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Abstract

The parallel operation of voltage fed converters can be used in many applications, such as aircraft, aerospace, and wind turbines, to increase the current handling capability, system efficiency, flexibility, and reliability through providing redundancy. Also, the maintenance of low power parallel connected units is lower than one high power unit. Significant performance improvement can be attained with parallel converters employing interleaving techniques where small passive components can be used due to harmonic cancellation.

In spite of the advantages offered by parallel connected converters, the circulating current problem is still a major concern. The term circulating current describes the uneven current sharing between the units. This circulating current leads to: current distortion, unbalanced operation, which possibly damages the converters, and a reduction in overall system performance. Therefore, current sharing control methods become necessary to limit the circulating current in a parallel connected converter system.

The work in this thesis proposes four active current sharing control schemes for two equally rated, directly paralleled, AC/DC/AC converters. The first scheme is referred to as a “time sharing approach,” and it divides the operation time between the converters. Accordingly, in the scheme inter-module reactors become unnecessary, as these are normally employed at the output of each converter. However, this approach can only be used with a limited number of parallel connected units. To avoid this limitation, three other current sharing control schemes are proposed. Moreover, these three schemes can be adopted with any pulse width modulation (PWM) strategy and can be easily extended to three or more parallel connected units since they employ a modular architecture.

The proposed current sharing control methods are employed in two applications: a current controller for three-phase RL load and an open loop V/f speed control for a three-phase induction motor. The performance of the proposed methods is verified in both transient and steady state conditions using numerical simulation and experimental testing.
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1.1 Motivation and objective

Although there has been a considerable increase in the power rating of power semiconductor devices, these devices have either technical or economic limitations. They often have inadequate switching characteristics, or are too expensive due to being manufactured in low quantities. Power ratings can be increased by series or parallel connections. Series connections can be used to increase voltage blocking capability while a parallel connection can be utilised to increase the current handling capability. Both series and parallel connections can be implemented at the semiconductor devices level or power converter level, which is the most common approach [1].

Unlike a single converter, parallel converters provide many advantages such as increased reliability through redundancy. This is essential in some applications such as aerospace, wind turbine, or UPS for main frame computers and servers. Parallel converters can also have a virtually indefinite output power level, as any number of parallel converters can be selected according to the system power requirement. Furthermore, a significant performance improvement can be obtained by employing interleaving techniques. This allows smaller passive components to be used due to harmonic cancellation [2, 3].

The connection of parallel converters started with DC/DC converters [4, 5]. More recently, these have been used in three-phase power conversion as the need for an additional transformer became redundant through the development of active control strategies [6]. Parallel-connected, three-phase converters may be used for megawatt-level wind turbines [7-9], variable speed pump-storage power stations [10, 11], active power filters [12], grid-connected photovoltaic systems [13] and uninterruptible power system (UPS) inverters [14, 15].

Despite improvements in power level, system reliability, efficiency and flexibility [9], parallel-operating converters can suffer from unequal current-sharing between the units. The term circulating current is frequently used to describe the uneven current-sharing between the units. This circulating current can lead to current distortion, unbalanced operation, saturation in inductors and a decline in overall system performance.
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In three-phase power conversion, circulating currents flow between parallel-connected converters unless they have uniform modulation [16]. The reference waveforms and the carrier waveforms should have exactly the same amplitude, phase and frequency in order to prevent the flow of circulating currents. The physical parameters of the system and the dead time between the upper and lower switching signals in each leg should also be the same, which is not possible in a practical system. Consequently, practical methods are necessary to limit the circulating currents in such a system.

Although much work has been carried out to investigate active current-sharing techniques [17], designing a modular circulating current controller with a minimum size of passive components and an uncomplicated control algorithm is still the target of many studies.

The main objective of this work is to investigate a modular active current-sharing control for two parallel-connected converters which can be easily extended to more than two units. Two AC/DC/AC converters with a separate but not isolated DC link, as is shown in Fig.1.1, will be considered in this work.

![Diagram of Parallel AC/DC/AC converters with a separate non-isolated DC link.](image)

Figure 1.1 Parallel AC/DC/AC converters with a separate non-isolated DC link.

