefact of the picture-naming task used in the cross-sectional study. In the picture-naming task, the phoneme /p/ appeared only once and the lexical item in which /p/ appeared happened to be the first one in the picture-naming task. This perhaps led to a lower rate of responses for this item than others. /p/ occurs in common words such as /pApA/ (‘papa’), and there is no apparent reason for it to present particular difficulty to children.

The age of emergence of consonants in the longitudinal study was much earlier than the age identified in the cross-sectional study (see Table 3.5). Two children (J.J. & H.Y.) had nearly complete repertoires. Dodd (1995) also reported that the phoneme repertoires found in the longitudinal study consisted of more phonemes than those found in the cross-sectional study. A plausible explanation for the difference is that the children’s development was accelerated as a result of the bi-weekly sessions in which parents made an effort to elicit their speech. Another explanation is that the difference may be due to different types of speech sample collected in the longitudinal study and cross-sectional study. Since the spontaneous speech sample was collected in the longitudinal study, the children had the opportunity to partially control topic and content and produce familiar words, and were subject to less stress and nervousness than what they would have experienced in the picture-naming task in the cross-sectional study.

4.6.2 TONE

In this study, the age of emergence recorded the first time a child was able to articulate a feature such as a tone, and the age of stabilisation reflected when a child was able to
produce a tonal feature with a certain degree of phonological accuracy and consistency (i.e. 66.7%). Not surprisingly, the age of stabilisation in the most cases was older than the age of emergence, indicating that the children completed phonetic mastery of tones earlier than completion of phonological learning. However, the gap between the age of emergence and that of stabilisation of the four tones was marginal, suggesting Putonghua-speaking children had relatively little difficulty in acquiring tones generally. This can be attributed to the phonological saliency of Putonghua tones, especially in its role in differentiating lexical meaning (see further 3.5.5).

When a tonal error occurred, there were several possible error types. The child might be learning on an item-by-item basis. S/he might perceive tones in adults’ words incorrectly, or perceive tones correctly, but produce the tone incorrectly. If mastery of tones takes place merely on an item-by-item basis, there would be random occurrence of tonal errors in the children’s productions. However, the analysis of tonal errors suggested that there seemed to be patterns concerning which tone substitutes for another. For example, when an error occurred, the most frequent substitute for high falling tones was high level tones in all the children; the most frequent substitute for rising tones was high level tones in three children and falling-rising tones in one child; the most frequent substitute for falling-rising tones was high level tones in two children while it was rising tones in two children; the most frequent substitute for high level tones was high falling tones in three children and was falling-rising tones in one child.

Although it is possible that a tonal error occurred because the child made an incorrect association between a tone and segment on a lexical basis, it is very likely that most of the errors were systematic, and were the result of children’s simplification strategies (cf. 3.5.6, the discussion on weak stress error). If the children were believed to have a more
active role in acquiring their phonological system, and to have underlying representations resembling those of the adult, then the errors could be viewed as children's attempt to simplify production process by replacing the target with an alternative which represents less difficulty to them. (Stampe, 1973; Ingram, 1986).

The results on tonal acquisition raise two specific questions: Why is one tone acquired earlier than another? Why is tonal acquisition completed earlier than that of segments?

4.6.2.1 WHY IS ONE TONE ACQUIRED EARLIER THAN ANOTHER?

Though different measures (for example, age of emergence and age of stabilisation) gave a slightly different order of acquisition of the four tones, high level tones seemed to be the first tones to be acquired by the children, followed by high falling tones. Rising and falling-rising tones were acquired last. This was more or less in agreement with Li & Thompson's findings (1977), i.e. the Mandarin high level and high falling tones were acquired before rising and falling-rising tones.

A number of explanations have been offered relating to the order of acquisition of tones. Li & Thompson (1977) proposed a "hypothesis of difficulty" and emphasised the role of articulatory and perceptual constraints in the order of acquisition of tones. They reviewed several studies on the production and perception of tones and argued that in terms of ease of articulation and perception rising tones were more difficult than high falling tones. Their argument was also supported by the often-cited observation that high falling tones have greater frequency of occurrence in the world's languages than rising tones, which was similar to Jakobson's claim that a sound or feature with high distribution frequency in world's languages would be acquired early (Jakobson, 1941/1968). Research evidence on the degree of markedness of tones also suggested that falling pitch movement was a
natural gesture of speech production and required less physiological effort than rising
tones, and therefore would be acquired earlier than rising pitch (for a summary, see
Vihman, 1996). These arguments explained well why high falling tones were acquired
earlier than rising and falling-rising tones. However, they could not explain why high
level tones were acquired earliest among the four tones.

The early acquisition of high level tones might be accounted for in terms of features. In
traditional descriptions of Putonghua tones, the contour tones such as rising, falling, and
falling-rising were considered as distinct units, like high level tones. In an autosegmental
account of the tonal inventory (see 2.9), in contrast, there is only one unit of default
specification: level tones. The contour tones are a linear sequence of two or several
different level tones: a rising tone is a linear sequence of a low level and high level tones;
a falling-rising tone is that of a mid level; low level and high level tones and a high falling
tone is that of high level and low level tones. Children’s acquisition would be a process of
replacing a default value with a language-specific value (Dinnsen, 1997). The high level
tone, according to the autosegmental account, which only consists of a default feature,
would therefore be acquired first by Putonghua-speaking children. The substitution
patterns of tones in the children’s productions also confirmed the status of high level
tones as a default specification. As the analysis of tonal error patterns shows, high level
tones were preferred to other tones in most cases when tonal errors took place in the
children’s production. This explanation may also account for the findings in other cross-
linguistic studies of tonal acquisition. Tse’s study (1978) on Cantonese tonal acquisition
reported that among the nine tones in Cantonese (i.e. 6 level tones and 3 contour tones),
all the level tones were acquired earlier than contour tones and that high falling tones
preceded rising tones (cf. A. Tse, 1992).
Alternatively, the early acquisition of high level tones can be accounted for in terms of alignment between high level tone and stress (Duanmu, personal communication). It is proposed that every syllable has a stress which tends to be aligned with high level tones. In Putonghua, every full syllable is stressed, so perhaps it is natural for the children to align the syllable with high level tones, which results in early acquisition of high level tones.

It is conceivable that tonal acquisition is subject to a number of factors. The de-component of contour tones as a sequence of default level tones and alignment between high level tone and stress might explain why level tones are acquired early. The relative lack of markedness of high falling tones as opposed to rising and falling-rising tones within the context of contour tones might explain why high falling tones are acquired earlier than other contour tones.

4.6.2.2 WHY IS TONAL ACQUISITION COMPLETED EARLIER THAN SEGMENTS?

Acquisition of tones was completed earlier than that of segments (vowels and consonants), though some of the phonemes might be acquired at the same time as some tones. By the end of data collection, all of the children in the study had stabilised the tonal contrasts and had been able to use tone sandhi appropriately. In contrast, only five phonemes (i.e. /p, t, m, n, η/) had become stabilised in the children’s production.

Acquisition of vowels was relatively error-free but subject to individual variation. While all of the children were able to produce the central vowel /A/ at the beginning of the 4-word point stage, two vowels /ɔ/ and /ɛ/ had not been mastered by two children by the
Similar patterns, i.e. tones are acquired earlier than segments, have been reported for Cantonese (Tse, 1978).

Several factors could contribute to the earlier acquisition of tones. Firstly, prosodic features, such as pitch variation, are believed to be perceptually salient to infants. Research findings have suggested that infants are sensitive to the suprasegmental aspect prior to the segmental aspect of speech (for a summary, see Quigley & Paul, 1984.) However, how such perceptual saliency leads to early production in the early stages of phonological development is not known, though it has been suggested that inaccurate perception may be the source of at least some speech errors (Eilers & Oller, 1976).

Secondly, Allen & Hawkins (1980) have proposed a physiological account. While tonal contrasts are realised largely by changes in fundamental frequency, which originates in the larynx, segmental contrasts have to involve a wide range of articulatory processes using both glottal, supraglottal and durational mechanisms. In addition, the realisation of segmental contrasts requires different degrees and kinds of co-ordination between different mechanisms. This may slow down the acquisitional process of segmental contrasts.

However, physiological factors cannot be the sole factor contributing to the early acquisition of tonal contrasts as compared to segmental contrasts. It has been repeatedly reported that non-native speakers of tonal languages have more difficulty with tones than segments (e.g. Guo, 1993). Among the four tones, rising-falling tones are the most difficult for non-native speakers of Putonghua. Most of the errors were related to non-native speakers’ inaccurate mastery of relative pitch variation. If the order of acquisition is
determined by physiological factors alone, tones should cause less difficulty to non-native speakers of tonal languages (as well as native speakers) than segments.

Evidence also suggested that the ease with which native speakers acquire tonal features as opposed to non-native speakers cannot be explained by language representation in the brains of tonal language speakers. Gandour (1998) reviewed contemporary aphasia literature on tonal languages and concluded that language representation in the brains of tone language speakers was essentially the same as that in nontone language speakers. Tones, similar to segments, appeared to be lateralised to the left hemisphere in speakers of tonal languages. This suggests that early tonal acquisition cannot be related to hemispheric specialisation.

Another possible factor influencing the order of acquisition may be the degree of complexity of contrasts and rules involved. The possible patterns of prosodic features are far more limited than that of segments in a tonal language (Vihman, 1996). The complexity of segmental contrasts to be learned may therefore influence the length of time of acquisition. The relationship between the complexity of rules involved and the ease of acquisition is shown in Demuth’s study (1993) on the acquisition of the grammatical tonal system of Sesotho. She found that while rule-assigned tones on subject markers were generally acquired by the age of two, the underlying tonal representations on verb roots would take a longer time. The reason was, she argued, ‘... that the richness and pervasiveness of tone sandhi rules in grammatical tone languages like Sesotho produces recoverability problems, making the mapping between surface and underlying representations a more difficult and prolonged undertaking.’ (p. 299).
Examples of tone sandhi began to emerge in the children's data after the children were able to produce multi-syllabic phrases. The data seemed to suggest that morphonologically conditioned sandhi was acquired earlier than tone 3 sandhi, which is phonologically conditioned. Three out of four children were able to stabilise morphologically conditioned sandhi earlier than other tone sandhi rules. For example, when the children acquired the negation word /bu4/, 'no', they made no error in applying the relevant tone sandhi rule (i.e. high falling tones will become high rising before high falling tones). They produced the syllable /bu4/ as /bu2/ in the phrases /bu2 tuei4/ 'not correct' and /bu2 kʰan4/ 'not look', as opposed to /bu4/ in the phrases /bu4 cʰιcʰay/ 'not think' and /bu4 thil1/ 'not listen'. In contrast, some errors occurred with tone 3 and tone 4 sandhi rules, when the children used citation tones where tone alterations were expected.

The early stabilisation of morphologically conditioned tone sandhi might be related to the fact that the rule only applied to four lexical items. In contrast, tone 3 and tone 4 sandhi are dependent on phonological phrasing which is in turn dependent on syntax. In acquiring a phonological system, a child is believed to be actively constructing the rules of the phonology from his or her mental lexicon (e.g. Dodd, 1995). Consequently, a simpler rule would be derived and learned earlier than a more complicated rule.

It is questionable, however, whether the children were aware of these rules and consciously applied them in their production. There are two possibilities. Since the children listen to the words or phrases to which tone sandhi has been applied where appropriate right from the start (though no systematic data are available on the sandhi
form in motherese), they might learn the expected tonal alteration as an individual and independent combination on a lexical basis. If that is the case, the errors made by the children in tonal alterations would be random. Another possibility is that the children acquired the rules first and applied the rules where appropriate. If this is the case, children would be expected to frequently replace the tones involved with citation tones of the syllable when errors occurred. The analysis of error patterns of tone sandhi showed that the citation tones were the major substitutes when the children made errors with tone sandhi. This seems to suggest that the children are learning tone sandhi actively.

4.6.3 WEAK STRESS

The weak stress of the reduplication type emerged early, perhaps because reduplicated words, as one of the major production forms in the early stage of language development (Grunwell, 1982), developed earlier than other types of words using weak stress. Yet it took the children longer time to master the phonological use of weak stress in reduplication than the other two types of weak stress. None of the children reached stability with weak stress in reduplication by the end of data collection (i.e. when they were about two years old). This is perhaps related to the fact that it is ‘acceptable’ to give stress to the second syllable in reduplicated words which should be weakly stressed, while it is extremely rare to stress the weakly stressed syllable in either affix or lexeme type. A closer examination of parental speech in child-parent interaction is needed to examine whether adults tend to give citation tones to weakly stressed syllables in reduplication while they rarely stress weak stress in the other two types of syllable.

Using citation tones for the weakly stressed syllable was a dominant error pattern in children’s productions of the target weak stress. This tendency is clearly demonstrated in
children's realisation of weak stress in the reduplication type. The normative study on the acquisition of weak stress in the 129 children aged 1;6-4;6 (see Chapter 3) also found that citation tones were used in most cases when errors occurred to weak stress in lexeme and reduplication types. Among the errors for the affix type of weak stress, half were weakly stressed syllable deletion and half occurred when the children substituted citation tones and lengthened syllables. The use of citation tones may be correlated to parental input, in which citation tones of reduplicated syllables often took the place of weak stress. Alternatively, the systematic error patterns could be evidence for the argument that the children were actively learning and applying the rules in acquiring stress, similar to tonal acquisition (cf. Klein, 1984).

4.6.4 INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES

A considerable amount of individual variation was evident among the children in their rate and style of acquisition of tones, tone sandhi, weak stress, and segments and error patterns in their early phonological development. For example, on tonal acquisition, while all the children appeared to stabilise high level tones firstly and high falling tones secondly, there were variations in the order of stabilisation of rising and falling-rising tones among the children: two children seemed to acquire falling-rising tones earlier than rising tones, one child had the opposite pattern and one child stabilised these two tones at about the same time. On tone sandhi, one child was able to produce nearly all types of tone sandhi appropriately by 1;6, while the other three did so at about the age of 1;9, 1;10 and 1;11. A greater degree of variability was also found in the acquisition of weak stress in terms of age of emergence and stabilisation.
There are a number of possible sources of individual variation. Firstly, individual variation might arise as the result of the data collection method. Since the study aimed to collect the children’s spontaneous speech data, there was no guarantee that each feature examined in the study had an opportunity to appear in the children’s production. Also, the frequency of occurrence of each feature may vary from one child to another, which might affect the comparability of the age of emergence and stabilisation of features involved to some extent.

Secondly, children’s preference for different strategies in acquisition might result in individual variation. Some children were found to have a tendency to avoid using features which they could not yet produce accurately, while some seemed to be less aware of the inaccuracy of their output and attempted to produce features (Menn & Stoel-Gammon, 1995). Therefore, the former group of children might be found to have a relatively higher accuracy rating of a particular feature and the latter might have a lower accuracy rating. The children also varied in their preferences for certain sounds and features and in the way they used their preference to replace target forms. This is evident in the substitution patterns associated with the acquisition of various features in the present study. For example, when a tonal error occurred, high level tones were used most frequently to replace other tones by three children. In contrast, one child (J.J) showed a preference to use falling-rising tones to replace other tones.

Another source of individual variation is input. The type of input the children receive may affect the way they acquire a particular feature. The influence of input on the acquisition of Mandarin tones was reported in Clumeck (1977). In his study, one child produced rising tones earlier than the other three tones when he began to use words based on adult models at about the age of 1;10, perhaps as the result of high frequency of occurrence of
rising tones in the speech of the child’s caretaker. However, the relationship between input and individual variations is still tentative, since some studies failed to find input effects (e.g. Leonard, Newhoff, & Mesalam, 1980).

4.7 SUMMARY

In this chapter, a longitudinal study of four children between the age of 0;10 and 2;0 is reported. This study complements the cross-sectional study reported in Chapter 3 with corroborating evidence on the age and order of phoneme acquisition, error patterns and phonological processes. It also highlights the developmental patterns of suprasegmental features such as tone, tone sandhi and weak stress. The two studies together, cross-sectional and longitudinal, provide normative data on the acquisition of phonology by Putonghua-speaking children, which can be used not only for cross-linguistic comparisons but also for the assessment of phonological disorders in children in special developmental circumstances.
CHAPTER 5

THE PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILDREN WITH FUNCTIONAL SPEECH DISORDERS
The cross-sectional study and the longitudinal study reported in Chapters 3 & 4 described order and age of tonal and phoneme acquisition and identified developmental phonological processes. These normative data provide a useful tool for assessing phonological development of Putonghua-speaking children and identifying phonological disorder. As discussed in Chapter 1, comparative studies of children in different developmental circumstances not only help to identify developmental universals, but also provide evidence to evaluate theories of language development and theories of disorder. The primary aim of the present chapter is to describe the phonological systems of Putonghua-speaking children whose speech disorder has no known organic cause.

Speech disorder in Putonghua-speaking children is rarely reported in the literature. Prevalence figures for developmental speech disorder in English-speaking children range from 3% to 10% (Kirkpatrick & Ward, 1984; Enderby & Philipp, 1986) and almost 70% of children attending paediatric speech-language therapy clinics are speech (not language) disordered (Weiss, Gordon & Lillywhite, 1987). In China, terms such as 'phonological impairment' or 'speech disorder' are rarely known in hospitals, nurseries or schools. During informal interviews with teachers when recruiting participants for this study, it was found that there was an awareness of the existence of late-speakers and children with low speech intelligibility. However, most teachers associated speech difficulties with laziness and believed that the children would 'grow out of' the problem by themselves given time.
The present study is designed with the following research questions in mind:

1. What are the characteristics of Putonghua-speaking children who are identified as having speech intelligibility difficulties? Can four subgroups of children with the following linguistic symptomatology be identified?
   - *Articulation disorder* - consistent distortion of a phone either in isolation or in any phonetic context.
   - *Delayed development* - use of non-age-appropriate processes and/or restricted phonetic or phonemic inventories.
   - *Consistent disorder* - use of processes not used by more than 10% of children in data charting normal development.
   - *Inconsistent disorder* - variable production of more than 40% of the items produced in identical linguistic contexts on three occasions in one assessment session.

2. How does the speech of Putonghua-speaking children with disordered phonology differ from that of normally developing Putonghua-speaking children?

3. How does the speech of Putonghua-speaking children with disordered phonology differ from that of phonologically disordered children speaking other languages?

5.2 METHOD

5.2.1 PARTICIPANTS

Fourty-eight children aged 2;8-7;6 were identified initially by nursery and school teachers as having atypical speech development. Three screening tests (Appendices 4,
were subsequently administered: pure tone audiometry, oromotor examination (Ozanne, 1992), Visual Motor Integration Test (Beery, 1989). To limit the study to children with ‘functional’ phonological disorders, the following criteria were used:

- normal hearing;
- no abnormalities in oral structure;
- no learning problems as assessed by VMI;
- no behavioural problems reported by nursery/school teachers;
- normal language comprehension according to teachers and researchers.

Five children from the initial teachers’ refereral were excluded (one had a hearing impairment; one was suspected of autism; two performed poorly on the VMI; and one was suspected of behaviour disorder). Speech from another ten children was considered to be within the normal range of phonological development. They were assessed but the data from these ten children were excluded in the analyses in this chapter (cf. Chapter 6). Another boy presented an interesting case. He had been previously assessed in the cross-sectional study and his speech development was considered normal at the time. However, he was later referred by his teacher as having ‘speech difficulties’, when we were sampling children with speech disorder. His data (Child 12) is included in this study. The comparison between his phonological systems assessed at different time can be found in Chapter 6.

The findings on the speech of 33 children (9 girls and 24 boys) are now reported. Figure 5.1 illustrates subject distribution over the age bands. All the children were acquiring Putonghua as their first language, though some of them had been exposed
to English either in English lessons in schools or tutoring at home. None of the children had siblings. The children attended school and nurseries in Beijing.
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Figure 5.1 Subject distribution over the age band

5.2.2 PROCEDURE

The children were assessed individually in a quiet room at their nursery or school, using the same tasks as in the cross-sectional normative study in Chapter 3. Each child was first asked to name the objects or actions in 44 pictures three times and then to describe what is happening in five pictures. The children’s speech was audiotaped using a Sony professional micro-recorder. It should be pointed out that in order to assess the consistency of production we specifically asked the children to name each picture three times. This is rarely done in existing studies of developmental speech disorders (see further discussion in 1.3.1) and consequently inconsistent disorder is not widely recognised (cf. McCormack & Dodd, 1998).
5.2.3 DATA ANALYSIS

The speech samples from the picture-naming and picture-description tasks were phonetically transcribed. Inter-transcriber reliability (on 18.5 % of the data) for syllable-initial word-initial, syllable-initial within-word, syllable-final word-final, and syllable-final within-word consonants were all above 96%.

The following quantitative and qualitative measures were derived from the children’s speech:

- **Percentage of Consonants in Error (PCE):** PCE for each child was calculated by the formula \( \frac{\text{number of times consonants produced in error} + \text{total number of consonants in the sample} \times 100}{100} \). (cf. *Percentage of Consonants Correct* proposed by Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1982).

- **Total number of phonological processes:** phonological processes or error patterns had to occur at least twice to be included.

- **Total number of missing phones in phonetic inventory:** the number of consonants missing from the children’s phonetic inventory.

- **Z-scores:** calculated for the three measures described above by comparison to the normative data. Z scores were used to a) compare the children with speech disorder and normally developing children of the same age, b) compare children of different ages with the same type of speech disorder.

- **Phonetic inventory:** all the sounds produced at least once in the speech sample, irrespective of whether they were the correct target.
• **Phonemic inventory:** all the sounds produced both phonetically and phonologically correctly on at least two of three opportunities.

• **Phonological processes:** phonological processes used were classified as either age-appropriate, delayed or unusual. A process had to be used at least twice to be included.

  * **Age-appropriate processes:** Phonological processes used by at least 10% of the children at the same age band in the normative sample.

  * **Delayed processes:** Phonological processes used by less than 10% of the children at the same age band in the normative data, but appropriate for younger children.

  * **Unusual processes:** Phonological processes not found among more than 10% of the normally developing children at any age.

• **Inconsistency rating:** Comparison of the three productions of each of the 44 words. The number of words with two or three different productions was expressed as a percentage of the total number of words produced three times. Variation due to alternation between a normal developmental error and a correct production was not counted as an inconsistent production. In other words, if a child sometimes produced a phoneme correctly and sometimes incorrectly yet consistently (for example, /k/ always realised as [t] or [k]), this phoneme would not be considered as having an inconsistent realisation. Dodd (1995) considers an inconsistency score of more than 40% indicative of inconsistent disorder.
5.3 RESULTS

Using Dodd's (1995) classification system, one child (3%) was diagnosed to have an articulation disorder, 18 (54.5%, mean age 4;8, SD 10 months) had delayed phonological development, eight (24.2%, mean age 4;3, SD 9 months) had consistent phonological disorder, six (18.2%, mean age 3;8, SD 4 months) had inconsistent disorder.

5.3.1 QUANTITATIVE DATA

5.3.1.1 OVERVIEW OF THE RESULTS

Children's age, sex, Z scores for PCE, the total number of phonological processes and missing consonants, and inconsistency rating are summarised in Table 5.1. Comparison of these measures between the subgroups of speech disordered children is presented below.

Table 5.1 Children's Z scores for PCE, the total number of error patterns and missing consonants, inconsistency rating, and diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Z₁</th>
<th>Z₂</th>
<th>Z₃</th>
<th>Inconsistency</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>7;6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Articulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3;7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3;8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3;11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4;0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4;1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4;2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4;3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4;3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4;4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4;5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4;6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13*</td>
<td>4;7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14*</td>
<td>5;0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15*</td>
<td>5;2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16*</td>
<td>5;6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17*</td>
<td>5;7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18*</td>
<td>6;1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19*</td>
<td>6;7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2;8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>4;1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4;2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>4;2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4;3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4;6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26*</td>
<td>4;8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27*</td>
<td>5;6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3;2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3;6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3;7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3;11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3;11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>4;0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Z1: Z score for PCE; Z2: Z score for the total number of error patterns; Z3: Z score for the total number of missing phones. Consistent D: consistent disorder; Inconsistent D: inconsistent disorder. Z scores of these children marked by ‘*’ are
computed on the basis of means and SD of the age group of 4;0-4;6 in the normative study.

5.3.1.2 STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SUBGROUPS.

PCE, the total number of error patterns and the number of missing consonants in the phonetic inventory for each subgroup of disordered children are provided in Table 5.2. The normative data by age group is also summarised in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2 Comparison of normative sample and children with disorder on means (standard deviations) of PCE, the total number of error patterns and number of missing consonants in phonetic inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>Error patterns</td>
<td>Missing phones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (by age group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1;6-2;0</td>
<td>37.1 (11.2)</td>
<td>30.76 (9.56)</td>
<td>6.57 (2.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;1-2;6</td>
<td>25.6 (8.6)</td>
<td>26.04 (10.63)</td>
<td>3.33 (1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2;7-3;0</td>
<td>19.0 (7.3)</td>
<td>16.52 (5.85)</td>
<td>2.48 (1.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;1-3;6</td>
<td>13.6 (6.8)</td>
<td>13.61 (8.01)</td>
<td>1.42 (1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;7-4;0</td>
<td>10.5 (8.2)</td>
<td>8.81 (4.19)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1-4;6</td>
<td>7.8 (5.8)</td>
<td>5.91 (3.66)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder (by subgroup)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articulation*</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed phonological development</td>
<td>15.1 (9.3)</td>
<td>12.72 (6.59)</td>
<td>2.11 (1.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent disorder</td>
<td>25.9 (11.1)</td>
<td>20.63 (12.28)</td>
<td>3.25 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistent disorder</td>
<td>38.8 (11.3)</td>
<td>30.50 (12.55)</td>
<td>6.5 (3.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Since there is only one child in this subgroup, no standard deviation is given for this subgroup.
The means and standard deviations of inconsistency scores were 22.84% ± 0.08 for the delayed subgroup, 25.17% ± 0.07 for the consistent disorder subgroup, 57.55% ± 0.10 for the inconsistent disorder subgroup.

Statistical analyses showed that there were significant differences in the measures of PCE, the total number of error patterns, the number of missing consonants in the phonetic inventories and inconsistency scores between the three subgroups (non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis Test: \( H = 8.497, df = 2, p = .014 \) for PCE; \( H = 10.109, df = 2, p = .006 \) for the total number of error patterns; \( H = 8.799, df = 2, p = .012 \) for the number of missing consonants in the phonetic inventory; \( H = 14.537, df = 2, p = .001 \) for inconsistency rating). \textit{Post hoc} Mann-Whitney Testing indicated:

- a significant difference in PCE between the delay and inconsistent disorder subgroups \((p = .000)\);

- a significant difference in the total number of error patterns between the delay and inconsistent disorder subgroups \((p = .002)\);

- a significant difference in the number of missing consonants between the delay and inconsistent disorder subgroups \((p = .005)\);

- a significant difference in inconsistency rating both between the delay and inconsistent disorder subgroups \((p = .000)\), and between the consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder subgroups \((p = .002)\). However, there is no significant difference in inconsistency rating between the delay and consistent disorder subgroups.
5.3.1.3 Z SCORE DISTRIBUTION.

Figure 5.2 illustrates the distribution of Z scores of the participants arranged in the order of degree of inconsistency. Three measures represent Z scores of PCE, the total number of error patterns and number of missing consonants, respectively. Since the normative data did not examine the children older than 4;6, the reference means and standard deviations in calculating Z scores for children older than 4;6 in this study are those of 4;0-4;6 -- the oldest age group in the normative sample. Therefore, caution should be taken in referring to Z scores of Children 1, 13-19, 26, and 27. As Figure 5.2 shows, while the participants with low inconsistency had relatively lower Z scores, the participants with high inconsistency had a wider range of Z score distribution.

Figure 5.3 illustrates Z score distribution of participants in each subgroup. There were no substantial differences between the three subgroups (i.e. delay, consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder subgroups) except that the inconsistent disorder subgroup covered wider range of Z scores than other two subgroups as shown in Figure 5.3.
Figure 5.2 Z score distribution

Notes: Series 1: Z score for PCE; Series 2: Z score for the total number of error patterns; Series 3: Z score for the number of missing consonants in phonetic inventory.

Figure 5.3 Z score distribution over four subgroups
Notes: Series 1: Z score for PCE; Series 2: Z score for the total number of error patterns; Series 3: Z score for the number of missing consonants in phonetic inventory.

5.3.2 QUALITATIVE DATA: DIAGNOSTIC CLASSIFICATION

5.3.2.1 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES AND ERROR PATTERNS

Tables 5.3 and 5.4 summarise the phonological processes or error patterns used by the delay, consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder subgroups.
Table 5.3 Phonological processes or error patterns used by the subgroup of delayed phonological development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal phonological processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consonant assimilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllable initial deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fronting: $/s/ \rightarrow [s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/s/ \rightarrow [$]/$s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/k/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing: $/s/ \rightarrow [s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping: $/ts/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/s/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/s/ \rightarrow [k]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affrication: $/s/ \rightarrow [ts]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaspiration: $/t^h/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration: $/t/ \rightarrow [t^h]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $/n/ \rightarrow [n]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing: $/n/ \rightarrow [n]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $/\eta/ \rightarrow [\eta]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: shaded cells represent delayed processes
Table 5.4 Phonological processes or error patterns used by the subgroups of consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Consistent disorder</th>
<th>Inconsistent disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29</td>
<td>30 31 32 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Normal phonological processes**

- Consonant assimilation
- Syllable initial deletion
- Fronting: /s/ → [s]  
  /ζ/ → [ʃ/ʃ]  
  /k/ → [t]
- Backing: /s/ → [ʂ]
- Stopping: /ts/ → [t]  
  /s/ → [t]  
  /x/ → [k]
- Affrication: /ζ/ → [tζ]
- Deaspiration: /tʰ/ → [t]
- Aspiration: /t/ → [tʰ]
- X-velarisation
- Gliding
- Final /n/ deletion
- Backing: /n/ → [ŋ]
- Final /ŋ/ deletion
- Triphthong reduction
- Diphthong reduction

**Unusual error patterns**

- Final consonant addition
- Syllable initial addition
- Vowel change
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Production Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/ŋ/ → [n]</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ts, tʂ/ → [k]</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/k/ → /ts, tʂ/</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/tɕ/ → [ɕ]; /ts/ → [s]</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/k/ → [p]</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ts/ → [tɕ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Articulation

Child 1 (7;6 years, female)

- Substituted [θ] for /s/. [θ] is not a Putonghua phoneme.
• /ts/ and /tsʰ/ realised as [t] or [tʰ] (eg [tae]/[tsae]/; [tʰae]/[tsʰae]/).

Delayed phonological development

Child 2 (3;7, female)

• 1 delayed phonological process – stopping: /s/ as the stop [t] (eg [ti] /ti/; [tie] /tie/).

Child 3 (3;8, female)

• Delayed phonetic and phonemic development.

• 4 phones (/k, s, kʰ, tsʰ/) missing from her phonetic inventory.

• 10 phonemes (/f, k, kʰ, l, s, tɡ, tɡʰ, ts, tsʰ/) missing from her phonemic inventory.

Child 4 (3;11, male)

• Delayed phonetic development.

• 6 phones (/pʰ, s, tɡ, tɡʰ, ts, tsʰ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

Child 5 (4;0, male)

• Delayed phonemic development.

• 7 phonemes (/kʰ, l, j, s, tɡ, tɡʰ, tsʰ/) missing from the inventory.
Child 6 (4;1 male)

- Delayed phonetic development.

- 4 phones (/l, j, ts, ts\textsuperscript{h}/) missing from his phonetic inventory.

- 1 delayed phonological process -- gliding (/l/ realised as [j], eg [jou] /jou/).

Child 7 (4;2, male)

- 2 delayed phonological processes:
  
  a) gliding (/l/ realised as [j], eg [jou] /jou/);

  b) affrication (fricatives, especially /\z/, realised as affricates, eg [tsua] /\zua/).

Child 8 (4;3, female)

- Delayed phonetic development.

- 4 phones (/t\z, t\z\textsuperscript{h}, \z, j/) missing from her phonetic inventory.

- 1 delayed phonological process – stopping (/x/ replaced by stop [k], eg [kua] /xua/).

Child 9 (4;3, male)

- Delayed phonemic development.

- 7 phonemes (/t\z, t\z\textsuperscript{h}, \z, t\z, t\z\textsuperscript{h}, ts, ts\textsuperscript{h}/) missing from his phonemic inventory.
• 1 delayed phonological process: final consonant /ŋ/ deletion (eg [tʃua]/tʃʰ uəŋ/).

Child 10 (4;4, male)

• Delayed phonetic development.

• 5 phones /tʃ, ʂ, tʃ, tʃʰ, ʃ/ missing from his phonetic inventory.

• 1 delayed phonological process – affrication (eg [tɕiɛ]/ɕiɛ/).

Child 11 (4;5, female)

• Delayed phonemic development.

• 5 phonemes (/k, l, ʂ, tʃ, tʃʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• 1 delayed phonological process – stopping (/χ/ realised as [k], eg [xua]/kua/).

Child 12 (4;6, male)

• 1 delayed phonological process – affrication (/ɕ/ realised as [tɕ], eg [tɕiɛ] /ɕiɛ/).

Child 13 (4;7, male)

• Delayed phonetic and phonemic development.

• 2 phones (/tʃʰ, ʃ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

• 7 phonemes (/l, s, j, ʂ, tʃ, tʃʰ, ts/) missing from the phonemic inventory.
• 1 delayed phonological process – gliding (/ʌ/ realised as [j], eg [jou] /ˈjou/).

Child 14 (5;0, female)

• Delayed phonemic development.

• 2 phonemes (/ts, ʂ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• 1 delayed phonological process -- /n/ deletion at syllable-final position with vowel changes (eg [pʰ iɛ]/[pʰ i]/).

Child 15 (5;2, male)

• Delayed phonetic and phonemic development.

• 6 phonemes (/k, l, ɾ, ʂʰ, ts, tsʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• 4 phones (/k, l, ɾ, tsʰ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

• 4 delayed phonological processes:

  a) affricate-stopping (affricates realised as stops, eg [tæ]/[tsʰæ]/);

  b) fronting (/k/ realised as [t], eg [tua]/[kua]/);

  c) gliding (/ʌ/ realised as [j], eg [jou]/[jou]/);

  d) consonant assimilation (eg [pʰ iŋ puo]/[pʰ iŋ kuo]/).

Child 16 (5;6, male)
- Delayed phonetic and phonemic development.

- 6 phonemes (/k, kʰ, l, ḱ, tʃ, tsʰ/) missing from his phonemic inventory.

- 3 delayed phonological processes: fronting, /l/ syllable-initial deletion and gliding.

Child 17 (5;7, female)

- Delayed phonemic development.

- 3 phonemes (/ɹ, tɹ, tsʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

- 2 delayed phonological processes:
  
  a) fronting retroflexes as alveolars (eg [sua] /sua/);

  b) X-velarisation (/tsʰ, tʃʰ/ velarised, eg [xe] /tsʰ ae/; [xuə] /tʃʰ uə/).

Child 18 (6;1, male)

- Delayed phonemic development.

- 3 phonemes (/k, ts, tsʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

- 3 delayed phonological processes:
  
  a) affricate-stopping (affricates realised as stops, eg [tæ] /tsʰ ae/);

  b) fronting (/k/ was fronted as [t], eg [tɹ] /kɹ/);

  c) consonant assimilation (eg [pʰɪŋ puo] /pʰ ɪŋ kuo/).
Child 19 (6;7, male)

- Delayed phonemic development.
- 3 phonemes (/s, tʂ, tʂʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.
- 1 delayed phonological process: fronting retroflexes as alveolars (eg [sua] /ʂua/).

**Phonological disorder: consistent disorder**

Child 20 (2;8, male)

- 2 unusual phonological processes:
  
  a) syllable-final nasal /ŋ/ realised as [n] (eg [pʰin] /pʰin/);
  
  b) affricates /tɕʰ, tʂ/ realised as [k] (eg [kyn] /tɕʰyn/; [kuo] /tʂuo/).

Child 21 (4;1, male)

- 1 delayed phonological process – gliding.

Child 22 (4;2, male)

- Restricted phonetic and phonemic repertoires.
- 3 phones (/ʈʂʰ, tsʰ, ʃ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.
• 10 phonemes (/tʰ, x, k, kʰ, s, j, tʃʰ, ʃ, tʃʰ, tsʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• 2 unusual phonological processes:

  a) nasalising weakly stressed syllables (eg [pi dʒeⁿ] /pi tsi/; [kuæ deⁿ] /kʰuæ tsi/);

  b) /k/ realised as [tʃ] (eg [tʃə] /kə/; [tʃəʊ] /kəʊ/).

Child 23 (4;2, male)

• Restricted phonetic inventory.

• 2 phones (/tʃ, l/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

• 1 unusual phonological process -- [n] added at syllable-final position (eg [tʰun]/tʃuə/).

Child 24 (4;3, male)

• 2 unusual phonological processes:

  a) substituting [tʃiə] for the weakly stress syllable [tsi].

  b) [n] and [ŋ] added at syllable-final position.

Child 25 (4;6, male)
• Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

• 4 phones (/J, s, tʃ, ts/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

• 7 phonemes (/ʃ, s, J, tʃ, ts,h, ts/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• 2 unusual phonological processes:
  a) substituting [kʰʃ] for the weakly stressed syllable /tsi/.
  b) realising /ts/ and /tʃh/ as [k] or [kʰ] (eg [kuei] /tsuei/; [kae]/ tsae/; [kuo]/ tʃhuo/; [kʰʃ]/ tʃhʃ/).

Child 26 (4;8, female)

• Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

• 6 phones (/k,h, J, s, tʃ, ts,h/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

• 9 phonemes (/k,h, l, J, tʃ, s, tʃ,h, ts, ts,h/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• 2 unusual phonological processes:
  a) replacing /ŋ/ with [n] (eg [an]/uɔŋ/);
  b) realising affricates as fricatives of the same place of articulation (eg [sae]/tʃae/; [ciao]/tʃiaʃ/).

Child 27 (5;6, male)
- Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

- 1 phone (/kʰ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

- 4 phonemes (/k, kʰ, s, ts/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

- 1 unusual phonological process: /k, kʰ/ realised as [tʃ] (eg [tʃə] /kə/).

**Phonological disorder: inconsistent**

Child 28 (3;2, male)

- Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

- 4 phones (/s, p, tsʰ, ʃ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

- 7 phonemes (/p, tʰ, s, ʃ, ts, tsʰ, tsʰ/) missing from the phoneme inventory.

- Variable productions for vowels (eg /tɑn/ as [təʊ] or [tæn]; /ʃʊʃ/ as [ʃou], [ou] or [iəʊ]; /tʃʰyən tsì/ as [tʰui tsı], [tʰıə tsì] or [tʰiə tsì]).

- Variable productions for initial consonants (eg /su/ as [xu], [fu] or [ʃu]).

Child 29 (3;6, male)

- Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

- 10 phonemes (/tʰ, s, kʰ, l, s, tsʰ, ʃ, ts, tsʰ, tsʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.
• 6 phones (/s, t$s, k$h, t$s$h, g, t$s/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

• Inconsistent productions for syllable-initial consonants. Examples:
  a) /$u/ realised as [tsu], [tu], or [su];
  b) /tsuo/ realised as [kuo] or [tsuo];
  c) /k$huae/ realised as [uae], [pae] or [k$huae];
  d) /xua/ realised as [kua] or [ua].

Child 30 (3;7, male)

• Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

• 11 phones (/h, k, k$h, p$h, s, t$s, g, t$s, t$s$h, ts, ts$h/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

• 15 phonemes (/h, x, s, k, k$h, p$h, l, s, t$s, t$s$h, g, t$s, t$s$h, ts, ts$h/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• Restricted syllable structure: V or CV.

• Frequent occurrence of reduplications: substituting [tia], [t$ia] or [t$e] for a large number of different syllables while retaining the original tones (eg [tia] /t$an/; [tia] /t$shuan/; [t$ia t$e]/t$ehyn tsi/).
Frequent occurrence of assimilation: adjacent syllables sharing the same initial consonant and sometimes the same vowel (e.g. [tia tiao] for /siar tspiao/; [nia nia] for /nan xan/; [tSA tspian] for /tsae tspien/).

A number of deviant substitutions: [p] for /k/; [t] for /g/; [l] for /s/.

Frequent variable productions. Examples:

a) /sin/ realised as [iη], [tia], or [tin];

b) /tsʰae/ realised as [sae], [xae], [tʰia], or [tsuo];

c) /gywn mao/ realised as [ia. mao], [in mao], or [tA mao].

Child 31 (3;11, male)

Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

10 phones (/f, kʰ, pʰ, l, s, j, s, tˢ, ts, tsʰ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

14 phonemes (/n, f, g, k, kʰ, pʰ, l, s, j, s, tˢ, tˢʰ, ts, tsʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

Many deviant substitutions (e.g. [tir] /pʰir/; [tɕiaʊ] /niəʊ/; [pA]/fA/; [puo]/tɕuo/; [niou]/ny/; [yan]/nan/; [mein]/mən/; [jei]/ɕuei/).

Variable productions for initial consonants (especially with /ɡ/ and /k/) and vowels. Examples:
a) /ɕiŋ/ realised as [təŋ], [xin] or [təŋ];

b) /kua/ realised as [pA] or [tua].

Child 32 (3;11, male)

- Restricted phonetic and phonemic inventory.

- 7 phones (/kʰ, l, s, j, ʂ, tʂʰ, tsʰ/) missing from the phonetic inventory.

- 12 phonemes (/tʰ, x, k, kʰ, l, s, j, ʂ, tʂ, tʂʰ, ts, tsʰ/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

- Variable productions for syllable-initial consonants, particularly /s, ʂ, tʂ, tʂʰ/.

Examples:

a) /ʂ/ deleted, velarised as [x], or realised as [f], (eg /ʂuei/ as [xuei] or [uei];

 /ʂua/ as [xua] or [ua]; /ʂu/ as [fu] or [xu]);

b) /tʂ/ deleted, realised as [k], [ts], or [ʂ], (eg /tʂuo/ as [kuo] or [uo]; /tɕi/ as [tsi] or [ɕ]);

c) /tʂʰ/ deleted, or velarised as [x], (eg /tʂʰuəŋ/ as [xuan] or [uəŋ]).

d) /s/ deleted, velarised as [x], or realised as [ts] or [k], (eg /san/ as [tsan], [ian] or [san]; /suan/ as [kuan] or [xuan]).

Child 33 (4;0, male)
• Restricted phonemic inventory.

• 6 phonemes (/两个维护, k, l, ʃ, ts/) missing from the phonemic inventory.

• Variable productions for syllable-initial consonants, especially /两个维护, k, ʃ/. Examples:
  a) /两个维护/ deleted, or realised as [tɕ], [tɕʰ], [p], (eg /两个维护/ as [tɕin] or [in]; /两个维护/ as [tɕin], [tɕʰin] or [pin]);
  b) /k/ realised as [t], [p], (eg /kuo/ as [tuo], [kuo] or [puo]; /kuo/ as [kʊ], [tuo] or [kuo];)
  c) /ʃ/ realised as [k], [kʰ], [t], [s], [x], [ts], (eg /sou/ as [ku], [tʃ] or [kʰʃ]; /sou/ as [sou], [tou] or [sou]; /ʃei/ as [tsuei] or [xuei]).

5.4 DISCUSSION

This study examined the phonological systems of 33 Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorder using both quantitative and qualitative measures. The analyses indicated that the children could be categorised into four subgroups: one child had an articulation disorder, 18 were delayed in their phonological development, eight had consistent phonological disorder, and six had inconsistent phonological disorder. The individual profiles suggested that children differed significantly in terms of the size of their phonetic and phonemic inventories, types of phonological processes or error patterns, the way of implementing phonological processes or error patterns, and
degree of inconsistency. Nevertheless, these children exhibited characteristic error patterns that allowed identification of subgroups.

5.4.1 HOW DOES THE PHONOLOGY OF ONE SUBGROUP OF CHILDREN DIFFER FROM ANOTHER?

One child had difficulty articulating /s/. There was no apparent organic cause for her impairment: there was no anatomical anomaly and she passed oro-motor, hearing and VMI screening tests. A neurological disorder (a dysarthria) would affect articulation of a range of sounds rather than only one sound (Dodd, 1995). Therefore the impairment reflects a breakdown in motoric ability - mislearning of an articulatory gesture. In contrast, phonological disorders have a cognitive-linguistic basis (Bernthal & Bankson, 1998; Gierut, 1998). Articulation and phonological disorders can co-occur (Elbert, 1992; Fey, 1992). However, the relationship between the two disorders (i.e. whether they contribute to speech processing in a parallel way or in a hierarchical way, one dominating the other) needs further research.

The largest subgroup (54.5%) of children in this study had delayed phonological development. Most children in this subgroup (15 out of the 18) used one or more delayed processes. These processes were not found among more than 10% of the children of the same age band in the normative data, but frequently used by more than 10% of the children of a younger age band. Although all of the ‘normal’ processes were present in the children of the youngest age group in the normative data, some processes (e.g. fricatives realised as stops) were suppressed earlier than other processes (e.g. fronting retroflexes as alveolars). Therefore, children could be diagnosed as delayed at an early age (e.g. Child 2 aged 3;7). Most processes were suppressed by 5;0
in the normative data. Therefore, children older than 5;0 (Children 15, 16, 17, 18, & 19) whose speech included several delayed processes were very straightforward cases and often showed a severe degree of delay.