### 1.2 Thesis contributions

The main contributions of this thesis are the development of four active current-sharing control systems as listed below:
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- Development of a modular active current-sharing control for parallel-connected converters such that only one set of information is shared between the converters and only one proportional-integral (PI) controller is utilised by each converter.
- Development of modular current sharing control where there is no need to share any information between the parallel-connected converters and only one PI controller is used with each converter.
- Development of a modular current-sharing control with no PI controller and where there is no need to share any information between the parallel-connected converters.
- Development of a pulse width modulation (PWM) strategy that can be used for parallel-connected converters such that the current-sharing reactors will be redundant.
- Investigation of the effect of interleaving when employed on a parallel converter structure.
- Experimental validation for all the systems mentioned above.

1.3 Thesis outline

The main contents of the chapters can be summarised as follows:

Chapter 2 presents the background of the two-level, three-phase voltage source converter. It explains the commonly used PWM strategies such as sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM), space vector pulse width modulation (SVPWM), and discontinuous pulse width modulation (DPWM). Some of the general current control strategies are presented like hysteresis current control, stationary frame and synchronous frame PI current regulator. The circulating current definition and generation mechanism for the parallel-connected converters considered in this work are also described. A brief description of the interleaving technique and its effect on the performance of parallel-connected converter systems will be given. Finally, a review of the previous work on active current-sharing control methods is presented and discussed.

Chapter 3 describes the proposed control methods that provide equal current-sharing to the parallel-connected converter. Current-sharing analysis and compensator design will be presented for the suggested current-sharing control schemes.

Chapter 4 introduces different applications for the proposed methods such as a current controller for the parallel-connected converters supplying an inductive load and an open loop voltage to frequency (V/f) control for three-phase induction motor. The
Introduction

MATLAB/SIMSULINK® models for the PWM strategies and the suggested control methods will be demonstrated along with the simulation results. This will include the PWM strategies and the current-sharing behaviour for parallel-connected converters during transient and steady state conditions. These results include the case where the system is considered to be a current controller and when V/f control is utilised to control a three-phase induction motor. Further results show how interleaving affects the harmonic performance of the output current, circulating current between the converters, and the common mode voltage. In addition, this chapter will clarify the effect of the modulation index on the system performance.

Chapter 5 deals with the design and implementation of two parallel-connected converters. The hardware and software design aspects of the system are described, including the selection of passive and active components. Also, the necessary equipment, measurement, protection circuits and interfacing with the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 digital signal processing (DSP) microcontroller will be discussed. The National Instruments LabView™ platform used for monitoring and control will also be described.

Chapter 6 presents experimental results to verify the proposed methods when the parallel converters are used as a current controller for a three-phase inductive load. Further practical results will be demonstrated when the parallel converters are used for the open loop V/f control of a three-phase induction motor. Symmetrical interleaving is employed to validate the interleaving effects on the output current, common-mode voltage and circulating current between the parallel-connected converters.

Chapter 7 summarises the main conclusions and suggestions for future work.

1.4 List of publications

- B. M. H. Jassim, B. Zahawi, and D. Atkinson, "Modular Current Sharing Control Scheme for Parallel Connected Converters"; IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics; (submitted for reviewing)
2.1 Voltage Source Inverter

The main purpose of the voltage source inverter (VSI) is to convert a fixed DC voltage to a variable magnitude, variable frequency AC voltage. Figure 2.1 shows the basic topology of a two-level voltage source inverter. The converter consists of three phase legs connected in parallel with the DC voltage source. Each phase leg has two series connected switches (e.g. IGBT or FET), with anti-parallel diodes. Capacitors \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) should be large enough to filter the voltage ripple and provide a low impedance path for the high frequency ripple currents generated from the inverter stage [18]. The midpoint \( o \) is the reference (ground) for all the voltages and the connection from point \( n \) (neutral of the star connected load) to the midpoint \( o \) is not required when a balanced three phase currents are supplied to the load. Also, \( P \) and \( N \) are the positive and negative DC bus voltages respectively, with respect to the midpoint \( o \). The voltage source inverter could be connected to any passive or active three-phase load.