The identification of delay was less straightforward for children under four years – at this age normally developing children still make some developmental errors. In this study, three children under 4;0 were classified as delayed because their phonetic and/or phonemic inventories resembled those of younger children in the normative data. These children should have acquired some phones or phonemes at least one year earlier. It is arguable whether the size of a child’s phonetic and phonemic inventory alone is sufficient evidence for assessment of phonological development. There is no evidence that one sound is dependent on another for development and there are individual variations in sequences of phonetic and phonemic acquisition (Bankson & Bernthal, 1998). However, classifying these children as delayed is supported by the fact that their speech was perceived as abnormal by their caretakers and they made more errors and used more phonological processes than children of the same age group as shown by Z scores (for example, Child 3’s Z scores for PCE and the total number of phonological processes fell more than 4 standard deviations above the means of the normally developing children).

Although the delayed subgroup showed slower acquisition compared to normally developing children, accumulating research evidence suggests that the differences between delayed and normally developing groups are more quantitative than qualitative in nature. Variations in rate and manner of acquisition exist among normally developing children as well (Winitz, 1969; Wells, 1985, 1986). Previous research suggests that children with phonological delay do not differ from normally
developing children on tasks that assess their understanding of their native phonological system (Dodd, Leahy, & Hambly, 1989), and fine motor skills (Bradford & Dodd, 1994; 1996). It therefore seems likely that children with delayed development constitute the lower range of normal development.

A range of unusual phonological processes were used by the eight children with consistent phonological disorder (eg, syllable-final consonant addition, substituting alveolar and retroflex affricates with the velar stop [k]). Some children with consistent disorder also used developmentally delayed processes (eg Children 21, 22). It is nevertheless important to classify these children as disordered rather than delayed: the existence of unusual processes suggests a breakdown in these children’s speech processing mechanism. This breakdown is possibly the inability to accurately abstract knowledge from the mental lexicon about the nature of the phonological system to be learned (Dodd, Leahy, & Hambly, 1989).

The children classified with inconsistent phonological disorder (six children) had inconsistent production of more than 40% of the items when the same words were sampled in three separate trials. Their substitution patterns were unpredictable with the same target being replaced by different error sounds in the same environment. Vowels were also subject to error, while normally developing children rarely made vowel errors. Some sounds were used interchangeably (eg Child 31 sometimes replaced /l/ with [p] and sometimes replaced /p/ with [f]). This variability suggests that inconsistent production is not due to an inability to articulate sounds. Rather, the deficit may be in the organisational use of sounds, probably in the child’s ability to assemble contrastive phonological plans for words (Dodd, Leahy, & Hambly, 1989).
Statistical analyses suggested that there were significant differences in PCE, the total number of phonological processes or error patterns, the number of missing phones and inconsistency rating between the three subgroups of delay, consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder. Post-hoc analyses indicated that the inconsistent disorder subgroup had higher PCEs, used significantly more error patterns and had more missing phones than the delayed subgroup. However, the fact that the inconsistent disorder subgroup (aged 3;8 on average) was younger than the other two subgroups (delay subgroup: 4;8; consistent disorder subgroup: 4;3) made it difficult to speculate on the bases of these measurements alone whether inconsistent disorder subgroup is associated with severity of impairment. Z scores were used to compare performance of children of different ages. As illustrated in Figures 5.2, the children with high inconsistency rating had a wider range of Z score distribution, covering both high and low Z scores. This result supports McCormack and Dodd's (1998) finding that there is no continuous relationship between severity of speech errors and degree of inconsistency.

The Z score distributions also have implications for identification and diagnoses of phonologically disordered children. If we assume 10% as a prevalence figure (National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders), Z scores higher than 1.28 would signal a deviation from the normal population. As shown in the figures, most children scored higher than 1.28. In fact, if the children older than 4;6 are excluded (for the reason that the means and standard deviations are not available among the normally developing children of the same age group), only two children’s Z scores fell below 1.28. All the delayed children had one, two or all Z
scores higher than 1.28. This finding is consistent with the criterion for delay - a quantitatively slower rate of development.

Two children's Z scores were within normal range. One child had a consistent phonological disorder and the other was inconsistent. One explanation for their Z scores not indicating impairment is that these two subgroups are different from the normal population qualitatively (i.e. the use of unusual processes and inconsistent use of error patterns). The implication of these analyses is that while quantitative measurements are very effective in screening phonological impairment of delayed type, qualitative measurements, especially phonological processes or error patterns, are more important in diagnosing consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder.

5.4.2 HOW DOES THE DISORDERED PHONOLOGY OF PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILDREN DIFFER FROM THAT OF NORMALLY DEVELOPING PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILDREN?

Phonological disorder is diagnosed with reference to normal development: the age of emergence and stabilisation of phonemes, phonological process use and consistency. A diagnosis of delayed phonological development reflects slower acquisition (i.e. smaller phonetic and/or phonemic inventories in comparison to the children of the same age and use of one or more phonological processes that should no longer be evident). A diagnosis of consistent disorder reflects the use of rules or processes atypical of normal development. Children with inconsistent disorder have variations in their production atypical of normal development.

However, disordered Putonghua-speaking children showed sensitivity to the phonological system being acquired, especially the degree of phonological saliency of
syllable components, similar to normally developing children (for a definition of phonological saliency, see 3.5.5). As discussed in 3.5.5, tones in Putonghua have the highest saliency, syllable-initial consonants have the lowest saliency of the four syllable components; and vowels and syllable-final consonants are in between. Despite the diversity of error types, the Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorder seldom made tone errors (See 3.5.5 and 4.6.2.2 for discussions on error-free acquisition of tones); only 4 (12%) children made vowel errors; and only 4 (12%) children used delayed processes affecting syllable-final consonants. Most errors affected syllable-initial consonants.

Certain sounds (eg velar stops /k/ and /kʰ/, retroflexes and affricates) were often absent from disordered Putonghua-speaking children’s phonemic inventories. It is not surprising that children with speech difficulties had problems with the sounds such as retroflexes and affricates, since normally developing children also had difficulties with these sounds. This is evident both in late acquisition of these sounds and high frequency of fronting and backing processes in the normative sample. However, velar stops /k/ and /kʰ/ are exceptional. While 90% of the children in the normative sample had mastered the stops by 3;6 and 90% of the children were able to articulate the unaspirated /k/ by 2;0, 13 children (39%) in the disordered children were still not able to use velar stops /k/ and /kʰ/ either phonologically or phonetically correctly.

The factors that contribute to breakdown in phonological processing of certain sounds, especially sounds which are otherwise acquired early in the normative sample, are not known. Ingram (1989b) made a similar observation for disordered
English-speaking children, finding persistent problems with the production of fricatives and affricates in the speech of some English-speaking children with speech disorder. Although affricates are acquired late by English-speaking children, some fricatives are acquired early (Prather, et al., 1975; Dodd, 1995). Mohring (1931) suggested that those phonemes with which children with speech disorder had least difficulty would be acquired earlier by normally developing children than phonemes they found difficult. Therefore, the order of acquisition of phonemes in normally developing children could be identified by looking at the phonemes prone to error in disordered children's speech. The fact that disordered Putonghua-speaking and English-speaking children make errors with phonemes that are acquired early by normally developing children does not support this suggestion.

5.4.3 HOW DOES THE DISORDERED PHONOLOGY OF PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILDREN DIFFER FROM THAT OF CHILDREN SPEAKING OTHER LANGUAGES?

Stoel-Gammon (1991, pp.27-28) noted that "published studies of developmental phonological disorders have focused almost exclusively on young British and American children, thereby precluding the possibility of making firm statements regarding universal patterns". This study on phonologically disordered Putonghua-speaking children, together with other recent cross-linguistic studies (for a review, see 1.3.1; for Italian data, see Bortolini & Leonard, 1991; Portugese data, see Yavas & Lamprecht, 1988; for Swedish data, see Nettelbladt, 1983) provides evidence concerning some universal patterns of phonological disorder. Subgroups of speech disorder (articulation, delay, consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder) have been observed in English (Dodd, Leahy, & Hambly, 1989), Cantonese (So & Dodd, 1994),
Spanish (Goldstein, 1996), Turkish, (Topbas, 1997) and German data (Fox, 1997). Studies of bilingual phonologically disordered children (Cantonese-English, Dodd, Holm, & Li, 1997; Punjabi-English, Holm, Dodd, Stow, & Pert, 1999; Italian-English, Holm & Dodd, 1999) also support the existence of four subgroups: the bilingual children had similar surface error characteristics in both their languages despite the influence of the ambient phonology of the language.

Nevertheless, irrespective of the languages being learned, there is evidence that children with speech disorder show sensitivity to the structure of the language being learned. Disordered children speaking one language may present with phonological processes which are considered ‘typical’ or ‘atypical’ relative to the normal patterns of that language. In other words, what phonological processes should be considered unusual and used as criteria for diagnoses varies from one language to another. For example, while ‘backing’ at word-initial position is considered an atypical process in Cantonese-speaking children (So & Dodd, 1994), it is frequently found in normally developing Putonghua-speaking children. Therefore, a Cantonese-speaking child using a backing process would be considered disordered, but a Putonghua-speaking child would not.

In general, the characteristics of disordered Putonghua phonology are similar to those of English-speaking children (Grunwell, 1982; Stoel-Gammon & Dunn, 1985; Stoel-Gammon, 1991). These characteristics are:

- Persisting delayed processes. Phonological processes which are prevalent in young children persisted beyond age-appropriate levels.
- Unusual error patterns rarely found among normally developing children.
• Variability/inconsistency in production. Variability in normally developing children is most common when a child varies between an incorrect and correct form. Phonologically disordered children (especially the subgroup of inconsistent disorder) show a range of error realisations for the same target sounds in the same sequences.

• Restricted phonetic or phonemic inventory. Most of disordered children have limited phonetic or phonemic inventory.

• Systematic sound or syllable preference. Some sounds or syllables are preferred to other sounds or syllables in children's production and used as substitutes for other sounds or syllables. This often leads to many homonymous productions and low intelligibility. Child 30 is a typical example. In his production, [tia] and [tēia] replaced a number of syllables including /tæŋ/, /tæθuŋ/, /suei/, /tæθyŋ/, /tææl/, etc.

5.5 SUMMARY

In this chapter, the phonological development of 33 children with functional speech disorders is described. Among the children, 1 child had an articulation disorder, 18 had delayed phonological development, 8 consistently used unusual error patterns, and 6 children's speech was characterised by inconsistency of production. The analyses lead to the following conclusions:

• Cross-linguistic support was provided for four-subgroup diagnosis system (articulation, delay, consistent disorder, and inconsistent disorder) — a combined linguistic and psycholinguistic approach in categorising heterogeneous phonologically disordered children.
A number of qualitative and quantitative measurements in screening phonologically disordered Putonghua-speaking children were suggested and their effectiveness in diagnoses of speech disorder was evaluated.

Patterns found in phonologically disordered Putonghua-speaking children were compared with normally developing children. Both normally developing children and speech disordered children are systematic and show sensitivity to the phonological system of the language being learned. However, phonemes which are problematic for disordered children are not necessarily the same phonemes acquired late by normally developing children. This not only indicates the atypical nature of disordered children, but also suggests that further research is needed to examine the factors that contribute to the breakdown in the speech-processing chains of disordered children.

Putonghua-speaking children with disordered speech are sensitive to the structure of the ambient language. They also share the characteristics common to disordered children speaking other languages. These characteristics are persisting delayed processes, unusual error types, variability, restricted phonetic or phonemic inventory, and systematic sound or syllable preference.

The present chapter provides perhaps the first comprehensive account of the disordered phonology of Putonghua-speaking children. The next chapter will examine the development and change over time in the disordered phonology of Putonghua-speaking children.
CHAPTER 6

DEVELOPMENT AND CHANGE IN THE PHONOLOGY OF
PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILDREN WITH FUNCTIONAL
SPEECH DISORDERS
6.1 INTRODUCTION

In the previous chapter, the phonological systems of 33 Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorders were examined using both quantitative measures (severity and inconsistency) and qualitative measures (phonetic inventory, phonemic inventory and phonological processes). The study reported in the present chapter is a follow-up to the one in Chapter 5. The focus here is on the issue of development and change over time in the disordered phonology of Putonghua-speaking children. As discussed in 1.3.2, there is disagreement regarding when developmental phonological disorder emerges and whether phonological disorder in young children spontaneously resolves (Compton, 1976; Fletcher, 1990; Ingram, 1989b; McReynolds, 1988; cf. Leahy & Dodd, 1987). Yet, data on the origin of developmental phonological disorder is difficult to obtain. By the time the children are suspected of having phonological disorder, their early speech development is beyond close inspection. Data on the spontaneous resolution of phonological disorder is also difficult to get, since the children will be involved in treatment programmes once they are referred to speech and language therapy services.

Since speech and language therapy services are yet to be established in China, children with speech disorders receive no speech and language therapy intervention. This less than ideal situation in fact provides a rather unique opportunity to document the development of disordered phonology in the absence of intervention, which may shed light on the course of development of speech disorder in children.

The specific research questions addressed by the present study are:
• What changes in developmental patterns characterise phonological impairment in Putonghua-speaking children in terms of qualitative measures (i.e. phonetic inventory, phonemic inventory, and phonological process use) and quantitative measures (i.e. severity scores and inconsistency rating)?

• Do the changes in developmental patterns of different subgroups of phonological disorder (articulation disorder, delayed phonological acquisition, consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder) differ?

6.2 METHOD

6.2.1 PARTICIPANTS

Of the 33 children with speech disorders who were studied in Chapter 5, six (three with delayed phonological development, two with consistent disorder and one with inconsistent disorder) from the same nursery school were assessed for a second time after an average interval of eleven months (11.29± 4.03 months). Recall that the 33 children included one boy (coded as Child 12 in chapter 5) who had been previously assessed in the cross-sectional study and whose speech development was considered normal at the time. He was later referred by his teacher as having 'speech difficulties', when we were sampling speech disordered children. Our assessment (Chapter 5) revealed that his phonological development was delayed. The data from the two assessments of this particular child (the first time as part of the cross-sectional study and the second time when he was diagnosed as having a delayed phonology) are included in the present analysis (referred to as Child A in this study).
All the children were acquiring Putonghua as their first language and attended nurseries in Beijing. None of the children had siblings. None of the children had hearing impairment as detected by pure tone audiometry, abnormalities in oral structure as assessed by an oromotor examination (Ozanne, 1992), learning problems as assessed by the Visual-Motor Integration test (Beery, 1989), behavioural difficulties or language comprehension problems as reported by teachers and parents or observed by researchers. Information about the children's age and gender is summarised in Table 6.1.

6.2.2 PROCEDURE

The children were assessed individually in a quiet room at the nursery, using the same picture-naming and picture-description tasks that were used in the cross-sectional normative study and speech disorder study. The target words in the picture-naming task sampled all the consonants, vowels and tones in Putonghua phonology. The children were first asked to name the objects or actions in 44 pictures three times, with each trial separated by another activity. The children were then asked to describe what was 'funny' in another set of pictures (e.g. a panda eating watermelon in bed). The children's speech was audiotaped using a Sony professional micro-recorder.

The speech samples from the picture-naming and picture-description tasks were transcribed using the International Phonetic Alphabet. Inter-transcriber reliability (on all of the samples) for syllable-initial word-initial, syllable-initial within-word, syllable-final word-final, and syllable-final within-word consonants was 95.0%, 94.6%, 98.6% and 97.2%, respectively.
The following quantitative and qualitative measures were derived for the two assessments of each child. All of them have been used and defined in the speech disorder study reported in the previous study.

- **Percentage of Consonants in Error (PCE):** PCE for each child was calculated by the formula \((\text{number of times consonants produced in error} + \text{total number of consonants in the sample}) \times 100\).

- **Z-score for score:** calculated for PCE by the formula \(\frac{\text{the difference between PCE and mean value of PCE derived in the normative data}}{\text{standard deviation}}\). The Z scores were used to compare children with speech disorder and normally developing children of the same age.

- **Phonetic inventory:** all the sounds produced at least once in the speech sample, irrespective of whether it was the correct target.

- **Phonemic inventory:** all the sounds produced correctly on at least two of three opportunities.

- **Total number of phonological processes:** phonological processes refer to consistent difference between children's realisations and target sounds. Phonological processes had to occur at least twice in different lexical items to be included.

- **Phonological processes:** phonological processes were classified as either age-appropriate, delayed or unusual processes.
* **Age-appropriate processes:** Phonological processes used by at least 10% of the children at the same age band in the normative sample.

* **Developmental processes:** Phonological processes used by less than 10% of the children at the same age band in the normative data, but appropriate for younger children.

* **Unusual processes:** Phonological processes not found among more than 10% of the normally developing children at any age band.

- **Inconsistency rating:** comparison of the three productions of the 44 words. The number of words with two or three different productions was expressed as a percentage of the total number of words produced three times.

### 6.3 RESULTS

Group trends in development and change for the seven children are examined as a whole in terms of severity scores, phonetic and phonemic inventories, and phonological processes.

#### 6.3.1 SEVERITY SCORES

The children's PCE, the total number of phonological processes identified, Z score for PCE, and inconsistency rating are summarised in Table 6.1. Statistical analyses showed that there was a significant decrease between the two assessments in terms of PCE, the total number of phonological processes or error patterns and inconsistency rating. (Wilcoxon Two-related Sample Signed Ranks Test: T=1, n=7, \( p=.028 \) for PCE; T=0, n=7,
Table 6.1 Results of quantitative analysis for seven children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>PCE</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Z PCE</th>
<th>InCon</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>boy</td>
<td>3;3</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4;6</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>girl</td>
<td>4;3</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.932</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5;0</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.305*</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>girl</td>
<td>3;8</td>
<td>43.41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.014</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4;5</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.912</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>girl</td>
<td>3;3</td>
<td>24.45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4;5</td>
<td>15.22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>girl</td>
<td>2;2</td>
<td>32.16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3;7</td>
<td>25.37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.813</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>boy</td>
<td>2;8</td>
<td>42.91</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.275</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3;5</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>Consistent D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>boy</td>
<td>3;11</td>
<td>76.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.012</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4;5</td>
<td>55.10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.155</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>Inconsistent D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 'InCon': inconsistency rating; 'Consistent D': consistent disorder; 'Inconsistent D': inconsistent disorder. The Z score for child B was computed on the basis of means and standard deviation of the age group of 4;0-4;6, the oldest age group in the normative study.
6.3.2 PHONETIC AND PHONEMIC INVENTORIES

Table 6.2 compares the missing phones and phonemes in the phonetic and phonemic inventories of each child in the first and second assessment. The size of six children's phonetic and phonemic inventories increased over time. This is in agreement with the changes reflected in quantitative measures of PCE and Z scores. Yet Child G showed the opposite tendency in the development of his phonemic inventory: 6 phonemes he had mastered in the first assessment were not present in his inventory at the second assessment. In other words, he appeared to have 'lost' six phonemes over time. ‘Loss’ of phonemes was also found in another two children: Child A lost two phonemes; Child C lost three phonemes.

Statistical analyses showed that there was a significant increase in the size of the phonetic inventory between the two assessments (Wilcoxon Two-related Sample Signed Ranks Test: $T=0$, $n=7$, $p=.018$). However, there was no significant increase in the size of the phonemic inventory between two assessments (Wilcoxon Two-related Sample Signed Ranks Test: $T=5.50$, $n=7$, $p=.149$). Although the children had increased the number of speech sounds in their phonetic repertoire, they had not significantly increased their contrastive use.
Table 6.2 The missing phones and phonemes in the children’s phonetic and phonemic inventories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A phone</td>
<td>s, ts</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoneme</td>
<td>k, kʰ, s, tˢʰ, ts</td>
<td>s, tˢ, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B phone</td>
<td>s, j, tˢ, tˢʰ</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoneme</td>
<td>p, s, j, tˢ, tˢʰ, tˢʰ</td>
<td>tˢ, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C phone</td>
<td>k, kʰ, j, s, tˢʰ</td>
<td>j, tˢ, tˢ, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoneme</td>
<td>k, kʰ, f, s, x, l, tˢʰ, ts, tˢʰ</td>
<td>s, j, tˢ, tˢʰ, ts, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D phone</td>
<td>l, s, j, ts</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoneme</td>
<td>f, l, s, j, tɕ, tˢ, tˢʰ, ts</td>
<td>k, s, l, tˢ, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E phone</td>
<td>p, pʰ, m, n, f, s, s, l, j, tɕ, tɕʰ, ts</td>
<td>ts, tˢʰ, ts, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoneme</td>
<td>p, pʰ, m, n, f, s, s, l, j, tɕ, tɕʰ, l, tɕ, tɕʰ, ts, tˢʰ, ts</td>
<td>l, tɕ, tɕʰ, ts, tˢʰ, ts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F phone</td>
<td>kʰ, s, s, l, j, tɕʰ, ts, tˢʰ, ts, s, s, l, ts, tˢʰ</td>
<td>s, s, l, ts, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoneme</td>
<td>k, kʰ, s, x, s, l, j, tɕʰ, c, ts</td>
<td>k, s, s, l, ts, tˢʰ, ts, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G phone</td>
<td>pʰ, kʰ, f, s, s, l, j, ts, ts, tˢʰ, ts</td>
<td>pʰ, kʰ, s, s, j, tɕ, tˢʰ, ts, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoneme</td>
<td>pʰ, k, kʰ, n, f, s, s, l, j, ts</td>
<td>pʰ, tʰ, k, kʰ, m, n, f, s, x, s, j, tɕ, tɕʰ, c, ts, tˢʰ, ts, tˢʰ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6.3.3 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES OR ERROR PATTERNS

Eighteen normal phonological processes (both age-appropriate and delayed processes) and 13 unusual phonological processes or error patterns were identified (see Table 6.3).

Table 6.3 Phonological processes or error patterns in seven children’s speech

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3;3</td>
<td>4;6</td>
<td>4;3</td>
<td>5;0</td>
<td>3;8</td>
<td>4;5</td>
<td>3;3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal processes

- Consonant assimilation
- Syllable initial deletion
- Fronting: /s/ → [s]
- /s/ → [ʃ/ʃ]
- /k/ → [t]
- Backing: /s/ → [ʂ]
- Stopping: /t̚s/ → [t]
- /s/ → [t]
- /s/ → [k]
- Affrication: /s/ → [tʃ]
- Deaspiration: /t̚/ → [t]
- Aspiration: /t/ → [t̚]
- X-velarisation
- Gliding
- Final /n/ deletion
- Backing: /n/ → [ŋ]
- Final /ŋ/ deletion
- Triphthong reduction
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### Unusual processes or error patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syllable initial addition</th>
<th>Vowel change</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/g/→ [n]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s, ts/→ [k]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/l/→ [p]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/→[p]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/pʰ/→[t ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/pʰh/→[k]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: shaded cells are delayed processes.

- Normal processes (both age-appropriate and delayed): there was a significant decrease in the number of normal processes identified between the first and second assessment (Wilcoxon Two-related Sample Signed Ranks Test: T=2.00, n=7, p= .041). Some processes identified in the first assessment were no longer evident in the second assessment: e.g. stopping /ts/ →[t] and final /n/ deletion in Child B. Some processes persisted over time even if they were no longer age-appropriate: e.g. aspiration in Child C; stopping /s/ →[t] in Child E. Four processes, though not identified at the initial assessment, presented in some children’s speech at the second assessment: fronting /s/ →[s] in Children A, D, E, & F; stopping /ts/ → [t] in Child E; affrication /ʃ/ →[tʃ] in Child A; backing /n/ → [t] in Child G.
Unusual processes or error patterns: there was no significant change in the number of unusual processes or error patterns identified in the first and second assessment (Wilcoxon Two-related Sample Signed Ranks Test: $T=0$, $n=3$, $p=.317$).

### 6.3.4 INDIVIDUAL PROFILES

Individual profiles are also presented to outline variations among the children diagnosed as belonging to different subgroups of speech disorder. Since the children made no tonal errors, tonal markers are not included in the examples.

**Child A (normal → delayed)**

This boy’s development was considered normal when assessed at 3;3 as part of the cross-sectional study in Chapter 3. Three age-appropriate processes -- consonant assimilation, fronting /k/ as [t] and backing /s/ as [ʂ] -- were identified in his initial speech sample.

When assessed again upon his teacher’s insistence after 13 months, a delayed process – affrication (fricatives replaced with affricates e.g. [tɕie]/ɕie/) was identified. The other two processes identified in his second sample were age appropriate: fronting (retroflexes realised as alveolars e.g. [suei]/ɕuei/) and consonant assimilation.

**Child B (delay → normal)**

When initially assessed, this girl showed characteristics of delayed development: restricted phonetic inventory and delayed phonological process use. The size of her phonetic inventory at 4;3 was equivalent to that of children aged 3;1-3;6. The phonological processes she used included three age-appropriate processes: fronting (retroflexes realised as alveolars, e.g. [tsuo]/tɕuo/); affricate-stopping (/tʰ/ realised as...
stop [tʰ], e.g. [tʰæ]/hsʰæ/; /n/ deletion at syllable-final position with vowel changes in the syllable (e.g. [wae]/wan/) and one developmental process: stopping (/s/ realised as [t], e.g. [san]/tan/). When she was assessed again seven months later, all the processes except fronting had been eliminated from her speech. Her phonetic inventory was complete.

Child C (delay → delay)

This girl's phonology was considered delayed at the first assessment. Her phonemic repertoire was smaller compared to that of normally developing children of the same age. She also used a wide range of phonological processes such as fronting /k/ to [t] (e.g. [tua]/kua/; [tuæ]/kʰuæ/), backing alveolars as retroflexes (e.g. [sæ]/san/), affricate-stopping (affricates realised as [t], e.g. [thin]/tʰin/), stopping (/s/ realised as [t], e.g. [tan]/san/), deaspiration (e.g. [tsuŋ]/tʰuŋ/), aspiration (e.g. [tuɔ]/tuɔ/), X-velarisation (e.g. [xA]/fA/), gliding (e.g. [jou]/jɔu/), /n/ syllable-final deletion (e.g. [wa]/wan/), and replacing /n/ with [ŋ] (e.g. [gir]/gǐŋ/). Among them, stopping /s/ as [t] was a delayed process. All of these processes except gliding were still present in her speech when assessed seven months later. She remained delayed in her phonological development.

Child D (delay → delay)

In addition to a restricted phonemic inventory, one delayed phonological process — stopping (/x/ realised as [k], e.g. [xua]/kua/) was evident in this girl's speech when assessed for the first time. The age-appropriate phonological processes identified were:
consonant assimilation, syllable initial deletion, fronting /k/ as [t] (e.g. [uai] /kuai/),
affricate-stopping (e.g. [uo] /tsuo/), X-velarisation (e.g. [xA] /IA/), and replacing /n/ with
[t] at syllable-final position. In the second sample, collected 12 months later, her
phonology was still typical of delayed development with a restricted phonemic repertoire
and the use of delayed processes. Some of the phonological processes identified in the
first sample (e.g. consonant assimilation, stopping /x/ as [k]) had been eliminated; some
(e.g. fronting /k/ as [t], affricate-stopping) remained; and some processes were newly
developed: fronting retroflexes as alveolars (e.g. [sui] /sui/) and stopping /s/ as [t] (e.g.
[tan] /san/).

Child E (consistent disorder → consistent disorder)

The first assessment revealed the child’s consistent use of unusual phonological
processes, indicating a diagnosis of consistent phonological disorder. The unusual
process was the replacement of affricates /ts, ts, tsh/ with the velar stop /k/ (e.g. [kY]
/tsgY/). When assessed 13 months later, this unusual process had been eliminated.
However, she had developed another unusual phonological process – replacing /g/ with
[t] (e.g. [tiɛ]/tiɛ/). Some of the age-appropriate phonological processes identified in the
first assessment such as consonant assimilation, syllable-initial deletion, and affrication
were no longer evident at the second assessment.

Child F (consistent disorder → consistent disorder)

When this boy was assessed for the first time, a number of age-appropriate processes and
unusual processes were identified in his speech. The unusual processes were: syllable-
final nasal /ŋ/ realised as [n] (e.g. [pʰin]/[pʰŋ]/); and affricates /tʂʰ/, /tʂ/ realised as [k] (e.g. [kyn]/[tʂʰyn]/; [kuo]/[tʂuo]/). These processes were still evident in the second sample, nine months later. A new unusual process: replacing /ʂ/ with [k] (e.g. [ku]/[ʂu]/) was identified in his speech. Some of the normal processes such as affrication, X-velarisation, final /n/ deletion were no longer evident.

**Child G** (inconsistent disorder → inconsistent disorder)

At the first assessment, this boy's phonology resembled that of children with inconsistent phonological disorder: inconsistency was evident in both initial consonants (especially /漕/ and /k/) and vowels. Examples were: /漕/ realised as [tɕ], [t] or [x], (e.g. /ɕin/ → [tɕʷ]), [xin] [tɕːn]); /k/ realised as [p] or [t] (e.g. /kua/ → [pA], [tua]). Many deviant substitutions affecting initial consonants and vowels were found in his speech: [tʰin]/[pʰŋ]/; [tɕiaʊ]/[niəʊ]/; [pA]/[fA]/; [puo]/[tʂuo]/; [niou]/[ny]/; [yan]/[nan]/, [mein]/[mən]/; [jei]/[ɕei]/. His phonology remained inconsistent at his second assessment six months later. Many non-developmental errors were identified at the first assessment (e.g. [tʰŋ]/[pʰŋ]/; [pA]/[fA]/; [puo]/[tʂuo]/) and the second assessment (e.g. [pA]/[kua]/; [pæ]/[kʰuæ]/; [tA]/[xæ]/). Unlike the other six children reported in this paper, the size of his phonemic inventory did not increase over time. Instead, some sounds which he was able to use phonemically correctly at the first assessment were no longer present in his inventory (see phoneme grids in Table 6.4 for an overall picture of the boy’s inconsistent productions in the two assessments).
Table 6.4 Phoneme Grids

Child G: first assessment at the age of 3;11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>α</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>gh</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>th</th>
<th>ts</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>th</th>
<th>ts</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>th</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>nh</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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Production

Notes: The phonemes in both left and right edges are targets. The phonemes in both upper and lower edges should be used as references for children’s realisations. The shaded cells on the same horizontal line represent children’s realisations of the same phoneme on several trials.
Child G: second assessment at the age of 4;5

<table>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t̂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n̄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t̂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n̄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Production Notes: The phonemes in both left and right edges are targets. The phonemes in both upper and lower edges should be used as references for children’s realisations. The shaded cells on the same horizontal line represent children’s realisations of the same phoneme on several trials.
6.4 DISCUSSION

6.4.1 GENERAL PATTERNS OF DEVELOPMENT AND CHANGES FOUND AMONG SEVEN CHILDREN

In this study, the spontaneous changes in the phonological systems of seven Putonghua-speaking children with speech delay/disorder were described using both quantitative and qualitative measures. As a group, the children showed a tendency to make statistically significantly fewer errors, use fewer phonological processes or error patterns and perform more consistently over time. Their phonetic inventories expanded over time although there was no significant increase in their phonemic use. There was a significant reduction in the number of normal phonological processes used (including both age-appropriate and delayed processes) when the children were assessed for the second time. However, there was no significant change in the use of unusual phonological processes or error patterns.

The phenomenon of 'recidivism' (i.e., loss of phonetic and phonemic contrasts, see Smith, 1973) was evident during the course of the children's development. Child C was able to produce the phonemes /s/, /ʂ/ and /ʂ/ at the first assessment, yet failed to produce them at the second assessment; Child G lost six phonemes which he had used correctly on two-thirds of occasions in the first assessment. Some error patterns which were not present in the children's phonology during the first assessment were found in the children's second assessment. This phenomenon, however, is not unique to children with phonological disorder. Smith (1973) documented some patterns of change in the phonological system of a boy aged 2;2-4;0. He found that during the development the boy lost some systematic contrasts or correct forms that had already been established. The same tendency was also
reported in Weiner and Wacker's (1982) observation of changes that took place over a period of one year and a half in ten normally developing children aged 3;1-3;6.

However, the extent to which a phonologically impaired child like Child G in this study failed to produce phonemes which he had used correctly at an earlier developmental stage is outstanding. One possible explanation is that the child was experiencing a 'destabilisation' developmental stage (Grunwell, 1992): a stable pronunciation pattern changes into variable production as the result of the introduction of a new pattern into the child's phonology or generalisation of phonological rules. Alternatively, recidivism to such an extent might indicate that the child's phonological difficulties have come to affect his phonological production to a greater degree.

6.4.2 PATTERNS OF DEVELOPMENT IN DIFFERENT SUBGROUPS

Individually, children showed significant variations in their developmental patterns. Using the subgroup of normal, delay, consistent disorder, and inconsistent disorder, changes that took place in the phonology of these seven children were:

- normal $\rightarrow$ delay;
- delay $\rightarrow$ normal;
- delay $\rightarrow$ delay (2 children);
- consistent disorder $\rightarrow$ consistent disorder (2 children);
- inconsistent disorder $\rightarrow$ inconsistent disorder.
Children with different subgroups of speech disorder may follow different developmental routes as a result of different underlying deficits. Accumulating research evidence indicates that different types of speech disorder arise from different underlying deficits (Dodd & McCormack, 1995). Children who use one or more phonological processes typical of the development of a younger child were classified as delayed. Previous research suggests that children with delayed phonological development do not differ from normally developing children on phonological awareness tasks that assess their understanding of their native phonological system (Dodd, Leahy & Hambly, 1989), or on fine motor skills (Bradford & Dodd, 1994; 1996). Therefore, it seems likely that children with delayed development constitute the lower range of normal development. Evidence from the speech disorder study reported in Chapter 5 suggested that the differences between delayed and normally developing children are more quantitative than qualitative in nature. In other words, there is no impairment in these children’s internal phonological acquisition mechanism. The delay in acquisition is more likely due to external or environmental factors such as ‘inadequate exposure to language’ (Savic, 1980).

If it is external factors such as insufficient language input that lead to delay in the children’s phonological development, it is feasible that:

a) children with characteristics of delayed development are those who initially follow a normal developmental path, but for environmental reasons, slow down in their rate of acquisition – as proposed by several researchers (Compton, 1976; Fletcher, 1990; Ingram, 1989b; McReynolds, 1988);
b) children who are delayed in their phonological development may be able to catch up and follow the normal developmental path again, if the external factors that impede their phonological acquisition no longer exist;

c) children may remain delayed over time, if the external factors that impede their phonological acquisition are still in function.

These possibilities are reflected in the observed changes that occurred to Children A, B, C and D in this study. Child A was developing normally at the first assessment and then became delayed, falling behind children of the same age. In contrast, Child B was delayed when assessed initially but performed within normal limits at the second assessment. Children C and D remained delayed across the two assessments. However, further research is needed to confirm the direct link between the language exposure and phonological development, perhaps by monitoring the change both in language input and in the rate of phonological development.

Compared with the delayed group, children with consistent or inconsistent disorders evidenced little change in their phonological systems (e.g. Children E, F, G), perhaps due to deficits in their internal speech processing mechanism. For children with consistent disorder (i.e. children who consistently use unusual phonological processes) the breakdown may lie in an impaired ability to accurately abstract knowledge from the mental lexicon about the nature of the phonological system to be learned (Dodd, Leahy & Hambly, 1989). For children with inconsistent disorder (i.e. children who tend to have inconsistent production of the same words in the same contexts) the deficit may lie in the children's ability to assemble contrastive phonological plans for words (Dodd, Leahy & Hambly, 1989).
Compared to external environmental causal factors, an internal underlying deficit in children's phonological system is resistant to spontaneous change. The development and change in the phonological systems of children with such deficits were examined in terms of phonological processes (i.e. strategies adopted by the children to cope with the complex task of learning how to pronounce words, Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1980). While little change occurred to the unusual processes used by phonologically disordered children over time, there was a significant reduction in the number of delayed processes used at the first and second assessment. Similar findings were reported in Weiner and Wacker (1982) and Leahy and Dodd (1987; 1995). Further, unusual and delayed processes respond differently to treatment: deviant processes or error patterns may be more resistant to treatment while delayed processes are likely to resolve spontaneously (Dodd & Iacano, 1989).

6.4.3 CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Different underlying deficits may lead to different patterns of developmental change, suggesting that differential diagnosis has important clinical implications. The delayed group has been reported to be the largest subgroup among the children with speech disorder. For example, 54.5% of the Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorder, who were randomly selected for study, belonged to the subgroup of delayed phonological development (Chapter 5). The current study indicated that the delayed development of one child, who used a small number of delayed phonological processes at the first assessment, spontaneously resolved without intervention. Future research might investigate whether the extent of early delay might predict spontaneous recovery in the largest subgroup of children with speech disorder. However, as yet it is not possible to
make any firm argument concerning the early prognostic indicators of spontaneous recovery from delayed phonological development due to the limited number of subjects in this study.

However, the children with consistent or inconsistent disorders made relatively little progress over time, adding to the evidence that phonological disorder is resistant to spontaneous change (Leahy & Dodd, 1987; Weiner & Wacker, 1982). This factor needs to be considered when deciding clients' priority for treatment. The findings also have implications for choice of treatment targets. The children with consistent disorder used some developmental processes at the first assessment that were eliminated by the second assessment. This finding might suggest that those aspects of the phonological system that appear to be developing non-age-appropriately do not necessarily need to be targeted in therapy. Rather, therapy might target those aspects of the system that are developing atypically. The child who made inconsistent errors at the first assessment, remained inconsistent. In such cases, establishing consistency of production would seem to be an important therapeutic goal. The general patterns of development found in the current study highlighted the dynamic nature of deviant systems (Compton, 1976). This implies that therapeutic procedures should be monitored carefully.

6.5 SUMMARY

The studies reported in this and the previous chapter (Chapter 5) are the first systematic studies of the phonological systems of Putonghua-speaking children with functional speech disorders. They illustrate the similarities as well as differences in children with phonological disorders across languages. The follow-up study of seven children with
disordered phonology reported in this chapter provides a unique window to the development and change of the phonological systems of these children over time and raises important professional issues regarding clinical intervention.

It is suggested that:

- Children with different underlying deficits follow different paths of development. Delayed development may be caused by external environmental factors such as the quality and amount of language input. Therefore, it can occur at any stage of children’s phonological acquisition and may spontaneously resolve later. However, this does not seem to be the case for children whose speech is characterised by consistent use of atypical error patterns or those whose speech is characterised by inconsistent errors. These surface error patterns have been shown to be associated with underlying deficits in the children’s internal speech processing mechanism (Dodd & McCormack, 1995). Unless these deficits are targeted in specific intervention programs, there appears to be little spontaneous change in the types and numbers of deviant phonological errors made.

- Different developmental patterns found in children with different subtypes of speech disorder imply the need for differential diagnosis and treatment. The fact that some of the children with delayed development would resolve spontaneously without intervention and the children with consistent or inconsistent disorder would make little progress over time needs to be considered in deciding clients’ priority for therapy.
CHAPTER 7

THE PHONOLOGICAL SYSTEMS OF A SET OF

PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING TWINS
This chapter turns its attention to the phonological development of Putonghua-speaking twins. It is often mentioned in the literature that the most salient characteristic of the communication profiles of twin children is their impaired phonology (McEvoy & Dodd, 1992). However, little is known about the phonological development of twins speaking languages other than English. The hypotheses discussed in 1.3.3, i.e. whether the phonological development of twins would follow the same path as normally developing singletons, and whether twins have a dual phonological representation for some lexical items, have not been tested on twins speaking languages other than English. Putonghua-speaking twins in China are of particular interest, since the phonological systems of Putonghua and English differ markedly (for details, see 2.12). The present study of a set of Putonghua-speaking twins therefore offers cross-linguistic and cross-populational evidence for studies on children’s developmental phonology.

Previous research allows hypotheses to be made about the aspects of twin’s phonological system that are most likely to be at risk for atypical development. These hypotheses are partly derived from the data on singleton Putonghua-speaking children who are phonologically disordered (Chapters 5 & 6), and partly from descriptions of types of unusual error patterns of English-speaking children who are phonologically disordered (e.g. syllable constraints, Dunn & Davis 1983; restricted phoneme contrasts, Leonard, 1985). Two general research questions addressed in the present study were:

1) Would the phonological systems of the co-twins demonstrate the characteristics of delayed or disordered development? To answer this question, the twins’ phonological systems, identified both in single word speech mode (picture-naming task) and
connected speech mode (child-child interaction and child-adult interaction), were compared, using qualitative measures (phonetic and phonemic inventories, phonological processes) and quantitative measures (percentage of consonant in error and inconsistency rating).

It was predicted that:

- the twins would make more speech errors than singleton children of the same chronological age;

- the twins’ error patterns would include some patterns reflecting delayed acquisition and/or some patterns that are atypical of normal development;

- the atypical patterns would reflect restricted phonemic inventory, giving rise to unusual substitution patterns that rarely occur in the speech of normally developing children (e.g. marking affricates with fricatives). Syllable constraints might also be apparent. (e.g. preference for CVC syllable structure).

2) Did the co-twins understand both the adult and their sibling’s phonological forms?

Data from a single word comprehension task and child-child interaction would be used to answer this question. It was predicted that the twins would have no difficulty understanding the adult form of words and that each twin would demonstrate comprehension of the other’s mispronunciations irrespective of differences between their pronunciations.
7.2 METHOD

7.2.1 METHODOLOGICAL CONCERN

The present study was a single case study. The case study approach, common to studies of child language development, has many advantages. As Platt (1988) argued, a single case study can be used to refute a universal generalisation, may be a useful source of hypotheses and can identify and demonstrate features or characteristics which need to be taken into account in any generalisation. Nevertheless, each child varies from each other in terms of developmental patterns and individual strategies (Vihman, 1996). Caution should therefore be taken in generalising the findings of a single case study.

7.2.2 PARTICIPANTS

DN & EN were a set of identical twins (boys, aged 6;2). DN was the older twin. They did not have any other siblings. They were both attending a district nursery school in Beijing and referred to the researcher by their nursery teacher during the investigation on children with speech disorder. Putonghua was their first language and the language of their parents. They had little exposure to any other languages.

7.2.2.1 GENERAL DEVELOPMENT

The twins were born at 37 weeks, and weighted 2478g and 2602g respectively. Their mother experienced no problems during pregnancy. The twins were healthy and there was nothing relevant in their medical history. Their motor development was reported to be within the normal range. They had no hearing impairment as detected by pure tone audiometry, no abnormalities in oral structure as assessed by oromotor examination
(Ozanne, 1992), no learning problems as assessed by Visual-Motor Integration test (Beery, 1989).

7.2.2.2 FAMILY BACKGROUND

The mother of the twins worked as a shop assistant. She took leave before the children were born and did not work until the children were 4 years old. The father worked in a garage. The mother and grandmother were the two main caretakers of the boys before they went to the nursery school at the age of four. The grandmother spoke a Beifang dialect. She visited the family at weekends. During an interview with the parents, they reported their concern about the children’s language development. They noticed two years ago that their children’s speech was not as intelligible as that of other children of the same age. Since then, they had tried to spend more time talking with the boys. They also asked the nursery school teacher to encourage the boys to speak.

7.2.2.3 NURSERY SCHOOL

The nursery school was run by a local district council. It was a small nursery with only three teachers and about 20 children aged 1;9-6;2. The children spent one or two hours singing, doing origami, counting and story-telling in the classroom in the mornings. The rest of day was spent playing among themselves under supervision. DN & EN were the oldest among the children in the nursery. They entered the nursery at the age of four. They spent 8 hours a day, 5 days a week in the nursery. They would go to a primary school when they were 7 years old.
7.2.3 TASKS AND PROCEDURES

The boys were given four tasks.

- Picture-naming task. Each child was asked to name 44 pictures (the cross-sectional normative study and speech disorder study were based on the same picture-naming task). If the child failed to say the target word in the task, the researcher would offer semantic or contextual prompts. Altogether the children were asked to do the task three times, with each round of picture-naming separated by a free talk activity.

- Single word comprehension. Each child was given 44 pictures (the same as in picture-naming task). When the researcher randomly pronounced the name of an object, the child was asked to pick out the picture in which the object was depicted within a reasonable time limit. For example, if the researcher said /ʃoʊ/, 'shoe', the child would be expected to point to the picture with shoes in it. He would get one point if he found the target. The children's performance was recorded on an observation sheet by the researcher. The researcher was allowed to repeat only once if the child did not find the target for the first time. The researcher spoke Putonghua when she named the pictures. The children were asked to do the task separately.

- Child-child interaction. The children played a question-and-answer game. They were given some pictures and encouraged to ask each other questions. For each correct answer (judged by the children themselves), they would get a building block from the researcher. The child who received the most building blocks would be the winner. Some questions asked by the children were related to the objects in the pictures, such as, "why is a banana yellow?" and "why is the moon in the sky?". Other questions
were not related to the objects in the pictures, for examples, "In which dynasty did people start making gunpowder?", and "Why are monks bald?".

- Child-adult interaction. Each child was engaged separately in a free conversation with the researcher. The children were encouraged to initiate topics.