![Figure 2.1 Three-phase voltage source inverter topology](image)

2.2 Fundamentals of Symmetrical Components

The theory of symmetrical components allows decomposition of an asymmetrical three-phase voltage or current into three sets of symmetrical components [19]. These three
basic components are the positive sequence component denoted with subscript 1, the negative sequence component denoted with subscript 2 and the zero sequence component denoted with subscript 0.

Figure 2.2 shows an example of the analysis of an asymmetrical three-phase voltage or current into three sets of symmetrical components rotating anticlockwise at angular speed $\omega$. The positive and negative components are balanced three-phase vectors that are 120˚ shifted one from the other. The difference between positive and negative sets is that the phase sequence is $abc$ for the positive sets and $acb$ for the negative sets. The zero sequence set represents three vectors with the same magnitude and phase.

Figure 2.2 Combination of the three symmetrical component sets of vectors to obtain the original unbalanced vectors

The decomposition process of an asymmetrical three-phase voltage or current into three sets of symmetrical components can be represented by the following transformation:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_0 \\
    x_1 \\
    x_2 
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 1 & 1 \\
    1 & a & a^2 \\
    1 & a^2 & a
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_a \\
    x_b \\
    x_c
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2.1}
$$

where $(a)$ is an operator which is equal to $exp(j120^\circ)$. Writing the asymmetrical voltages (currents) as the sum of their components gives the following transformation:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_a \\
    x_b \\
    x_c
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 1 & 1 \\
    1 & a & a^2 \\
    1 & a^2 & a
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_0 \\
    x_1 \\
    x_2
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2}
$$
2.3 Clark and Park Transform [43]

Clark (αβ transformation) and Park transformations (dq transformation) are employed in three-phase power system analysis. With these transformations, the three-phase sinusoidal quantities can be transformed into equivalent DC quantities in steady state.

To explain the Clark transformation, consider a three-phase system which is symmetrical in space, as shown in Fig.2.3, where the sum of the three-phase voltages (currents) is zero. The three-phase voltages (currents) can be represented by an equivalent space vector $\vec{x}_s$ as:

$$\vec{x}_s = \frac{2}{3}(x_a + a \cdot x_b + a^2 \cdot x_c)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

Where (2/3) is a scaling factor. The space vector described by (2.3) can be expressed in terms of two stationary orthogonal axes $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This is shown in Fig.2.3. Thus, the space vector in $\alpha\beta$ stationary frame can be expressed as:

$$\vec{x}_s = x_\alpha + jx_\beta$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

Figure 2.3 Space vector in $\alpha\beta$ plane
The direct and quadrature components $x_a$ and $x_β$ can be related to the tri-dimensional vector $x_{abc}$ as:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
x_a \\
x_b \\
x_c 
\end{bmatrix} = T_{αβ} \begin{bmatrix}
x_a \\
x_b \\
x_c 
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_a \\
x_b \\
x_c 
\end{bmatrix}
$$  \tag{2.5}

The transformation $T_{αβ}$ is commonly known as a Clark transformation and its inverse $T_{αβ}^T$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
x_a \\
x_b \\
x_c 
\end{bmatrix} = T_{αβ}^T \begin{bmatrix}
x_a \\
x_b \\
x_c 
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \\ -\sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_a \\
x_b \\
x_c 
\end{bmatrix}
$$  \tag{2.6}

In addition to the stationary reference frame, the space vector can be defined in general reference axes, called $d$ and $q$, which rotate at a constant angular frequency $ω=dφ/dt$, as shown in Fig.2.4.