The assessment took place in a quiet room in the children's nursery school. In the first session, each child, in turn, was given the picture-naming task. Then the researcher had a ten-minute free conversation with each child individually (child-adult interaction). After that, the children were given the single word comprehension task individually. In the second session which took place one day later, the two children were brought together and encouraged to have conversation between themselves (child-child interaction). The conversation lasted 20 minutes. The speech samples were audiotaped using a Sony professional micro-recorder.

7.2.4 ANALYSIS

All the speech samples were transcribed using the International Phonetic Alphabet. Intertranscriber reliability for syllable-initial word-initial, syllable-initial within-word, syllable-final word-final, and syllable-final within-word consonants was 96.7%, 96.0%, 98.6% and 97.4%, respectively.

A number of measures were derived from the transcripts:

- Phonetic inventory: all the sounds produced at least once in the speech sample, irrespective of whether it was the correct target.
- Phonemic inventory: all the sounds produced correctly on at least two of three opportunities.

- Inconsistency rating: comparison of the three productions of the 44 words in the picture-naming task.

- Percentage of Consonant in Error (PCE): PCE for each sample was calculated by the formula: number of times consonants (irrespective of consonant within word position) produced in error + total number of consonants in the sample ×100% (cf. PCC, Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1982).

- Phonological processes: consistent differences between children’s realisations and target forms. A phonological process had to occur at least twice in different lexical items to be included. Similar to the speech disorder study, each phonological process was classified as either age-appropriate (if used by at least 10% of the children in the same age band in the normative sample), delayed (if used by less than 10% of the children in the same age band in the normative data, but appropriate for younger children) or unusual (if not found among more than 10% of the normally developing children in any age band).

For the picture-naming task, phonetic and phonemic inventories, PCE, phonological processes and inconsistency rating were derived. For the conversational speech elicited both in child-child and child-adult interaction, PCE and phonological processes were derived based on the transcription of ten-minute speech sample in each activity. The recurrent words in child-child interaction (i.e. words occurring both in one child’s utterance and in the other’s subsequent utterance) were pooled together for a closer examination of the children’s phonological systems.
7.3 RESULTS

7.3.1 THE TWINS' PHONOLOGICAL SYSTEM

The children's phonological systems were identified on the basis of single word speech elicited from picture-naming task and connected speech both in child-child and child-adult interaction.

7.3.1.1 SINGLE WORD SPEECH

The twins' phonological systems identified in single word speech (Table 7.1) were compared with the norms which were derived in the same speech mode (Chapter 3). While ninety percent of the normally developing children would have completed phonetic acquisition by 4;6 and phonemic acquisition by 5;6, there were still four phonemes missing from the twins' phonemic inventory, although both of them had complete phonetic repertoires. Both of them were not able to use /ʃ, tʃ, tʃʰ, ʃ/ contrastively.

Compared to the mean PCE of the oldest age group (4;0-4;6) in the normative sample, i.e. 7.8%, DN & EN's PCEs (10.6% and 13.6% respectively) were slightly lower even though they were about two years older than the oldest age group in the normative data. They had very low inconsistency rating (2.3% for both), indicating that they used their substitutions consistently. They did not make any tonal errors.
Table 7.1 The children's phonological system identified in picture-naming task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>DN</th>
<th>EN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missing phones</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing phonemes</td>
<td>$\varsigma, t\varsigma, t\varsigma^h, \text{\textasciitilde}$</td>
<td>$\varsigma, t\varsigma, t\varsigma^h, \text{\textasciitilde}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistency rating</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed phonological</td>
<td>Fronting $/\varsigma/ \rightarrow [s]$</td>
<td>Fronting $/\varsigma/ \rightarrow [s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processes</td>
<td>e.g. $/\varsigma u/ [su]$</td>
<td>e.g. $/\varsigma u e i/ [suei]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gliding $/\text{\textasciitilde}/ \rightarrow [j]$</td>
<td>Gliding $/\text{\textasciitilde}/ \rightarrow [j]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. $/\text{\textasciitilde}ou/ [jou]$</td>
<td>e.g. $/\text{\textasciitilde}ou/ [jou]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Backing $/n/ \rightarrow [ŋ]$</td>
<td>Backing $/n/ \rightarrow [ŋ]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. $/mən/ [məŋ]$</td>
<td>e.g. $/mən/ [məŋ]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stopping $/x/ \rightarrow [k]$</td>
<td>Stopping $/t\押/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. $/xua/ [kua]$</td>
<td>e.g. $/t\押\押/ [tia\押]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final $/n/ \text{deletion}$</td>
<td>Fronting $/k/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. $/uan/ [ua]$</td>
<td>e.g. $/k\押/ [t\押]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affrication $/\押/ \rightarrow [t\押]$</td>
<td>e.g. $/ci/ [t\押i]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$/t\varsigma^h/ \rightarrow [k / k^h]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. $/t\varsigma^h u\押/ [k\押\押]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While normally developing children of the same age group would have eliminated almost all developmental phonological processes, some phonological processes still persisted in the twins' production. They shared three delayed processes: fronting retroflexes as
alveolars, e.g. [sou] for /sou/, gliding, e.g. [jou] for /jou/, and backing /n/ as [ŋ] at syllable-final position, e.g. [ćiŋ] for /ćiŋ/. Apart from these, two individual delayed phonological processes (replacing /x/ with [k], e.g. [kua] for /kua/; deleting /n/ at syllable-final position, e.g. [uae] for /uan/) were identified in DN's sample. Three individual delayed phonological processes (replacing affricates with stops, e.g. [tɕao] /tɕiɕo/; fronting /k/ as [t], e.g. [tɕaŋ] for /kɕaŋ/; and affricating /ɕ/, e.g. [tɕi] for /tɕi/) were evident in EN's sample. EN also used one unusual phonological process: replacing /tɕʰ/ with [kʰ], e.g. [kʰɛ] for /tɕʰɛ/.

7.3.1.2 CHILD-CHILD INTERACTION

In the conversational sample collected in child-child interaction, PCEs for DN and EN were 17% and 24% respectively. Four delayed processes and one unusual process were found in DN's speech; seven delayed processes and two unusual processes were identified in EN's speech. They shared four delayed processes (i.e. fronting, initial consonant deletion, backing /n/ as [ŋ] and vowel reduction (examples are given in Table 7.2).

7.3.1.3 CHILD-ADULT INTERACTION

In the conversational sample collected in child-adult interaction, PCEs for DN and EN were 21% and 27% respectively. Six delayed processes and two unusual processes were evident in DN's speech; seven delayed processes and four unusual processes were found in EN's speech. Five delayed processes occurred in the speech of both. They were fronting, initial consonant deletion, backing /n/ as [ŋ], vowel reduction and backing /s/ as [ʂ] (examples are given in Table 7.2).
Table 7.2 The twins’ PCEs and error patterns identified in the connected speech during child-child and child-adult interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child-child interaction</th>
<th>DN</th>
<th>EN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCE</strong></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delayed processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fronting /t̩s/ → [ts] e.g. /t̩sæŋ/ [t̩sæŋ]</td>
<td>Fronting /s/ → [s] e.g. /sæŋ/ [sæŋ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial consonant deletion e.g. /xuo/ [uo]</td>
<td>Initial consonant deletion e.g. /kuo/ [uo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing /n/ → [ŋ] e.g. /san/ [saŋ]</td>
<td>Backing /n/ → [ŋ] e.g. /san/ [saŋ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vowel reduction e.g. /iəʊ/ [əo]</td>
<td>Vowel reduction e.g. /t̩ʃiʊ/ [tʃiʊ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unusual processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/n/ → [l] e.g. /nA/ [lA]</td>
<td>/t̩s, t̩s̩b/ → [k / k̩b] e.g. /t̩ʃi/ [ki]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child-adult interaction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCE</strong></td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delayed processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fronting /t̩s/ → [ts] e.g. /t̩sæŋ/ [t̩sæŋ]</td>
<td>Fronting /s/ → [s] e.g. /sæŋ/ [sæŋ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial consonant deletion e.g. /kuo/ [uo]</td>
<td>Initial consonant deletion e.g. /kuo/ [uo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing /n/ → [ŋ] e.g. /san/ [saŋ]</td>
<td>Backing /n/ → [ŋ] e.g. /san/ [saŋ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vowel reduction e.g. /iəʊ/ [əo]</td>
<td>Vowel reduction e.g. /t̩ʃiʊ/ [tʃiʊ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing /s/ → [ʃ] e.g. /ʃi/ [ʃi]</td>
<td>Backing /s/ → [ʃ] e.g. /ʃiʊ/ [ʃiʊ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliding /ɻ/ → [j] e.g. /ʃən/ [ʃən]</td>
<td>Stopping /ʃʊ/ → [ʃ] e.g. /ʃiʊ/ [ʃiʊ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unusual processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/n/ → [l] e.g. /nA/ [lA]</td>
<td>/t̩s, t̩s̩b/ → [k / k̩b] e.g. /t̩ʃi/ [ki]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ʃ/ → [ʃ] e.g. /ʃi/ [ʃi]</td>
<td>/t̩ş/ → [ʃ] e.g. /t̩ʃi/ [ʃi]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final /ʃ/ addition e.g. /xuo/ [xuo]</td>
<td>Final /ʃ/ addition e.g. /xuo/ [xuo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3.2 RECURRENT WORDS

The recurrent words were those occurring in two subsequent turns by different children. The recurrent words frequently occurred when one of the children answered a question using one or several words which had appeared in his co-twin’s questions. For example, DN said, ‘Tell me what plastic is made of’ and EN answered, ‘Plastic is made of plastic’. A close examination of recurrent words can provide information on 1) whether the second child was able to understand and interpret the first speaker’s pronunciations – the first child’s pronunciation could be the same as or different from that of adults, or the same as or different from that of the second child; 2) whether the second child would alter his pronunciations after the first child, i.e. to what extent the second speaker’s pronunciation patterns could be attributable to first-speaker effect?

There were 47 recurrent words altogether in child-child interaction (see Table 7.3). The results showed that the two children had different pronunciations for some of the same words. They shared the same pronunciation for 57.4% of the recurrent words (i.e. 27 words). Among the words pronounced the same by the two children, 19 (40.4% of the total recurrent words) were the same as adult forms. The same error patterns occurred to eight words (17.0% of the total recurrent words). Among the words not realised in the same way by the two children (42.6% of the recurrent words), different error patterns were apparent. For example, while DN fronted /tʃ/ as [ts] in the word /tʃi 3/ (meaning ‘paper’), EN substituted [k] for /tʃ/. It was clear from the context that both of them tried to pronounce the target /tʃi/.

There was no indication that one child’s pronunciation was more affected by his sibling than the other. Among 27 recurrent words which occurred when EN was the second
speaker, 14 (51.9\%) were pronounced the same by the two children; among 20 recurrent words which occurred when DN was the second speaker, 13 (65\%) were pronounced the same by the two children.

**Table 7.3** Comparison of the twins’ pronunciation on recurrent words

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>English</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>English</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>DNfirst</th>
<th>ENfirst</th>
<th>DNsecond</th>
<th>ENsecond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ye4-li40</td>
<td>moon</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>ye4-li40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gi4n4-tɕ4ɕ01</td>
<td>banana</td>
<td>gi4n4-tɕ4ɕa</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nA3</td>
<td>which</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nien2</td>
<td>year</td>
<td>li4n</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suei3</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>suei</td>
<td>suei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nA3</td>
<td>which</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsʰɑ03</td>
<td>grass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suo4-li404</td>
<td>plastic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsuo4</td>
<td>make</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsuo4</td>
<td>make</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tɕan1</td>
<td>mountain</td>
<td>suan</td>
<td>san</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suei3</td>
<td>water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tɕi3</td>
<td>paper</td>
<td></td>
<td>tɕi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lou2-tɕ42</td>
<td>building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nA4</td>
<td>that</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sən2-mo0</td>
<td>what</td>
<td>sən-mo</td>
<td>sən-mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suol</td>
<td>say</td>
<td>suo</td>
<td>suo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fan4</td>
<td>rice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tɕʰi1</td>
<td>eat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gi4n4</td>
<td>line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pi2-tʰi4</td>
<td>snivel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gi4</td>
<td>be</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tɕʰae1</td>
<td>guess</td>
<td>tɕʰae</td>
<td>tae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suo4-li404</td>
<td>plastic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lu4-in1-tɕ11</td>
<td>recorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tɕan4-tɕən1</td>
<td>war</td>
<td>tɕan-tɕən</td>
<td>tɕan-tɕən</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xuA1</td>
<td>flower</td>
<td>xuan</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: ✓ represents the children’s correct realisation of the target. Shaded cells are the recurrent words pronounced in the same way by both children. The numbers, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 0, represent high level, rising, falling-rising and high falling tones and weak stress. There are no tonal errors in the children’s production.

### 7.3.3 SINGLE WORD COMPREHENSION

This task tested the twins’ ability to understand adult phonological forms. The two children both achieved 100% accuracy of discrimination of the adult’s productions by picking out all the target pictures after the researcher’s naming.

### 7.4 DISCUSSION

The present case study described the phonological abilities of a set of Putonghua-speaking twins aged 6;2. Speech samples were analysed using a range of qualitative (phonetic and phonemic inventories, phonological processes) and quantitative measures (inconsistency rating, PCE). The children’s comprehension of adult forms was assessed by picture recognition and their understanding of each other’s speech was examined through the use of recurrent words in child-child interaction. The data were analysed to examine the predictions made in the Introduction.

#### 7.4.1 TWINS MADE MORE ERRORS THAN SINGLETONS

By six years of age, Putonghua-speaking children’s speech is usually free of errors (Chapter 3). The finding that the twins pronounced 11.6% and 13.6% of consonants in error in a picture-naming task, 21% and 27% of consonants in error in child-adult interaction and 17% and 24% of consonants in error in child-child interaction indicates
that their speech disorder would be classified as being of mild-moderate severity (Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1982). Although they were able to articulate all the sounds of Putonghua, neither of the twins had completed their acquisition of phonemic contrasts. This finding reflects previous research on English-speaking twins that reported a high incidence of phonological disorder in the twin population (e.g. Matheny & Bruggemann, 1972), and indicates that irrespective of the phonology being acquired, twins are at risk for speech difficulties.

7.4.2 DELAYED ACQUISITION AND ATYPICAL DEVELOPMENT

Compared with the baseline data for singletons, the twins evidenced characteristics of delayed and disordered phonological development. Both used some error patterns which were either inappropriate for their chronological age or were seldom used by normally developing singletons. This finding confirms research on English-speaking twins who were reported to use error patterns atypical of normal development either in terms of rate of development or type of errors (McEvoy & Dodd, 1992).

Malmstrom and Silva (1986) argued that differences in communication development of twins and singletons should be interpreted as an indication that twins follow an alternative developmental path rather than as delay or disorder. However, McMahon et al.’s (1998) follow-up study of 20 sets of twins with phonological difficulties in the pre-school years found that although most of the children had developed relatively normal speech and language ability, 90% of them performed more poorly than controls on tasks of phonological processing and literacy. This indicates that twins’ early patterns of speech difficulty were neither a transient phase nor an alternative route to normal development.
Johnston et al. (1984) also found that twins who had speech or language problems in the pre-school years were likely to have reading difficulties later.

7.4.3 ATYPICAL PATTERNS REFLECT PHONOLOGICAL DISORDER

Some of the atypical error patterns used by the twins have also been observed in the speech of singleton Putonghua-speaking children who have been identified as being phonologically disordered. Among 14 children diagnosed as having disorder, five were found using the velar stop (e.g. [k]) to replace an alveolar or retroflex affricate (/ts/ or /ʈʂ/) , and two replaced retroflex affricates (e.g. /ʈʂ/) with an alveolo-palatal affricate (e.g. [tɕ]), apart from other atypical error patterns. Both processes have been identified in EN’s speech. EN was also found to add [ŋ] at syllable-final position (seven out of 34 opportunities) in the connected speech mode, showing his preference for CVC syllable structure – the same pattern was reported to be evident in the disordered speech of the children speaking Putonghua and English (Dunn & Davis, 1983). Eight out of 14 children with disorder had non-age-appropriate phonemic repertoires. Likewise, both of the twins were limited in their use of phonemic contrasts, especially the contrastive use of the retroflex feature.

The finding that twins and singleton children with phonological disorder used similar atypical error patterns does not imply that singleton children also have a dual representation for many lexical items. Rather, the finding emphasises the role of the phonological representations in acquiring the contrasts and constraints of the phonology being learned. Twins’ impaired ability to derive those constraints might be due to competing representations of a range of lexical items. Further research is needed to
identify the deficits that might underlie singleton children's impaired ability to derive the phonological contrasts and constraints of the target phonology.

**7.4.4 TWINS' PHONOLOGICAL SYSTEMS DIFFER**

Despite some similarities, the phonological systems of the siblings within the twin set were not identical. Tables 7.1 and 7.2 show that although the twin set shared some phonological processes, both of them used some idiosyncratic phonological processes. In the single word speech mode, DN used two processes not used by the other twin, and EN used four processes, including one unusual process, not evident in his sibling's speech. This finding, similar to those reported for English-speaking twins, provided evidence against the notion of twin language. If the twins shared an autonomous language, they should pronounce words identically. Alternative explanations for their high incidence of phonological delay and disorder should, then, be considered.

Previous research has failed to find reliable associations between biological factors and speech disorder in twins (McEvoy & Dodd, 1992). The nature of twins' language learning situation may, then, play an important causal role (Savic, 1980). Koch (1956) found that first-born children, single children and children with a larger inter-sibling age difference tended to have better speech than children of other birth orders and children with a small inter-sibling age gap. One plausible explanation for these findings is that first-born, single children and siblings with large age differences would have more opportunities for 1:1 input in their language learning environment.

In contrast, twins are exposed to qualitatively different language input as a result of the triadic nature of interaction (Tomasello, Mannle, & Kuger, 1986). In caregiver-twin interactions, adults are less responsive to individual children, initiate and maintain fewer
interactions with individual children and use a more directive style of speech compared to singleton-adult interactions (Tomasello et al., 1986; Stafford, 1987). Such experience may account for the reported use of the pronoun ‘me’ in reference to the twin pair by themselves (Malstrom & Silva, 1986). In addition, twins are each other’s primary communicative partner. For example, they were found to engage in preverbal vocal interactions with each other (Keenan & Klein, 1975; Savic, 1980) and to share utterances when playing (McMahon, 1996). These findings suggest that the language learning environment of twins is exceptional.

7.4.5 DUAL PHONOLOGICAL REPRESENTATION

Neither child made errors when asked to identify pictures named by an adult. The analyses of the recurrent words in the child-child interaction showed that the twins had different pronunciations for some of the same words. However, both twins understood all the questions they asked each other. There was no communication breakdown and the children did not ask each other for clarification. English-speaking twins have also been reported to understand their sibling’s mispronunciations as well as the adult pronunciation (Dodd & McEvoy, 1994). As discussed in Section 1.3.3, one possible explanation for twins’ ability to understand both adult and their sibling’s forms of pronunciation might be the presence of dual phonological representations for word recognition in twins’ lexicon.

7.5 SUMMARY

Similar to the studies reported in the previous two chapter (Chapters 5 & 6), the single case study of the phonological development of a set of twins is the first of its kind on Putonghua-speaking children. Despite the limited scope of the study, the findings revealed
some interesting patterns which contribute to the discussion of a range of theoretical issues regarding the communication profiles of twins. To summarise,

a. The co-twins’ phonological systems had similarities in that they both evidenced characteristics of delayed or disordered phonological development and shared some error patterns. However, the existence of individual error patterns in each twin’s phonological system suggested that their phonological systems were not identical.

b. Both twins could understand the adult and their sibling’s form of pronunciation, indicating that a dual phonological representation for some words might exist in their mental lexicon.

It should be emphasised that we do not imply that the twins had an initial deficit in the speech processing chain. What made them different from other children is their twin developmental circumstances and the possible existence of dual phonological representations for word recognition in their lexicon. Clearly, more research is needed in order to gain a better understanding of the speech and language development of twins.
CHAPTER 8:

PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF A PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILD WITH PRELINGUAL HEARING IMPAIRMENT: A LONGITUDINAL CASE STUDY
8.1 INTRODUCTION

As discussed in 1.1, studies of phonological development in atypical circumstances can reveal 'universals' which operate in the language development of both normally developing children and children growing up in atypical circumstances, irrespective of the languages being acquired. Chapters 5, 6 and 7 have examined the phonological systems of children with functional speech disorders and twins. This chapter examines the development of phonology of a Putonghua-speaking child with prelingual hearing impairment. The general aim of the study is to reveal both cross-linguistic similarities and the influence of the ambient language on the phonological acquisition of children with hearing impairment. In addition, the effect of hearing impairment on phonological acquisition is discussed.

The specific questions this study aims to address are:

1. What are the longitudinal developmental patterns of the phonological system of the Putonghua-speaking child with severe prelingual hearing loss?

2. What are the similarities and differences between the phonological acquisition of a Putonghua-speaking child and that of children of other language backgrounds with hearing impairment (for example, Cantonese and English)?
8.2 METHOD

8.2.1 PARTICIPANT

The child, referred to as ZL, had a severe bilateral prelingual hearing loss, using the ANSI (1989) classification criterion. Unaided pure tone averages of thresholds at 500, 1K, and 2K Hz were 75 dB in the right ear and 107 dB in the left ear. Aided levels were 54 dB in the right ear and 83 dB in the left ear.

Born in Beijing, ZL was the single child in the family. His mother and father were university clerks and monolingual Putonghua speakers. His parents found out that he could not hear properly when he was 8 months old. The possible cause for his hearing impairment was maternal infection during pregnancy. He also had a middle ear infection at the age of 6 months. He had been wearing binaural hearing aids since he was one year old. He had regular check-ups and his hearing remained in a stable condition. ZL was acquiring Putonghua as first language and attended a mainstream kindergarten, seven hours a day, five days a week. According to the parental and school reports, his cognitive development was within the normal range. There were no abnormalities in oral structure as assessed by an oromotor examination (Ozanne, 1992), or learning problems as assessed by the Visual-Motor Integration test (Beery, 1989).

8.2.2 PROCEDURE

ZL was assessed in a quiet room at the kindergarten, using the picture-naming and the picture-description task (the same as the tasks in the cross-sectional study and speech disorder study). The child was first asked to name the objects and actions in 44 pictures three times and then to describe what was happening in five pictures. If the child failed to
say the target in the picture-naming task, the examiner would offer semantic or contextual prompts. If the prompts failed, the child would be asked to imitate the examiner. Imitated responses were marked on a record form. The child’s speech was audiotaped using a Sony professional micro-recorder. About 20 minutes’ free talk before and after assessment was also recorded. Data collection took about 40 minutes. The boy was assessed at the age of 3;5, 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5, following the same procedure.

8.2.3 ANALYSIS

The speech sample collected in the picture-naming and -description tasks was transcribed in IPA by two native Putonghua-speaking linguists. ZL imitated 4.5%, 9%, 9% and 4.5% of the responses in the picture-naming task at the age of 3;5, 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5, respectively. Imitated responses were excluded in the analysis. Inter-transcriber reliability on the child’s speech sample collected at the age of 3;5 was 92.1%, 90.1%, 98.2%, 98.4%, 98.2% and 100% for syllable-initial word-initial consonants, syllable-initial within-word consonants, syllable-final word-final consonants, syllable-final within-word consonants, and prosodic features (such as tones, weak stress and rhotacisation) respectively. The following quantitative and qualitative measures were derived to chart the child’s phonological ability.

- **Phonetic inventory:** all the sounds produced at least once in the speech sample, irrespective of whether they were the correct targets.

- **Phonemic inventory:** all the sounds produced phonologically correctly on at least two of three opportunities.
• **Percentage of consonants in error (PCE):** PCE for each sample was calculated by the formula: number of times consonants (irrespective of word position) produced in error + total number of consonants in the sample × 100%.

• **Z-score for PCE:** Z scores were calculated for PCE by the formula: difference between PCE and mean PCE of the children of the equivalent age band in the normative sample) + standard deviation. Z scores compared PCE of the child with that of normally developing children of the same age.

• **Phonological processes:** phonological processes used were classified as either age-appropriate, delayed or unusual. A phonological process had to be used at least twice in two different lexical items to be included.

  * **Age-appropriate processes:** Phonological processes used by at least 10% of the children in the same age band in the normative sample.

  * **Delayed processes:** Phonological processes used by less than 10% of the children in the same age band in the normative data, but appropriate for younger children.

  * **Unusual processes:** Phonological processes not found among more than 10% of the normally developing children at any age.

• **Inconsistency rating:** comparison of the three productions of each of the 44 words.

Ten-minutes’ free talk was also transcribed and analysed. The following general measures of language development reflecting language diversity, structure and complexity were derived:
• Mean length of utterance (MLU): the sum of the number of morphemes in each intelligible utterance divided by the number of fully intelligible utterances (Miller, 1981). In this study, the syllable was used as the counting unit of MLU in Putonghua. Cheung (1998) found that there was a significant correlation between MLU in word and MLU in syllable during the acquisition in Chinese-speaking children. However, the reliability of MLU in measuring the speech of children with hearing impairment is questionable, since these children often produce partially intelligible utterances or vocalisations. MLVV, devised by Lyon and Gallaway (1990), overcomes this problem (see below).

• Mean length of vocalisation / verbalisation (MLVV): the sum of the number of morphemes in each utterance divided by the number of utterances including both intelligible verbalisations and unintelligible vocalisations (Lyon & Gallaway, 1990).

• Mean Maximum length of utterance (MaxLU): the average number of morphemes in the longest five utterances (Lyon & Gallaway, 1990).

• Type-token ratio (TTR): the number of different words used (type) divided by the total number of words used (token) (Miller, 1981).

8.3 RESULTS

8.3.1 GENERAL INDEX OF LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT

Figure 8.1 illustrates that ZL had a steady increase in mean length of utterance, mean length of verbalisation and vocalisation and maximum length of utterance over the observation period. Though no normative data is available to compare the scale of
development, the increase in these measures of spontaneous expressive language shows that ZL’s syntactic ability was developing, albeit slowly. As predicted, MLVV was much lower than MLU, as the result of the presence of a significant number of unintelligible syllables and vocalisations in ZL’s speech.

![Figure 8.1 ZL's MLU, MLVV & MaxLU over the observation period](#)

ZL’s Type-token ratio also increased during the observation period, reflecting the growing diversity of his vocabulary. TTRs were 0.46, 0.50, 0.54, and 0.51 at the age of 3;5, 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5, respectively.

### 8.3.2 PHONOLOGICAL ABILITIES

The child’s phonological ability was described primarily using his single word spontaneous speech sample elicited from the picture-naming task. Generally speaking, the intelligibility of his connected speech collected from the picture-description task was lower than his single word speech. The lack of clear-cut context in connected speech perhaps made his vocalisations less interpretable. There were many glottal stops between syllables and repetitions in his connected speech. The analysis of his single word speech
showed that he had complete syllable-final consonant and vowel repertoires. He made no
tonal errors. The development of weak stress and rhotacisation was also within normal
range. In fact, he could use weak stress correctly over at least 88% of weakly stressed
syllables at each assessment. He was also able to use rhotacisation appropriately, such as
\textit{[xua.\textsubscript{1}] ‘flower’}. Most errors occurred at syllable-initial position.

\subsection*{8.3.2.1 Phonetic and Phonemic Inventories}

Table 8.1 lists ZL’s phonetic and phonemic inventories identified in his single word
speech sample at each assessment (for ZL’s first attempt to produce the targets in the
picture-naming task, see Appendix 8). ZL had an almost complete phonetic inventory by
the end of the observation period, though there were some phones in his speech which did
not belong to Putonghua phonology. In contrast, his phonemic inventory was very limited.
At the age of 4;5, in terms of manner of articulation, all the affricates were missing; and in
terms of place of articulation, all the retroflexes and alveolo-palatals were missing. The
size of ZL’S phonemic inventory did not show sign of growth over the observation period.
In fact, he seemed to have lost some contrasts that he had demonstrated in earlier samples.
For example, four phonemes, i.e. /k, k\textsubscript{h}, g, t\textsubscript{h}/, which were evident in his speech at the
age of 3;5, disappeared from his phonemic inventory when he was assessed again at the
age of 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5. ZL’s vowel and syllable-final consonant repertoires were
complete throughout the observation period.
Table 8.1 ZL's phonetic and phonemic inventories identified at each assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Phonetic inventory</th>
<th>Phonemic inventory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3;5</td>
<td>( t, t^h, m, n, k, k^h, f, x, l, J, \emptyset, t\emptyset, t\emptyset^h, s, ts, \emptyset, \emptyset^* )</td>
<td>( t, t^h, m, n, k, k^h, f, x, J, \emptyset, t\emptyset ) ( t\emptyset^h, \emptyset^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3;9</td>
<td>( t, m, n, p^h, k, f, x, l, J, \emptyset, t\emptyset, t\emptyset^h, s, ts, \emptyset, \emptyset^* )</td>
<td>( t, t^h, m, n, f, x, J ) ( \emptyset^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;1</td>
<td>( t, t^h, m, n, p^h, k, k^h, f, x, l, J, \emptyset, t\emptyset, t\emptyset^h, s, ts, t\emptyset^h, \emptyset^* )</td>
<td>( t, m, n, p^h, x, J, t\emptyset^h )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4;5</td>
<td>( t, t^h, m, n, p^h, k, k^h, f, x, l, J, \emptyset, t\emptyset, t\emptyset^h, s, ts, t\emptyset^h, \emptyset^* )</td>
<td>( t, t^h, m, n, p^h, k^h, f, x, l, J  ) ts, t\emptyset^h, \emptyset, t\emptyset^h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Phones marked by * are not Putonghua phones.

8.3.2.2 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES

Table 8.2 shows all the error patterns identified in ZL's speech sample. Some delayed processes, i.e. fronting /k/ as [t] (e.g. [taŋ] for /kæŋ/), stopping /s/ as [t] (e.g. [san] for /san/ and final /ŋ/ deletion (e.g. [pʰi] for /pʰiŋ/), presented in his phonology when assessed at the age of 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5. One process, i.e. syllable-initial deletion (e.g. [uεi] for /tʰεui/, [iε] for /giε/, [yun] for /gyn/) had a high frequency of occurrence in the child’s speech during the observation period. In the single word speech sample collected at the age of 4;5, he deleted 19 syllable-initial consonants, 27.5% of all the syllable-initial consonants in his speech. A closer examination of the errors showed that syllable-initial deletion occurred most frequently before high vowels, /i, y, u/. In fact, only 5 out of 62 errors involving syllable-initial deletion did not take place before the high vowels.
Table 8.2 ZL's phonological processes identified at each assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal processes</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllable initial deletion</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fronting: /s/ → [s]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/k/ → [t]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping: /ts/ → [t]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ → [t]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/x/ → [k]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final /n/ deletion</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing: /n/ → [ŋ]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final /ŋ/ deletion</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual substitution</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasalisation of some sounds</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ʒ/ → [f, ɹ]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ɻ/ → [ɹ]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ʃ/ → [ʃ]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ts, tʃ/ → [k]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/t/ → [p]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/tʃ, ɹ/ → [l]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/f/ → [t]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/p/ → [t]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/tʃʰ/ → [pʰ]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ɹʰ/ → [pʰ]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ → [ɹ]</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI addition</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Shaded cells are delayed processes.

Chapter 3 on the study of normally developing Putonghua-speaking also reported that syllable-initial consonant deletion tended to occur before these high vowels. Discussions of this process in relation to the flexible function of these vowels in Putonghua phonology.
can be found in Chapter 3. The frequently deleted consonants were alveolo-palatals (/ɕ, tɕ, tɕʰ/) and retroflexes (/ʂ, tʂ, tʂʰ/). A number of unusual error patterns such as replacing /ts, tʂ/ with [k] (e.g. [kuo] for /tɕuo/) and replacing /ʂ/ with [f] (e.g., [fu] for /ʂu/), which have never been used by more than 10% of normally developing children, also occurred in his production.

8.3.2.3 PCE AND Z SCORE FOR PCE

Figure 8.2 shows the percentage of consonants produced incorrectly in ZL's single word production. The normally developing hearing children aged 3;1-3;6, 3;7-4;0 and 4;1-4;6 on average produced 13.6%, 10.5% or 7.8% of the consonants in error, respectively (see Chapter 3). In contrast, ZL incorrectly produced 35.8%, 53.0%, 55.0% and 54.0% of the consonants in his single word speech at the age of 3;5, 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5. As a result, the gap between ZL and the normally hearing children, measured by Z score, increased significantly. Z scores were 3.265, 5.183, 8.138, and 7.793 at the age of 3;5, 3;9, 4;1, and 4;5 respectively.

![Figure 8.2 ZL's PCE compared to norms](image)
8.3.2.4 INCONSISTENCY RATING

ZL's inconsistency ratings were 0.194, 0.236, 0.350 and 0.319 at each assessment. The boy's speech became less consistent over time.

8.4 DISCUSSION

8.4.1 ZL'S PHONOLOGICAL SYSTEMS

ZL, with 75 dB prelingual hearing loss in the better ear, was assessed every four months between the age of 3;5 and 4;5. His syntactic ability, measured by mean lengths utterance, mean length of vocalisation/verbalisation and maximum length of utterance, showed a slow but steady increase. The diversity of his vocabulary also grew over time, as indicated by Type-Token Ratio. The analysis of his single word speech showed that he had complete syllable-final consonant (2 in total) and vowel repertoires (22 in total). His acquisition of tones, weak stress and rhotacisation was within the normal range. However, he had difficulties with syllable-initial consonants:

- Distorted articulation. Several illegal phones existed in his speech.

- Restricted phonemic inventory. While his phonetic inventory was relatively age-appropriate, his phonemic inventory was restricted to a small number of phonemes. For example, while 90% of the children aged 3;7-4;0 in the normative data would have mastered 12 phonemes, he had only 7 phonemes in his inventory when assessed at the age of 3;9.

- The phenomenon of 'recidivism' (i.e. loss of contrastiveness which has been established, Smith, 1973). Some phonemes (e.g. /ɔ, ɔː/) which the child was able to
use accurately at the age of 3;5, ‘disappeared’ in his subsequent three assessments. Accordingly, his PCE, as a measure of inaccurate realisation of consonants, increased over time, while the normally developing hearing children tended to eliminate errors over the same period of time. His inconsistency rating also increased over time. One possible explanation for the occurrence of recidivism in the child’s phonological development is that the child was experiencing a ‘destabilisation’ developmental stage as proposed by Grunwell (1992). More detailed discussion can be found in Chapter 6.

- Highly frequent use of syllable-initial consonant deletion process and the presence of delayed and unusual error patterns.

Compared to his single word spontaneous speech, his connected speech had lower intelligibility, with frequent occurrence of glottal stops between syllables and repetitions.

8.4.2 PHONOLOGICAL PROCESS USE

A number of error patterns were identified in ZL’s speech sample. Seven error patterns were normal processes and have been found in more than 10% of normally developing children in the same age band as ZL (See Table 3.9 in Chapter 3). However, three other error patterns, i.e. fronting /k/ as [t], stopping /s/ as [t] and final /tʃ/ deletion persisted when his phonology was assessed at the age of 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5 and was no longer age-appropriate.

The persistence of the non-age-appropriate phonological processes in the boy’s speech may be attributable to the lack of auditory information which is essential for stimulating changes in early developmental stages. The time from birth to five years is regarded as an optimal and critical period for establishing a phonological system and hearing children’s
exposure to language stimulus begins long before they could produce any speech (Carney & Moeller, 1998). Even if the visual perception of speech movement can complement the auditory modality to certain extent (Dodd, 1987), the deprivation or degradation of speech sound during development might result in the slower rate of phonological acquisition.

Apart from the presence of these error patterns typical of younger normally developing hearing children, ten unusual error patterns were evident in ZL’s speech. These error patterns have rarely occurred in the speech of normally developing children with intact hearing. Some of these processes, (e.g. replacing /t/ with [p] and replacing /ts, tʃ/ with [k]), have been identified in the phonology of children with consistent phonological disorder. It is argued that the phonologically disordered children are restricted in their ability to accurately abstract knowledge from the mental lexicon about the nature of the phonological system to be learned (Dodd, Leahy & Hambly, 1989). The presence of unusual error patterns identical to those in the speech of phonologically disordered children suggests that children with hearing impairment might also have difficulties in generalising accurate information about the regularities of the target phonological system.

Research on phonological awareness (implicit knowledge of the constraints and contrasts of the phonological system to be learned) of children with hearing loss also lends support to the argument that the children with prelingual hearing impairment may have difficulties in processing and analysing phonological information. Miller (1997) compared the phonological awareness of deaf children with excellent skills in sign language and that of orally trained deaf children. He found no group difference in the assessment task, although both groups of deaf children scored less well than hearing controls. This suggested that the children with prelingual hearing impairment might have difficulty in processing phonological information, and this deficit could not be compensated for by the
intensive exposure to a speaking environment alone. This argument has important clinical implications for children with hearing impairment. Special training programmes should be designed and administered to children with hearing impairment, including children using spoken language as their principle means for communication and children acquiring sign language as their primary language, to develop their phonological awareness.

8.4.3 CROSS-LINGUISTIC SIMILARITIES AND THE INFLUENCE OF THE AMBIENT LANGUAGE

Similarities exist in the acquisition of phonological units among the children with hearing impairment irrespective of language background. The Putonghua-speaking boy seemed to have little difficulty in acquiring vowels. A similar finding was also reported for Cantonese-speaking children (Dodd & So, 1994) and English-speaking children (Abberton et al, 1990). The early mastery of vowels by hearing-impaired children may be related to perceptual and cognitive factors. Perceptually, the cues for vowels are more easily extracted with residual hearing than consonants, because vowels have ‘relatively simpler, low frequency, more slowly changing acoustic patterns’ (Abberton et al, 1990, p.212), and vowels are more powerful and sonorous than consonants (Gimson, 1989). However, phonological acquisition is far from simply being a perceptual process, for perception itself ‘is very much constrained by one’s sense of phonological structure and lexical expectation’ (Locke, 1980, p.207). Cognitively, vowels have higher phonological saliency than consonants, because they are compulsory syllable component and carry a high information load (for definition of phonological saliency and detailed discussion of saliency value of each syllable component, see 3.5.5).
Within the framework of phonological saliency, tones in Putonghua have the highest saliency, because they are compulsory for every syllable; change of tones would vary lexical meaning; and there are only four alternative choices. Syllable-initial consonants have the lowest saliency among the four syllable components; and vowels and syllable-final consonants are in between. It has been suggested that hearing-impaired children of a tonal language are able to acquire tonal contrasts due to their usable low frequency residual hearing (Fok, 1984). However, the same explanation cannot account for the difficulties that English-speaking children with hearing loss have with pitch. Their speech is often characterised by 'deaf tone' (Cantwell & Baker, 1987). Alternatively, the almost error-free characteristics associated with Putonghua- and Cantonese-speaking children with hearing impairment in tonal acquisition may be a result of the high saliency value of tones in these two tonal languages. Carrying lexical information, tones are essential for functional communication in both languages.

ZL had a complete syllable-final consonant repertoire while his syllable-initial inventory was far from complete. Similar findings were reported for Cantonese-speaking children (Dodd & So, 1994). However, the opposite pattern was apparent for English-speaking children whose syllable-final consonants were more likely to be affected by hearing loss (Abraham, 1989). These similarities and differences reflect the influence of the ambient language on language acquisition. The relative ease with which Putonghua- and Cantonese-speaking children acquire final consonants may be related to the fewer number of syllable-final consonants and the absence of syllable-final syntactic markers in Cantonese and Putonghua (Dodd & So, 1994). It is also possible that the lower number of syllable-final consonants in Cantonese and Putonghua provides a relatively smaller range
of visual cues that need to be discriminated, allowing the children with hearing impairment to extract important phonological information by lip reading (Dodd, 1987).

8.5 SUMMARY

The phonological ability and development of a Putonghua-speaking child with severe prelingual hearing impairment between the age of 3;5 and 4;5 was described using the measures of MLU, MLVV, MaxLU, TTR, PCE, inconsistency rating, phonological processes, and phonetic and phonemic inventory. Comparison of the developmental patterns identified in the child and that of children speaking English and Cantonese revealed both cross-linguistic similarities and the influence of the ambient language on the phonological acquisition of children with hearing impairment. The presence of unusual error patterns in his phonological systems reflected the effect of hearing impairment on phonological acquisition and indicated that hearing impaired children might have difficulty in abstracting knowledge from the mental lexicon about the nature of the phonological system to be learned.
CHAPTER 9

GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION
9.1 INTRODUCTION

The last two decades of the 20th century saw a remarkable upsurge of interest in cross-linguistic studies of language acquisition (as exemplified in Slobin, 1985, 1992, 1995, 1997). However, some aspects of language (e.g. syntax) seem to have received much more attention than others. It was noticeable that the two comprehensive reviews on the existing studies of language acquisition by Chinese-speaking children (Erbaugh, 1992; Lee, 1996) had very little information about the acquisition of phonology. This is ironic as phonology is one of the most prominent defining features of the Chinese language, distinguishing it from all other world languages. This thesis aims to fill the gap in our knowledge about phonological acquisition by Putonghua- (Modern Standard Chinese) speaking children.

A satisfactory account of language acquisition should be able to address both normal development and developmental disorders. For this reason, the present thesis contains a series of case studies of the phonological development of children in atypical circumstances, in addition to the studies of normally developing children.

This concluding chapter summarises the key findings from the studies reported in the thesis, in relation to the factual and theoretical research questions outlined in 1.4. In addition, the developmental patterns identified in monolingual Putonghua-speaking children, who are acquiring the target language in normal or atypical conditions, are discussed in the framework of 'developmental universals' and 'particulars'. The relationship between phonological acquisition and phonological theory is also discussed. The general professional implications of the research findings are highlighted, particularly
in the context of speech and language therapy services in contemporary China. Finally, limitations of this research and areas which need further investigations are discussed.

9.2 REVIEW OF THE MAJOR RESEARCH FINDINGS

Six studies have been reported in this thesis. They are:

- A cross-sectional study of 129 normally developing Putonghua-speaking children aged 1;6-4;6. The speech sample was collected through picture-naming and -description tasks (Chapter 3).

- A longitudinal study of four Putonghua-speaking children. The age ranges of the children under study were 1;1.15-2;0.15, 1;0.0-2;0.15, 0;10.15-2;0.15, and 1;2.0-1;8.0. The speech sample was collected through parent-child interaction (Chapter 4).

- Case studies of the phonological systems of 33 Putonghua-speaking children with functional speech disorder aged 2;8-7;6. The speech sample was collected through picture-naming and -description tasks (Chapter 5).

- A follow-up study of the development and change in the phonology of seven Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorders, who received no clinical intervention. The speech sample was collected twice over an interval of about 11 months through picture-naming and -description tasks. The children were aged between 2;2 and 4;3 at the initial assessment (Chapter 6).

- A case study of a set of Putonghua-speaking twins aged 6;2. The speech sample was collected through picture-naming task, child-child and child-adult interaction. A single
word comprehension task was also administered to the twins to test their ability to understand adult phonological forms (Chapter 7).

- A longitudinal study of a Putonghua-speaking child with severe prelingual hearing impairment between the age of 3;5 and 4;5. The speech sample was collected through picture-naming and -description tasks (Chapter 8).

These studies aimed to address the factual and theoretical questions outlined in 1.4. We now review these questions in turn.

9.2.1 FACTUAL RESEARCH QUESTIONS ADDRESSED IN THE THESIS

9.2.1.1 NORMALLY DEVELOPING CHILDREN

Order of acquisition of syllable elements

Among the four possible syllable elements, the acquisition of tones was completed first; followed by syllable-final consonants and vowels; syllable-final consonants were last.

Age of acquisition of vowels

The acquisition of vowels took place mainly between the age of 1;0 and 2;0. The longitudinal data provided an opportunity to examine the age at which the children were able to produce a vowel phonologically correctly for the first time (for detailed information, see Table 4.9). The specific patterns are:

- Simple vowels seemed to emerge earliest among the three types of vowels (simple vowels, diphthongs and triphthongs). Diphthongs and triphthongs emerged slightly later than simple vowels and were more prone to systematic errors.
• The central low vowel /ʌ/ and back high vowel /u/ occurred earliest in the children’s production in the stage of first words. The retroflex vowel /ɾ/ and the back vowel /o/ seemed to be among the last simple vowels to emerge in the children’s production.

• Among the diphthongs, /ei/ tended to be acquired first and /ye/ last.

• Among the triphthongs, /iou/ tended to be acquired first and /uae/ last.

**Age of acquisition of syllable-final consonants**

By the age of 1;9, the two syllable-final consonants /n, ŋ/ have become stabilised (i.e. a sound was produced phonologically correctly on at least two of three opportunities) in the speech of all of the four children in the longitudinal study.

**Age of acquisition of syllable-initial consonants**

Normative data on age of emergence (i.e. a sound was produced at least once by the child, irrespective of whether it was the correct target) of syllable-initial consonants were derived, using the criteria of 90% or 75% of the children in an age band in the cross-sectional study (Table 3.4). The longitudinal study also provided complementary information on the early sequential development of phonemes (Tables 4.3 & 4.4). The specific patterns are:

• The phonetic acquisition of the syllable-initial consonants (21 in total) was complete by 3;6 for 75% of children.