![Figure 2.4 dq rotating frame of reference](image)

Now, if the space vector $\overline{x}_s$ rotates at the same angular velocity $ω$. Then, the space vector $\overline{x}_s$ is not moving at all with respect to the $dq$ reference frame. Accordingly, the lengths of the space vector $\overline{x}_s$ projections on the $d$ and $q$ axes will be constant.
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Considering Fig.2.4, it is possible to demonstrate that the $d$ and $q$ components of the space vector are:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_d \\
    x_q
\end{bmatrix} = T_{dq} \begin{bmatrix}
    x_a \\
    x_b
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\
    -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    x_a \\
    x_b
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The transformation $T_{dq}$ is known as a Park transformation and its inverse $T_{dq}^T$ can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_a \\
    x_b
\end{bmatrix} = T_{dq}^T \begin{bmatrix}
    x_d \\
    x_q
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\
    \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    x_d \\
    x_q
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Furthermore, using complex representation, the space vector can be expressed as [20]:

\[
\bar{x}_{dq} = x_d + jx_q = (x_a + jx_b)(\cos \varphi - jsin \varphi) = \bar{x}_{a\beta} \cdot e^{-j\varphi}
\]

2.4 Pulse Width Modulation Strategies

Pulse width modulation (PWM) is a powerful technique. It utilizes a square wave with a modulated duty cycle to achieve the target average output voltage. The modulator’s function is to produce timing pulses $sw_a$, $sw_b$, $sw_c$ for the transistors from the reference signals $v_{mA}$, $v_{mB}$, $v_{mC}$ (see Fig. 2.5). When the timing pulses $sw_i=1$, the top transistor in Fig.2.1 is in the ON state, and the bottom transistor is in the OFF state, and vice versa for $sw_i=0$. With these three control signals, $sw_a$, $sw_b$, $sw_c$, there are eight possible states, two of which, (1, 1, 1) and (0, 0, 0), will not produce an output voltage. These states are called zero vector states, while the other six states are called active vector states [21]. All the possible states and their corresponding output voltages are summarized in Table 2.1. Different techniques have been proposed for determining the switch ON times for fixed frequency modulation systems. The most familiar strategies are now summarised [22]:
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Table 2.1 The eight possible states and their output voltages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State $SW_a SW_b SW_c$</th>
<th>vector $V_0$</th>
<th>$\frac{v_{an}}{V_{dc}}$</th>
<th>$\frac{v_{bn}}{V_{dc}}$</th>
<th>$\frac{v_{cn}}{V_{dc}}$</th>
<th>$\frac{v_{ab}}{V_{dc}}$</th>
<th>$\frac{v_{bc}}{V_{dc}}$</th>
<th>$\frac{v_{ca}}{V_{dc}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>000</td>
<td>$V_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$V_1$</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>$V_2$</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>-2/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>$V_3$</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>$V_4$</td>
<td>-2/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>$V_5$</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>$V_6$</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>-2/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>$V_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Naturally sampled PWM

In this approach the target reference waveform is compared with a high frequency carrier signal to produce the PWM signal. The switch ON time is determined from the instantaneous real-time intersection of these two signals. It is difficult to obtain these intersections since this requires a transcendental equation to be solved when digital implementation is adopted. However, it is easy to implement with analogue system. This strategy is illustrated in Fig.2.5 where it is employed in sinusoidal pulse width modulation.
Regular sampled PWM is used to overcome the above-mentioned difficulties relating to a naturally sampled scheme. The low frequency reference signal is sampled and held constant during each carrier interval. Comparisons of these sampled values against the high frequency carrier produce the switch ON time of each phase leg. Depending on the sampling strategy, the sampled values are updated at either the positive, or positive and negative peaks, of the carrier waveform.

The regular sampled PWM is classified into symmetrical and asymmetrical sampling. With symmetrical sampling, the low frequency reference signal is sampled at the peak of the triangular carrier. When the sampling occurs either in the positive or negative peaks of the triangular carrier, i.e. the reference is re-sampled every half carrier period, this strategy is called asymmetric sampling. There is no symmetrical and asymmetrical concept when a saw tooth carrier is used because the reference signal is sampled at the end of the ramping period.
There are many modulation strategies; the most common are fixed frequency strategies such as sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM), space vector pulse width modulation (SVPWM), and the discontinuous pulse width modulation (DPWM). The following sections discuss these strategies briefly.