• Nasals and bilabials tended to emerge earlier than other sounds.
• In terms of features, unaspirated sounds tended to emerge earlier than aspirated sounds.

The norm on age of stabilisation of syllable-initial consonants was presented in Table 3.5. The specific patterns include:

• By 4;6, 90% of the children were able to use all the syllable-initial consonants correctly on two thirds of occasions with the exception of four affricates and a retroflex fricative (i.e. /tʃ, tʃʰ, ts, tsʰ, s/).

• Among the sounds which stabilised early were bilabial nasal /m/, alveolar stop /t/ and bilabial stop /p/.

• The last ten sounds to be stabilised (i.e., /l, s, r, tʃ, tʃʰ, s, tʃ, tʃʰ, ts, tsʰ/) include all the three retroflexes, all the six affricates and both liquids.

**Chronology of phonological processes or error patterns**

Phonological processes affecting syllable-initial consonants can be generalised into three groups: assimilation, deletion and systematic substitution. Fourteen phonological rules were present in the speech of more than 10% of the children in the youngest age group (1;6-2;0) in the cross-sectional study and five of these rules (i.e. fronting alveolar-palatals as alveolars, stopping alveolar fricative as alveolar stop, affrication, aspiration, and gliding) disappeared in more than 90% of the children in the oldest age group (4;6-4;6). The percentage of children using phonological processes and rules affecting syllable-initial consonants in each age band was listed in Table 3.9. The chronology of these phonological processes and rules (i.e. the age of occurrence, persistence, and disappearance of the processes) was given in Appendix 9.
The three processes used by more than 10% of the children with syllable-final consonants were /n/ deletion, /ŋ/ deletion, and replacing /n/ with [ŋ]. Syllable-final consonant addition and replacing /ŋ/ with [n] were rarely used. The percentage of children using phonological processes affecting syllable-final consonants was presented in Table 3.10.

The three systematic vowel error patterns used by more than 10% of the children were triphthong reduction, diphthong reduction and vowel substitution co-occurring with syllable-final consonant deletion. The percentage of children using phonological processes affecting vowels can be found in Table 3.6.

*Age of tonal acquisition*

Age of emergence and stabilisation of tones in the four children in the longitudinal study were summarised in Tables 4.5 and 4.6 respectively. High level and falling tones were present at the beginning of the children’s lexical use between the age of 1;2 and 1;4. Falling-rising tones emerged last, between the age of 1;4 and 1;7. In terms of stabilisation, high level tones were first to reach 66.7% accuracy rating in the speech of all the four children, followed by high falling tones. Rising and falling-rising tones were last stabilised.

*Patterns of tonal acquisition*

Despite individual preferences, most of tonal substitutions were systematic. High level tones were used as unmarked and frequently replaced high falling, rising and falling-rising tones, while high falling tones were preferred as a replacement when errors occurred to high level tones.
Age of acquisition of tone sandhi

Individual differences and the nature of spontaneous data made it difficult to generalise on the age and order of acquisition of various types of tone sandhi rules. Some children were able to use a tone sandhi rule correctly as early as 1;4. By the age of 1;11.15, all the types of sandhi rules had emerged and stabilised in the children’s speech. The morphonologically conditioned tone sandhi rule seemed to emerge and become stabilised earlier than other types of sandhi rules.

Patterns of acquisition of tone sandhi

When an error occurred with tone sandhi, the children usually used citation tones of the syllables involved, where tone sandhi should apply.

Age of weak stress acquisition

Weak stress, especially weak stress in reduplication, emerged early in the children’s speech (a child was able to use weak stress in reduplicated word forms as early as at the age of 1;2). Fluctuation in accuracy rating characterised the children’s learning of weak stress. Its stabilisation was not completed until about the age of four.

Patterns of weak stress acquisition

Using a citation tone for a weakly stressed syllable was the most common error pattern among the children. Weakly stressed syllable deletion was also a frequent pattern, especially with the affix type of weak stress.

Group variations—the effect of gender or second language exposure on phonological development
There was no difference in the rate of phonological acquisition between boys and girls. No interaction was found between gender and age. The children who were learning English did not show any significant difference in the rate of phonological acquisition from the children who were not.

9.2.1.2 CHILDREN IN EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES

Characteristics of the disordered phonology of Putonghua-speaking children

- Putonghua-speaking children were not a heterogeneous group. Among 33 children with speech difficulties randomly selected for our study, 3% children had an articulation disorder, 54.5% had delayed phonological development, 24.2% consistently used unusual error patterns, and 18.2% children's speech was characterised by inconsistency of production.

- The phonology of the children with speech disorder was systematic and sensitive to the structure of the ambient language. The syllable elements with higher saliency value (such as tone, syllable-final consonants) were less likely to be subject to disorder than the syllable element with a lower saliency value (such as syllable-initial consonants).

- Phonemes that were problematic for children with speech disorder were not necessarily the same phonemes acquired late by normally developing children.

- The children with disordered speech showed one or several of the following characteristics: persisting delayed processes, unusual error types, variability, restricted phonetic or phonemic inventory, and systematic sound or syllabic preference.
Patterns of spontaneous changes in the disordered phonology of children with functional phonological disorders.

As a group, the children under study showed a tendency to make statistically fewer errors, use fewer phonological processes or error patterns and perform more consistently over time. While their phonetic inventories expanded over time, there was no significant increase in their phonemic use. There was a significant reduction in the number of normal phonological processes used. However, there was no significant change in the unusual phonological processes or error patterns.

Children with different underlying deficits follow different paths of development. Delayed phonological development may spontaneously resolve, while consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder are resistant to spontaneous change.

Characteristics of phonology of twins

The co-twins' phonological systems had similarities in that they both evidenced characteristics of delayed or disordered phonological development and shared some error patterns. However, the existence of individual error patterns in each twin's phonological system suggested that their phonological systems were not identical.

Characteristics and developmental patterns in the phonology of a child with hearing impairment

While the child's syntax and vocabulary evidenced steady growth during the observation period, his phonological abilities showed little sign of development. His phonology was characterised by the following patterns: relatively error-free acquisition of vowels and lexical tones compared to consonants; the tendency to simplify syllable structures by
deleting syllable-initial and -final consonants, and the presence of both developmental processes and unusual substitutions.

9.2.2 THEORETICAL RESEARCH QUESTIONS ADDRESSED IN THE THESIS

9.2.2.1 Existing theories of phonological acquisition

The developmental patterns in terms of age and order of phonemic acquisition and error patterns identified in Putonghua-speaking children have a number of implications for the theoretical interpretation of cross-linguistic similarities and differences that have been reported in the existing literature:

- Cross-linguistic differences in the order of phoneme acquisition cannot be accounted for in terms of the frequency of the phonemes across the world languages (cf. Jakobson's law of irreversible solidarity, 1941/1968).

- Nor can they be explained by appealing to the biological constraints or articulatory limitations of young children (cf., biological model proposed by Locke, 1980, 1983; Kent, 1992).

- Although there is a clear developmental sequence in terms of 'feature', the theoretical concept of universal 'markedness' or 'default features' has a number of explanatory inadequacies;

- There are language-specific influences on the order of phoneme acquisition. However, while the current proposal of 'functional load' (Pye, Ingram, & List, 1987) directly links the order of phoneme acquisition to the role of these phonemes in a language, it fails to investigate the impact of aspects of phonology other than consonants on the
order of acquisition; moreover, there are difficulties in the measurement of functional load.

- Some cross-linguistic variations in the rate and order of acquisition of vowels, consonants and prosodic features such as tones are better accounted for by the concept of *phonological saliency*. Components with higher phonological saliency would be acquired earlier than components with lower saliency. Phonological saliency of a particular component is a weighted combination of several factors: the status of a component in the syllable structure, the capacity of a component in differentiating lexical meaning of a syllable and carrying communicative intent, and the number of permissible choices within a component of the syllable structure. For example, tones in Putonghua have the highest saliency because they are compulsory for every syllable. Change of tones would vary lexical meaning, and there are only four alternative choices. The effect of the high saliency value of tones on the process of phonological acquisition of Putonghua-speaking children was reported in the studies on normally developing children, children with speech disorder and children with hearing impairment (see further discussion in 3.5.5). Clearly, there is a need for refining the notion of ‘phonological saliency’ so that it would be able to capture cross-linguistic differences in phonological acquisition and development. This issue will be further discussed below (9.2.3.1).

### 9.2.2.2 Differential diagnosis of children with speech disorder

Categorisation of children with speech disorder is essential for understanding the nature of phonological impairment and providing clinical intervention. However, the ‘etiologic’ approach, i.e. classifying subgroups of children with speech disorder according to the
causal factors of their phonological impairment (Shriberg & Kwiatkowski, 1994), has difficulties in classifying children whose etiologic causes are not clear or children who have a range of causal factors. The 'linguistic' approach differentiates 'phonetic disorders' from 'phonological disorders' (Winitz, 1969; Ingram, 1989b; Fey, 1992; Bernthal & Bankson, 1998; Gierut, 1998) and 'delay' from 'disorder' (Leonard, 1985; Ingram, 1989b; Fletcher, 1990). Nevertheless, this approach tends to focus on speech sounds rather than error patterns and does not take into account of children's inconsistency or variability, another important characteristic evident in the speech of some children with speech disorder.

Dodd (1993) proposed a 'four subgroup categorisation system' according to the characteristic patterns of surface speech errors found in the disordered speech. The four subgroups are:

- **articulation disorder** - consistent distortion of a phone either in isolation or in any phonetic context;

- **delayed development** - use of error patterns that are inappropriate for the child's chronological age but appropriate for a younger child;

- **consistent disorder** - use of error patterns which are atypical of normal phonological development (i.e. not used by more than 10% of normally developing children);

- **inconsistent disorder** - inconsistent or variable production of the same words or phonological features.
The findings in this thesis provide further cross-linguistic support for the 'four subgroup categorisation system', along with other cross-linguistic studies (Cantonese, So & Dodd, 1994; Turkish, Tobpas, 1997; German, Fox, 1997; Cantonese-English, Dodd, Holm, & Li, 1997; Punjabi-English, Holm, et al, 1999; Italian-English, Holm & Dodd, 1999).

In addition, it is argued that

- the differences between the delayed and normally developing groups are more quantitative than qualitative in nature, and

- no continuous relationship exists between severity of speech errors and degree of inconsistency (McCormack & Dodd, 1998).

9.2.2.3 History of phonological disorders (i.e. emergence, persistence or recovery of phonological disorders)

The study of development and change over time of the phonological systems of Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorders suggests that:

- Children with different surface error patterns follow different paths of development, perhaps as a result of different underlying deficits.

- Delayed development may be caused by external environmental factors (such as the quality and amount of language input). Therefore, it may occur at any stage of children's phonological acquisition and may spontaneously resolve later.

- The surface error patterns of the children with consistent or inconsistent disorder (whose speech is characterised by consistent use of atypical error patterns or inconsistent errors) have been shown to be associated with underlying deficits in the
children's internal speech processing mechanism operating at the speech onset (Dodd & McCormack, 1995). There appears to be little spontaneous change in their phonological systems, if these deficits are not specifically targeted in intervention programmes.

9.2.2.4 Causal factors for the impaired phonology in twins

It is often argued that twins share a private language, i.e. 'twin language' between themselves. However, the analysis of the phonological systems of the co-twins in the study showed that their phonological systems were not identical. It is further suggested that phonological difficulties, which have been reported to be the most salient feature of twins' communicative profile, might be attributable to twins' unique language learning situation. Since twins within sets are each other's primary communication partner, each child is consistently exposed to two phonological forms for many vocabulary items (one is the adult form, and the other is the co-twin's developmental form). This would result in the existence of dual phonological representations in twins' mental lexicons, which would make it difficult for twins to derive an awareness of the constraints and contrasts of the ambient phonological system.

9.2.2.5 The nature of phonology of children with hearing impairment

The presence of unusual error patterns in the phonological system of the child with prelingual hearing impairment in this study suggested that the children with hearing impairment may have difficulties in generalising accurate information about the regularities of the target phonological system, as the result of early deprivation or degradation of auditory input. Miller's study (1997) on the phonological awareness of deaf children with excellent skills in sign language and that of orally trained deaf children
further suggested that hearing impaired children's difficulty in processing phonological information could not be compensated for by the intensive exposure to a speaking environment alone.

9.2.3 DEVELOPMENTAL UNIVERSALS

The studies reported in this thesis centred on the phonological development of different populations of Putonghua-speaking children. Both similarities and differences were found across the groups and between Putonghua-speaking children and children from other language backgrounds. These contribute to the understanding of 'developmental universals' and 'particulars'.

9.2.3.1 SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES ACROSS DIFFERENT POPULATIONS OF PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILDREN

Different populations of Putonghua-speaking children showed similar sensitivity to the structure of the phonological system they were acquiring. It was argued that the phonological acquisition patterns across different populations of Putonghua-speaking children were influenced by the saliency value of syllable components (i.e. the status of a component in the syllable structure, the capacity of a component in differentiating lexical meaning of a syllable and carrying communicative intent, and the number of permissible choices within a component of the syllable structure). The notion of phonological saliency accounts well for the acquisition of tones which have the highest saliency value. The studies reported in this thesis found that:

- Tonal acquisition was completed earlier than syllable-initial consonants, syllable-final consonants, and vowels in normally developing Putonghua-speaking children.
Tone was resistant to impairment during the process of phonological acquisition. The study on 33 Putonghua-speaking children with developmental speech disorder did not find any children who had difficulty with tones, even among the most severely disordered children. A boy aged 3;7 with an inconsistent speech disorder presented an interesting case. The boy had preference for three consonant-vowel combinations: /tia/, /təia/ and /təə/. While these three combinations substituted a number of different syllables (sometimes in reduplicated forms), the original tones of target syllables were maintained in the boy’s speech.

The effect of hearing loss on tonal acquisition was minimal. The longitudinal study on the child with prelingual severe hearing impairment found that although the boy had a low speech intelligibility, his tone was almost error-free.

In comparison, syllable-final consonants have a lower saliency value, because they are an optional component in a Putonghua syllable. However, their saliency value is higher than syllable-initial consonants, because there are only two syllable-final consonants (i.e. /n, ŋ/) in Putonghua. Specific acquisitional patterns associated with syllable-final consonants were: the acquisition of syllable-final consonants was relatively error-free and less likely to be subject to impairment than syllable-initial consonants. For example,

The phonetic acquisition of syllable-final consonants was completed by the age of two, while that of syllable-initial consonants was not completed until 4;6 for 90% of the children.
Syllable-final consonants were less likely to be subject to impairment than syllable-initial consonants: only four children (12%) were found to have used delayed processes affecting syllable-final consonants.

The child with hearing impairment had a complete syllable-final consonant repertoire while his syllable-initial inventory was far from complete. The relative ease with which the child acquired final consonants may be related to the lower number of syllable-final consonants (and thus relatively small range of visual cues that need to be discriminated), and the absence of word-final syntactic markers in Putonghua.

Vowels have a higher saliency value, compared with syllable-initial consonants. Although vowels are a compulsory syllable component, the relatively large number of vowels lowers their saliency. The value of saliency of vowels influenced its acquisitional patterns:

- The vowels emerged early in the children’s production, between the age of 1;0 and the age of 2;0. The proportions of vowel errors in the total number of speech error in each age group were between 9.2% and 20.0% (in contrast, syllable-initial consonant errors took up 65.8%-79.3% of the total speech errors).

- The vowels were more resistant to impairment than syllable-initial consonants. One child (3%) used delayed processes affecting vowels and four children (12%) made unusual errors with vowels.

- The child with hearing impairment had little difficulty in acquiring vowels.

Syllable-initial consonants have the lowest saliency of the four syllable components, since their presence in a syllable is optional and there is a range of 21 syllable-initial phonemes.
that can be used. The low saliency value of the syllable-initial consonants resulted in its late acquisition and vulnerability to impairment.

- It was the last syllable component to be acquired by the normally developing children in the cross-sectional study.

- Syllable-final consonant errors had a remarkably higher proportion than other syllable components both in the speech of normally developing children, that of children with speech difficulties and that of children with hearing impairment.

9.2.3.2 SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PUTONGHUA-SPEAKING CHILDREN AND CHILDREN SPEAKING OTHER LANGUAGES

The studies reported in this thesis also showed similarities and differences between the Putonghua-speaking children and children acquiring the phonology of other languages.

Normally developing children

In terms of phonological processes, Putonghua-speaking children showed a tendency for structural and systemic simplifications in their production, which is similar to English-speaking children (Grunwell, 1981). However, there are also some cross-linguistic differences in the phonological processes. For example, syllable-initial consonant deletion and backing, which were considered atypical error patterns in English, were evident in the speech of the children acquiring Putonghua. In addition, substitution patterns and realisation rules of the same phonological processes may vary from one language to another.
In terms of phonemic acquisition, the features of aspiration, affrication and retroflex were acquired last. The late acquisition of affrication has been reported in English (Olmsted, 1971; Prather et al., 1975), Cantonese (So & Dodd, 1995), Russian (Timm, 1977, cited in Locke, 1983). However, the opposite pattern has been proposed in Japanese (Yasuda, 1970; Battacchi et al., 1964, both cited in Locke, 1983). The late feature of aspiration is less controversial, and it is supported by Cantonese data (So & Dodd, 1995).

In terms of tonal acquisition, tones were found to be acquired earlier than segments by Putonghua-speaking children. The same pattern was found in Tse’s study (1978) on the phonological acquisition of Cantonese. In addition, high level tones were acquired earlier than other tones by Putonghua-speaking children (possibly due to the fact that it only consists of default feature — level tones). This is consistent with Tse’s (1978) finding on Cantonese tonal acquisition, which suggested that all the level tones were acquired earlier than contour tones (cf. A. Tse, 1992).

Children with speech disorder

Types of speech disorder (e.g. delay, consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder) found in phonologically disordered Putonghua-speaking children were consistent with that of speech disorder identified in children speaking English (Dodd, 1995), Cantonese (So & Dodd, 1994), Turkish (Topbas, 1997) and German (Fox, 1997).

Putonghua-speaking children shared the characteristics common to disordered children speaking other languages. These characteristics include persisting delayed processes, unusual error types, variability, restricted phonetic or phonemic inventory, and systematic sound or syllable preference. Sensitivity to the ambient phonology is another characteristic shared by children with speech disorder, irrespective of the language being
learned. Putonghua-speaking children with speech disorder made fewest errors on tones, fewer errors on syllable-final consonants and most errors on syllable-initial consonants -- the same pattern was also reported for normally developing children.

Despite these similarities, criteria used in diagnosing speech disorder should be language-specific. In other word, whether a specific phonological process is considered as non-age-appropriate or atypical is relative to the normal patterns associated with the children acquiring that language. For example, a Cantonese-speaking child using a backing process would be considered disordered while a Putonghua-speaking child would not.

**Twins**

The findings based on the study of Putonghua-speaking twins confirmed the previous findings on English-speaking children (e.g. Dodd & McEvoy, 1994):

- The phonological systems of the co-twins were not identical, though both evidenced characteristics of delayed or disordered phonological development and shared some error patterns;

- They were able to comprehend both adult and their sibling’s phonological forms, suggesting a dual phonological representation in their mental lexicon.

**Children with hearing impairment**

Comparison of the acquisition of various phonological units and phonological processes of the Putonghua-speaking child with prelingual hearing impairment with that of hearing-impaired children speaking English (Oller & Kelly, 1974; Dodd, 1976; Stoel-Gammon, 1983; Abraham, 1989) and Cantonese (Dodd & So, 1994) revealed both cross-linguistic similarities and the influence of the ambient language on the phonological acquisition of
children with hearing impairment. Among those characteristics shared by the children speaking different languages were: the relatively error-free acquisition of vowels and lexical tones compared to consonants; the tendency to simplify syllable structures, the presence of both developmental processes and unusual substitutions in the phonology. However, while Putonghua-speaking children and Cantonese-speaking children with hearing impairment tended to have intact syllable-final consonant repertoires (perhaps due to the small number of syllable-final consonants and lack of word-final syntactic markers in these two languages), syllable-final consonants were more likely to be affected by hearing loss in the phonological development of children speaking English. Whereas English-speaking children with hearing loss tend to have difficulty with pitch, Putonghua and Cantonese-speaking children are able to acquire tonal contrasts (plausibly as a result of the nature of lexical tones in these two languages).

9.3 PHONOLOGICAL ACQUISITION AND PHONOLOGICAL THEORY

The analytic framework adopted in the studies in this thesis is primarily that of Natural Phonology. Unlike other phonological theories, Natural Phonology was developed with the specific aim to account for the phonological development of children in both normal and exceptional circumstances. Its focus on the phonological processes used by children in their speech production proves to be an efficient way of describing the relationship between the adult targets and the children's erroneous realisation of them.

It is important to note that Natural Phonology is 'a modern development of the oldest explanatory theory of phonology' (Donegan & Stampe, 1979, p. 126). It does not subscribe to the aims of current or new approaches to phonological theory, especially
generative phonology. Nevertheless, it shares with the generative phonology-based theories and models a number of important views on phonological acquisition of children. For example, both natural phonology and generative phonology reject the possibility of the child having a system of his or her own. Both insist on the ‘innate’ or universal status of phonological rules or processes, and both assume that it is the innate constraints on the child’s production that lead to simplification in the child’s output form (see Vihman, 1998).

A full discussion of the current phonological theories and their implications for the study of language acquisition and disorder is beyond the scope of this thesis. It is however worth pointing out that the data described in the studies in this thesis could be accounted for by other phonological theories and models. For example, the late acquisition of affrication, aspiration and retroflex could be explained within the framework of generative phonology, and the early acquisition of suprasegmental features such as tones in both normally developing children and children in exceptional circumstances could be accounted for with reference to the multilayered and hierarchically organised representations postulated in autosegmental phonology.

9.4 PROFESSIONAL IMPLICATIONS

The studies reported in this thesis have a number of implications for professional practice, especially speech and language therapy services in China.

The norms on the phonological development of Putonghua-speaking children, for the first time, provide a much-needed diagnostic tool for assessing phonological development of Putonghua-speaking children and identifying phonological disorder. The information on
the age of phonemic acquisition and the chronology of phonological processes will be essential in designing intervention schemes.

- The plausible existence of different underlying deficits calls for differential diagnosis and treatment of different subgroups of children with speech disorder (i.e. articulation disorder, delayed development, consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder).

- A number of qualitative measures (phonetic and phonemic inventories; phonological processes) and quantitative measures (severity, inconsistency) in screening phonologically disordered Putonghua-speaking children were proposed and their effectiveness in diagnoses of speech disorder was evaluated. While quantitative measures are very effective in screening the phonological impairment of delayed subgroup, qualitative measures, especially phonological processes or error patterns, are more important in diagnosing consistent disorder and inconsistent disorder.