2.4.1 Sinusoidal pulse width modulation

The principle of naturally sampled sinusoidal PWM is explained with the aid of Fig. 2.5, where the three-phase sinusoidal reference signals \( v_{mA}, v_{mB}, \) and \( v_{mC} \) are compared with the triangular carrier \( v_{cr} \). The comparison determines the logic signals \( sw_a \) and \( sw_b \) which turn on and off the associated transistors. The resultant terminal voltages \( v_{AO} \) and \( v_{BO} \) are equal to the positive half of the DC link voltage when the related logic signal is one. Otherwise, these voltages are switched to the negative half of the DC link voltage for zero logic signals. The line voltage \( v_{AB} \) can be determined by \( v_{AB} = v_{AO} - v_{BO} \), with a fundamental component denoted by \( v_{AB1} \).

In this strategy, the terms modulation index \( M \) and the normalised frequency ratio \( m_f \) are defined as:

\[
M = \frac{\hat{V}_m}{\hat{V}_{cr}} \quad \quad 2.10
\]

\[
 m_f = \frac{f_c}{f_o} \quad \quad 2.11
\]

Where \( \hat{V}_m \) and \( \hat{V}_{cr} \) are the peak values of the modulating and carrier waves respectively. On the other hand, \( f_o \) is the modulating signal frequency and \( f_c \) is the carrier signal frequency. The fundamental voltage magnitude and frequency are controlled by the modulation index and the reference signal frequency, respectively.

The \( n^{th} \) harmonic solution for the phase leg and line to line voltage such as those of Fig.2.5 are given by the following expressions [23]:
\[ v_{Ao}(t) = \frac{V_{dc}}{2} + \frac{V_{dc}}{2} M \cos(\omega_o t) \]
\[ + \frac{2V_{dc}}{\pi} \sum_{m} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n\left(\frac{m \pi}{2} M\right) \sin\left([m+n] \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \cos(m \omega_o t + n \omega_c t) \]
\[ v_{Ab}(t) = \sqrt{3} \frac{V_{dc}}{2} M \cos\left(\omega_o t + \frac{\pi}{6}\right) \]
\[ + \frac{4V_{dc}}{\pi} \sum_{m} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n\left(\frac{m \pi}{2} M\right) \sin\left([m+n] \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(n \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \times \cos\left(m \omega_o t + n \left[\omega_o t - \frac{\pi}{3}\right] + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \]

In (2.12) and (2.13), the term \( J_n \) represents the Bessel function of order \( n \), \( \omega_o \) is the fundamental frequency of the modulating and output waveforms, \( \omega_c \) is the carrier frequency, \( m \) is the carrier index variable, and \( n \) is the baseband index variable.

It is clear from the equation that the maximum magnitude of fundamental line voltage for this strategy is \( \sqrt{3} V_{dc}/2 \) when \( M=1 \), i.e. the maximum magnitude of the phase voltage is \( V_{dc}/2 \). If the modulation index \( M \) is greater than unity, the fundamental voltage \( v_{AB1} \) is boosted from \( 0.612 V_{dc} \) at \( M=1 \) to \( 0.744 V_{dc} \) at \( M=2 \) [24]. However, low-order harmonics will be generated. Accordingly, this mode of operation, which is called over-modulation, is rarely used due to either torque pulsation in drive application or slow dynamic response in grid connected converter applications, where a low cut-off frequency low pass filter become necessary. Furthermore, the output voltage will no longer linearly vary with the modulation index.

It is worth mentioning that sinusoidal pulse width modulation can be implemented using a regular sampled PWM scheme, as the reference modulating signal is either sampled symmetrically or asymmetrically. The analysis of the two alternatives shows that asymmetrical sampling is superior to the symmetrical due to suppression of the phase leg harmonics when \( (m \pm n) \) is even [23]. However, there is no significant difference between asymmetric sampled PWM and natural sampled PWM when the normalised frequency ratio is high.
2.4.2 *Space vector pulse width modulation*

Space vector modulation (SVM) is one of the widely used techniques for modulating voltage source inverters [25]. Assume ideal switches, the theory of SVM is based on the fact that there are only eight possible switching states for a three-phase inverter, as listed in Table 2.1 and shown in Fig. 2.6.

These possible combinations can be represented by two zero space vectors ($\overrightarrow{V_0}$ and $\overrightarrow{V_7}$) and six active space vectors ($\overrightarrow{V_1}$ to $\overrightarrow{V_6}$). For a balanced three-phase system, we have:

$$v_{an}(t) + v_{bn}(t) + v_{cn}(t) = 0$$

2.14

Where $v_{an}$, $v_{bn}$, and $v_{cn}$ are the instantaneous load phase voltages. Using Clark transformation, it is possible to transfer these voltages to equivalent two-phase voltages as:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    v_a(t) \\
v_\beta(t)
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix}1 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2}\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}v_{an}(t) \\
v_{bn}(t) \\
v_{cn}(t)\end{bmatrix}
\]

The coefficient \((2/3)\) is a scaling factor that allows the magnitude of the two phase voltages equal to that of three-phase voltages after transformation. These two phase voltages can be represented as a space vector:

\[
\vec{V}(t) = v_a(t) + jv_\beta(t)
\]

Substituting (2.15) in (2.16), yields:

\[
\vec{V}(t) = \frac{2}{3} \left[ v_{an}(t)e^{j0} + v_{bn}(t)e^{j\frac{2\pi}{3}} + v_{cn}(t)e^{j\frac{4\pi}{3}} \right]
\]

Applying the above equation to the vector \(\vec{V}_1\) in Table 2.1 yields:

\[
\vec{V}_1 = \frac{2}{3} V_{dc} e^{j0}
\]

Similarly, all six active vectors can be obtained as:

\[
\vec{V}_k = \frac{2}{3} V_{dc} e^{j(k-1)\frac{\pi}{3}}, \quad k = 1,2,\ldots,6
\]

These voltage vectors are equal in magnitude and divide the \(\alpha-\beta\) complex plane into six equal regions within a regular hexagon, as shown in Fig.2.7.

The objective of the space vector technique is to synthesise the reference voltage vector \(\vec{V}_{ref}\) by means of the nearest two non-zero active vectors and the zero active vectors to
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obtain optimal harmonic performance [26]. Assuming the sample time $T_s$ is small enough, the reference vector $\vec{V}_{\text{ref}}$ can be assumed to be constant during $T_s$. When the reference voltage lies in the first sector I, it can be synthesised as in Fig.2.8 where the vectors $\vec{V}_1$, $\vec{V}_2$, and $\vec{V}_0$ are used.

Figure 2.7 Possible space vectors.

Figure 2.8 Creation of the reference voltage vector in sector I.

The volt-second balancing equation is:
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\[ V_{ref} T_s = V_1 T_1 + V_2 T_2 + V_o T_0 \]
\[ T_s = T_1 + T_2 + T_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} 2.20

Where \( T_1, T_2, \) and \( T_o, \) are the required times for the application of vectors \( V_1, V_2, \) and \( V_o \) respectively. Applying, \( k = 0, 1, 2, \) the space vectors in (2.19) can be expressed as:

\[ V_{ref} = V_{ref} e^{j \vartheta}, \quad V_1 = \frac{2}{3} V_{dc}, \quad V_2 = \frac{2}{3} V_{dc} e^{j \frac{\pi}{3}}, \quad V_o = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} 2.21

Substituting (2.21) into (2.20) and then splitting the imaginary and real components yields:

\[ T_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3} T_s V_{ref}}{V_{dc}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \vartheta \right) \]

\[ T_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3} T_s V_{ref}}{V_{dc}} \sin(\vartheta) \quad \text{For} \quad 0 \leq \vartheta < \frac{\pi}{3} \]  \hspace{1cm} 2.22

\[ T_o = T_s - T_1 - T_2 \]

These equations can be expressed in terms of the modulation index \( M \) as:

\[ T_1 = T_s M \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \vartheta \right) \]

\[ T_2 = T_s M \sin(\vartheta) \]  \hspace{1cm} 2.23

\[ T_o = T_s - T_1 - T_2 \]

Where:
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\[ M = \frac{\sqrt{3} V_{ref}}{V_{dc}} \]