- Disordered children speaking one language should be considered as 'typical' or 'atypical' relatively to the normal patterns of that language. The criteria such as age of acquisition and the chronology of certain phonological processes vary from one language to another.

- Different spontaneous developmental patterns found in children with different types of speech disorder again imply the need for differential diagnosis and treatment. The fact that some of the children with delayed development would resolve spontaneously without intervention and the children with consistent or inconsistent disorder would make little progress over time needs to be considered in deciding clients' priority for therapy and choosing treatment targets. Those aspects of the phonological system that appear to be developing non-age-appropriately do not necessarily need to be targeted in
therapy. Rather, therapy should centre on those aspects of the system that are developing atypically. Thus, although the case study reported in Chapter 6 was carried out in a country where speech and language therapy services are yet to be established, the findings may also have implications for clinical management in countries where speech and language services do exist (see further discussion in 6.4.3).

- The fact that a dual phonological representation might exist in twins' mental lexicon and lead to an atypical phonological development needs to be taken into consideration in providing intervention for twins.

- The existence of unusual phonological processes in the speech of the children with hearing impairment suggests that children with hearing impairment might have a deficit in generalising accurate information about the regularities of the target phonological form. Specific programmes should be designed to develop the phonological awareness of the children with hearing impairment.

- The findings in this thesis reiterated an urgent need for providing speech therapy service and raising people's awareness of the existence of speech disorder, along with other specific language impairment, in China. Following the prevalence figure of 10% for developmental speech disorder in the pre-school English-speaking population, speech disorder may affect up to 13 million Putonghua-speaking children. However, the current situation is far from satisfactory -- nearly all the children with speech disorder are left untreated, since no speech therapy service is available yet; and a small number of children with unintelligible speech, who get treated in hospitals, are uniformly treated as having an articulation disorder.
9.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE RESEARCH

9.5.1 METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATION

The studies reported in this thesis employed several different data collection methods. It is important to bear in mind that the findings in each study should be interpreted in the context of the data collection approach adopted. In particular,

- The cross-sectional study in this thesis was carried out to identify typical developmental patterns of normally developing Putonghua-speaking children. Compared to longitudinal studies, cross-sectional studies have strength in that it is feasible to make generalisations on the developmental characteristics of the children based on the extensive data collected. However, it is unable to trace a longitudinal developmental pattern of a particular child. The findings should be interpreted as probabilistic statements regarding the rate and pattern of development.

- The longitudinal study in this thesis was aimed to chart the sequential developmental course of early phonological acquisition. The close observation in the longitudinal study provided a clear picture of four children's development at various stages and presented subtle yet important information regarding children's phonological development. However, given individual variations and preferences at the various stages of development, it is difficult to generalise the findings based on the small number of participants in the study, particularly with regard to normative developmental patterns.

- The studies on the children with hearing impairment and on twins were case studies. The reports on speech disorder of Putonghua-speaking children and on the spontaneous
development and change in the disordered phonology were both based on a small number of case studies. A case study can be used to provide a counter example for a universal generalisation, can identify and demonstrate features which need to be accounted for in generalisations and provide an opportunity to make hypotheses. However, the findings based on a small number of case studies or a single case study must not be taken as conclusive before similar studies, on a larger scale, are carried out to attest the findings.

9.5.2 DATA COLLECTION TECHNIQUE

Given that no reliable information is available on the frequency distribution of Putonghua phonemes in natural speech, the selection of words and phrases in preparing the stimuli for picture-naming task was primarily driven by their familiarity to young children and imageability for producing the pictures. Consequently, the frequency of phonemes varied (see Appendix 2 for details). This may have affected the results to some extent. However, as the phonemes which had the same frequency in the picture-naming task showed different age of emergence and stabilisation, it was unlikely that the overall findings on the age of acquisition and the chronology of phonological processes were an artefact of the seemingly unbalanced frequency distribution. In addition, the same picture-naming task was administered in assessing the phonological development of children with speech disorder, children with hearing impairment, and twins. Comparisons were made between performance of the children under study and those of normally developing children. Therefore, variations in the frequency of occurrence of phonemes are less likely to interfere with the assessment process and the results.
Another limitation of the current research is that the spontaneous speech sample was collected through parent-child interactions in the longitudinal study instead of picture-naming task out of the concern regarding feasibility. Therefore, it is unclear whether it was due to the children’s avoidance or selection strategy or the lack of opportunity, when a particular feature failed to appear in the children’s speech. This data collection technique also gave rise to individual variations to a certain extent.

9.6 POINTERS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

The current research raises many issues for further research. Among them are:

- Studies are needed to explain factors affecting the patterns of children’s systemic simplification of speech. In particular, why do children in different language environments use different substitutes for the same phonemes as it is the case with /ʌ/?

- Some cross-linguistic variations in the rate and order of acquisition of vowels, consonants and prosodic features such as tones are better accounted for in terms of phonological saliency. There is a need for refining the notion of ‘phonological saliency’ in the context of developmental universals so that it would be able to capture cross-linguistic similarities and differences in phonological acquisition and development.

- Further investigation is needed to examine the interaction of phonological and lexical constraints in the early stage of phonological and lexical development. It is arguable whether the acquisition of tones, tone sandhi, and weak stress is rule-based or lexically based.
• The relationship between input and phonological development needs to be further examined, perhaps by monitoring the changes both in language input and in the rate of phonological development.

• The phenomenon of 'recidivism', evident both in the normal development of phonology and in that of children in exceptional circumstances, needs to be scrutinised for causal factors.

• Studies should be carried out with regard to children with delayed phonological development, the largest subgroup of children with speech disorder, to specify early prognostic indicators of spontaneous recovery from delayed phonological development.

• The role of auditory input in the phonological acquisition of children with hearing impairment needs further research. Although visual perception of speech movement can complement the auditory modality to a certain extent, the auditory input seems to be essential for hearing impaired children’s generalising the regularities of the target language.

9.7 CONCLUSION

This thesis investigates the influence of universal tendencies and language-specific features on phonological development of Putonghua-speaking children in both normally developing and exceptional circumstance (i.e. children with speech disorder, with hearing impairment, and twins). Its main contribution lies in the fact that:

• It provides the first normative data on phonological acquisition by Putonghua-speaking children.
• The normative data in turn provide a tool for assessing phonological disorders of Putonghua-speaking children. The availability of the normative data is an important first step towards clinical diagnosis and treatment.

• The data from both normally developing children and children in exceptional circumstances provide new cross-linguistic evidence on child phonology which has a range of theoretical implications. A number of theories and models of phonological acquisition are evaluated and the concept of 'phonological saliency' is proposed to account for cross-linguistic variations in the rate and order of acquisition of segmental and supra-segmental features.

• The thesis also provides the first comprehensive account of the disordered phonology of Putonghua-speaking children, and further cross-linguistic support for the 'four subgroup categorisation system'.

• The follow-up study of the development and change that occurred to the phonological systems of seven Putonghua-speaking children with speech difficulties in the absence of intervention showed that children with different underlying deficits follow different paths or development. Although this evidence was obtained from a less than ideal situation (there is no speech and language therapy provision in China; hence children with speech and language disorder receive no intervention), this unique set of data has a number of theoretical and professional implications which require further investigation.

• The single case study of the phonological development of a set of twins is the first of its kind on Putonghua-speaking twins. It contributes to the discussion of range of
issues regarding the communication profiles of twins, such as the possibility of a dual phonological representation in twins’ mental lexicon.

- The case study of the phonological development of a Putonghua-speaking child with prelingual hearing impairment revealed both cross-linguistic similarities and the influence of the ambient language on the phonological acquisition of children with hearing impairment and provides further evidence for the concept of ‘phonological saliency’.

The thesis as a whole contributes to the expanding body of literature on cross-linguistic studies of language development and disorder, as well as to the current debate on universal vs. language-specific influences on language acquisition.
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### Appendix 1

**Items Used in Picture-naming Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Pinyin</th>
<th>IPA</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Pinyin</th>
<th>IPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>nose</td>
<td>bizi</td>
<td>pi2·tsiO</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>light</td>
<td>deng</td>
<td>tʊŋ1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ear</td>
<td>erduo</td>
<td>tʂ3-tuoO</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>umbrella</td>
<td>yusan</td>
<td>y3-san3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mouth</td>
<td>zui</td>
<td>tɕuo2-tsiO</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>tɛiyanɡ</td>
<td>tʰæi4-tɕiŋ0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>finger</td>
<td>shouzi</td>
<td>ʂʊʊ3-tɕi3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>moon</td>
<td>yuèliɑŋ</td>
<td>yɛ4-liɑŋ0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>toufa</td>
<td>tʰou2-fA0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>star</td>
<td>xìnxìnɡ</td>
<td>ɕiŋ1-ɕiŋ (j)0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>foot</td>
<td>jiao</td>
<td>tɕiao3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>flower</td>
<td>hua</td>
<td>ɕua(j)1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>shoe</td>
<td>xie</td>
<td>ɕiɛ2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>bird</td>
<td>niao</td>
<td>niɑo(ə)3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>skirt</td>
<td>qunzi</td>
<td>tɕʰyn2-tsiO</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>panda</td>
<td>xionɡmɑo</td>
<td>ɕyʊə2-mɑo(ə)1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>apple</td>
<td>pingguo</td>
<td>pʰiŋ2-kuo3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>plane</td>
<td>feiji</td>
<td>fei1-tɕi1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>watermelon</td>
<td>xigua</td>
<td>ɕi1-kuo0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>car</td>
<td>xiɑoqǐcʰ</td>
<td>ɕiou3-ɕi4-tɕ ʰy(4)1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>banana</td>
<td>xiangjiao</td>
<td>ɕiɑŋ1-tsioo1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>ball</td>
<td>qiǔ</td>
<td>tɕʰiou2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>meat</td>
<td>rou</td>
<td>ʂou4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>piano</td>
<td>ɡɑŋqín</td>
<td>kɑŋ1-tɕʰin2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>vegetable</td>
<td>cai</td>
<td>tɕʰæi4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>girl</td>
<td>nîhài</td>
<td>nɤ3-ɕæ(ə)2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>bowl</td>
<td>wan</td>
<td>uan(ə)3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>boy</td>
<td>nɑnɑi</td>
<td>nɑn2-ɕæ(ə)2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>chopsticks</td>
<td>kuaizi</td>
<td>kʰuai4-tsiO</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>hǒŋg</td>
<td>ɕw̚ʊ2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>knife</td>
<td>dao</td>
<td>tao1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>heart</td>
<td>xìn</td>
<td>ɕin1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>table</td>
<td>zhuozi</td>
<td>ʂuo1-tsiO</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>thank you</td>
<td>xiexiē</td>
<td>ɕiɛ4-ɕiɛ0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>shui</td>
<td>ʂuei3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>goodbye</td>
<td>zàijiān</td>
<td>tsæi4-tsien4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>wash face</td>
<td>xilian</td>
<td>ɕi3-li en3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>stick</td>
<td>ɡunzi</td>
<td>kʊɑn (j)4-tsiO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>brush teeth</td>
<td>shuaya</td>
<td>ʂua1-ia2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>book</td>
<td>shu</td>
<td>ʂu1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>bed</td>
<td>chuɑng</td>
<td>tɕʰuoŋ2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>clip</td>
<td>jiɑzi</td>
<td>tɕia1-tsiO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>gate</td>
<td>men</td>
<td>mɑn(ə)2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>circle</td>
<td>yuɑnquan</td>
<td>yan2-tɕʰyan (j)1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Pinyin is Chinese romanisation system. The numbers used in IPA transcription are tone indicators, representing high level, rising, falling-rising and high falling tones respectively. Weakly stressed syllable is marked by the number 0. Rhotacised feature is marked by parentheses.
Appendix 2

Frequency Distribution of Phonological Features in Picture-Naming Test

**Syllable-initial consonants** (total occurrence in the test = 57)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phonemes</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Phonemes</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pʰ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tʰ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>tʰs</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ꞓ</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kʰ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>t⁵ Ꞓ</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>t⁵ Ꞓʰ</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ꞓ</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>t⁵ Ꞓ</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>t⁵ Ꞓʰ</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꞑ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vowels** (total occurrence in the test = 67)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phonemes</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Phonemes</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>ou</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ia</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ie</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ua</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>uo</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>iœo</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>æ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>iou</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ae</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>uae</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Syllable-final consonants (total occurrence in the test = 21)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phonemes</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tones (total occurrence in the test = 58)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tones</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tone 1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tone sandhi (total occurrence in the test = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone sandhi type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising tone becomes rising if followed by another falling-rising tone</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling-rising tone retains the falling part in its contour only without rising</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in the pitch if followed by high level, rising and falling tones</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High falling tone becomes low falling tone before another high falling tone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Weak stress (total occurrence in the test = 13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weak stress type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noun suffixes are weakly stressed</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduplicated second syllables are weakly stressed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second lexemes in some compounds are weakly stressed</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rhotacisation (total occurrence in the test = 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rhotacisation type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Words which are always rhotacised</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words which are optionally rhotacised</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 3

### Comparison of Putonghua, Cantonese, English and Xhosa phonology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Putonghua</th>
<th>Cantonese</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Xhosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tones</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vowels</strong></td>
<td>9 monophthongs</td>
<td>11 monophthongs</td>
<td>12 monophthongs</td>
<td>7 monophthongs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 diphthongs</td>
<td>11 diphthongs</td>
<td>9 diphthongs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 triphthongs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syllable-final consonants</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syllable-initial consonants</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clusters</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Very Few</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syllable structure</strong></td>
<td>[C]V[C]</td>
<td>[C] [G]V [C/G]</td>
<td>[C0.3] V [C0.4]</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Adapted from So & Dodd, 1995)
Appendix 4

Procedures for Pure Tone Audiometry

Recommended procedures for pure-tone audiometry using a manually operated instrument, published by British Society of Audiology in 1981, are adapted in the present study.

1. Conditions for audiometry:

Quiet, comfortable, and safe.

2. Instructions given to the children (originally in Putonghua):

'We are now playing a game. You are going to put on the headphones. You may hear a sound in one of your ears. As soon as you hear a sound, put one ball into the box.'

3. Pre-test trial to familiarise the children with the task:

Present a tone of about 3 seconds duration at a level that is expected to be clearly audible to the children, which is usually about 30dB above the roughly estimated threshold. When giving signal, avoid using any possible auditory, visual and tactile clues and avoid a rhythmic presentation of the tone both in interval between tones and their duration. Check that the children responds correctly, i.e. putting the ball into the box as soon as they hear something in their headphones. Make sure that the children's response must not generate any audible sound and must involve minimal movement. If there is no response, raise the level in 20 dB steps until a response is obtained.

4. Order of test:
Start with the better-hearing ear (if the tester is informed). Start with 1000 Hz. Next proceed to test 2000, 4000, 8000, 500 and 250 Hz. For the first ear only, retest at 1000 Hz. If the retest value is more than 5 dB more acute than the original value, retest the next frequency and so on. Where needed and practicable, test also at intermediate frequencies 750, 1500, 3000, 6000 Hz. Then test the opposite ear in the same order without the retest at 1000 Hz.

5. Finding auditory threshold:

At each level (1000, 2000, 4000, 8000, 500 and 250 Hz), starting with 10 dB, a tone is presented 3 or 4 times to the children at variable intervals. If the children give the expected response at least twice, reduce the levels in 10 dB steps until the children no longer respond. Then raise in 5 dB steps until the children respond again. The threshold is the lowest level at which correct responses occur at least twice. Plot the threshold at each level on audiogram.

6. Assessment of hearing loss:

The average dB at 500, 1000 and 2000 Hz is calculated.

Degree of Hearing Loss:

- Normal hearing: Less than 25 dBHL
- Mild hearing loss: 25 to 40 dBHL
- Moderate hearing loss: 45 to 65 dBHL
- Severe hearing loss: 70 to 90 dBHL
- Profound hearing loss: More than 90 dBHL
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Oromotor examination

A Chinese version of oromotor examination is adapted from Ozanne (1992).

Procedures:

The children are asked to perform five sequenced oral movements. For each sequenced oral movement, the examination is administered in two steps. First, the examiner gives the children verbal instructions and demonstrates each movement to the children. The children are encouraged to have the first trial of each movement, following demonstration. Second, the examiner asks the children to repeat the movement. A score would be given to the children’s second trial. The scoring sheet is used.

The scoring sheet of oromotor examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement 1</th>
<th>Movement 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blow and put your tongue up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lick and roar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiss and cough</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yawn and lick the side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue up and to the side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scoring system for sequenced oral movements:

Each movement will be rated as 0, 1, 2, or 3. The criteria are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Accurate performance immediately follows oral command.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Accurate performance preceded by protracted pauses during which unsuccessful movements may be present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Overall pattern of gesture acceptable, but defective in terms of amplitude, accuracy, force and/or speed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>An important part of the gesture is lacking though the rest is performed correctly; gestures elicited by preceding items are performed; an incorrect oral gesture or speed sound is produced; or no oral movement is produced.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessment of children's performance:

Children's performance would be considered normal if their scores for each sequenced volitional oral movement are within normal range (i.e., no less than the difference between mean and one standard deviation). The normative scores of sequenced oral movements based on English-speaking children are listed as follows (no difference has been found among children speaking different languages, concerning the oral motor skills):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>3;0-3;5</th>
<th>3;6-3;11</th>
<th>4;0-4;5</th>
<th>4;6-4;11</th>
<th>5;5-5;5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blow and put your tongue up</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lick and roar</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiss and cough</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yawn and lick the side</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue up and to the side</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score (max:30)</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Visual Motor Integration test

The developmental test of Visual-Motor Integration, or VMI, by Beery (1989) is a developmental sequence of 24 geometric forms to be copied with paper and pencil. It assesses the children's visual and motor skills which are correlated significantly with their academic achievement. The VMI can be either group or individually administered in about 10 to 15 minutes and can be used with pre-school children through adults.

In the present study, the short form of VMI (for aged 3;0 - 8;0) is administered individually to each child. The procedures recommended by Beery (1989) are followed:

1. The child is given a pencil without an eraser.

2. Place the test booklet (only the first 15 geometric forms which are suitable for children up to 8;0 are included) before the child. Keep both the test booklet and the child's body centred and squared to the desk throughout testing.

3. Open the booklet to the first page and point to Form 1 and then the blank space below it. Say: Make one like that. Make yours right here.

4. Encourage the child if necessary. Do not trace the form with a finger or pencil, as such motions provide important cues. Do not let the child trace the form either. Avoid calling the form by its name or by a descriptive term.

5. If the child does not understand the task or does not copy any one of the first three forms well enough to earn a point, turn to the blank sheet on page 2 and make repetitive vertical pencil marks at the top, left side of the sheet. Invite the child to make marks like the example just blow the example.
6. Whether or not the child draws vertical lines in imitation of examples -- after ample opportunity -- make repeated horizontal lines at the top centre of page 2 and invite the child to imitate you in the space below the example. Whether or not the child responds, repeat this procedure with circular lines at the top right of page 2.

7. If the child responds by imitating the example on any one of the three forms, turn again to the first three printed forms on page 4 and allow the child to try to copy the forms directly.

8. Prompt by pointing and saying Make one like this for as many forms as is necessary. Allow only one try per form, with no erasing. As soon as the child is responding well, say: Good. Go ahead and do the rest of them. You may turn to the next page when you finish this one.

9. Record your test observations inconspicuously. The child should not be timed overtly or otherwise pressured.

10. Testing may be ended after three consecutive forms for which the child earns no points.

11. Each of the child's drawings is rated according to the criteria given in the manual. The score is recorded onto the Recording and Scoring sheet. The total score is added and compared to the normative data provided in the manual (research indicates that the VMI is virtually culture-neutral).
VMI short form recording and scoring sheet

Name: __________  Sex: __________  School: __________

Birthday: _________  Age: _________  Examiner: __________

Date of test: _________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2;10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3;0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3;0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4;4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4;6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4;7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>4;11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5;3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>5;6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VMI Raw Score

VMI Standard Score

VMI Percentile
Production

* The phonemes in both left and right edges are targets. The phonemes in both upper and lower edges should be used as references for children’s realisations. The shaded cells on the same horizontal line represent children’s realisations of the same phoneme on several trials.
* The phonemes in both left and right edges are targets. The phonemes in both upper and lower edges should be used as references for children’s realisations. The shaded cells on the same horizontal line represent children’s realisations of the same phoneme on several trials.
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### ZL’s First Attempt to Produce the Targets in Picture-Naming Task at the Age of 3;5, 3;9, 4;1 and 4;5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>ZL’s realisations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Items</td>
<td>IPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nose</td>
<td>pi2·tsiO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ear</td>
<td>ι3·tuo0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouth</td>
<td>tsuei3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finger</td>
<td>ηou3·tsi3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hair</td>
<td>tηου2·f ιο</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot</td>
<td>tciou3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoe</td>
<td>ci2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skirt</td>
<td>tηηυη2·tsiO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apple</td>
<td>pηip2·kuo3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>watermelon</td>
<td>ιi1·kuo0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>banana</td>
<td>ηuiη1·tciou1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meat</td>
<td>ιou4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetable</td>
<td>ιtsηae4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bowl</td>
<td>uan(x)3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chopsticks</td>
<td>kηυae4·tsi0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knife</td>
<td>tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>table</td>
<td>tsuol·tsi0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>ηuei3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wash face</td>
<td>ιη1·liεη3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brush teeth</td>
<td>ιυ1·ia2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bed</td>
<td>tηιουη2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gate</td>
<td>man(η)2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>light</td>
<td>tηη1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: The numbers (1, 2, 3, and 4) used in IPA transcription are tone indicators, representing high level, rising, falling-rising and high falling tones respectively. Weakly stressed syllables are marked by the number 0. The rhotacised feature, which is optional, is marked by parentheses. In the child’s realisations, ‘I’ stands for imitation; ‘-‘ marks those occasions in which the child did not produce the target words.
# Appendix 9

## Chronology of Phonological Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processes</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consonant assimilation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllable initial deletion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllable-initial*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fronting: $/s/ \rightarrow [s]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/z/ \rightarrow [/z/\sim]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/k/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing: $/s/ \rightarrow [S]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping: $/ts/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/s/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$/x/ \rightarrow [k]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affrication: $/\varphi/ \rightarrow [\varphi]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaspiration: $/h^\ddagger/ \rightarrow [t]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration: $/h/ \rightarrow [t^\ddagger]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-velarisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllable-final</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $/n/ \rightarrow [ŋ]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing: $/n/ \rightarrow [ŋ]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $/ŋ/ \rightarrow [ŋ]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vowels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triphthong reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphthong reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--- indicates that 10-20% of the children of an age group used a phonological process;  
— indicates that more than 20% of the children of an age group used a phonological process;

* Typical examples are given for each of syllable-initial phonological processes.