It is clear that the maximum amplitude of the reference voltage is limited by the largest circle within the hexagon. Accordingly, the \( V_{ref,max} \) can be found as:

\[ V_{ref,max} = \frac{2}{3} V_{dc} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \right) = \frac{V_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}} \]

Therefore, the maximum modulation index for SVM will be unity and the maximum magnitude of fundamental line voltage for this strategy is \( V_{dc} \), while for the SPWM strategy, the maximum magnitude of fundamental line voltage is \( \sqrt{3} V_{dc}/2 \). This indicates that the SVM strategy boosts the output voltage by 15.4%.

A specific switching sequence arrangement is required to construct \( V_{ref} \) in each sector. To minimize the switching losses, the sequence should be achieved with the minimum number of switching actions during transition from one state to the next and from one sector to another. Figure 2.9 depicts two of the possible vector arrangements in sector I. It is clear that the first sequence is superior to the second, since the total number of switching actions during the sampling period is six and no switching is required during the transition from one sector to the next. Therefore, the second arrangement is undesirable since ten switching actions are involved during the sampling period. Table 2.2 gives the seven-segment switching sequence in all six sectors when sequences similar to that shown in Fig.2.9 (a) are adopted.

The process described above can be implemented with either a symmetrical or asymmetrical regularly sampled modulation strategy [27]. The required computations may be doubled if the later scheme is adopted. Nevertheless, better harmonic performance is obtained by using an asymmetrical regularly sampled strategy because of the intrinsic even baseband harmonic cancellation [23]. Once more, the asymmetrical regular sampled SVM has less harmonic distortion than the asymmetrical regular sampled SPWM [28].
Figure 2.9 Seven-segment switching sequence for reference voltage in sector I:
(a) Desirable (b) Undesirable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector number</th>
<th>Switching sequences for different sectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$V_o' V_1' V_2' V_7' V_2' V_1' V_o'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$V_o' V_3' V_2' V_7' V_2' V_3' V_o'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>$V_o' V_3' V_4' V_7' V_4' V_3' V_o'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>$V_o' V_5' V_4' V_7' V_4' V_5' V_o'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>$V_o' V_5' V_6' V_7' V_6' V_5' V_o'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>$V_o' V_1' V_6' V_7' V_6' V_1' V_o'$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2 Switching sequences for different sectors

2.4.3 Discontinuous pulse width modulation

The above mentioned SVM, which is referred to as classical SVM, distributes the zero voltage vector period ($T_o$) equally between the two zero vectors $V_o'$ and $V_7'$. However, redistribution may affect the performance of the PWM strategy, such as the harmonic content and the switching losses [29]. By using a single zero voltage vector, the switching losses can be reduced as fewer commutations are required. Additional harmonics may be introduced with this scheme, which is called discontinuous PWM. An improved strategy can be used and this is called 60° discontinuous modulation, where each phase leg carries the maximum current is now un-modulated for 60° at a time. This means the phase leg will clamp either to the positive or negative DC rail. When the non-switching periods for
each phase leg are centred on the positive and negative peaks of the reference voltage, this is called DPWM1. This is the best scheme for a resistive load since the line current is in phase with the fundamental voltage. Accordingly, each phase leg does not switch for 120° and this noticeably minimises the switching losses.

For loads that do not have a unity power factor, there is freedom to relocate the clamped periods that minimise the switching losses. For lagging power factor load, it is preferable to delay the non-switching periods up to maximum of 30°, and this scheme is referred to as DPWM2. Similarly, the non-switching periods can be advanced by up to 30° for a leading power factor, known as the DPWM0 strategy.

Figure 2.10 shows the non-switching periods for the three-phase legs that are clamped to the DC rails for the mentioned strategies. The switching sequence for these strategies in the first sector is depicted in Fig.2.11, while those for the other sectors are summarised in Table 2.3 [30].

It is worth mentioning that the DPWM strategies have the same linearity range as the SVPWM, i.e. they have the same DC bus utilisation [31] [32]. Furthermore, Fig.2.11 indicates that the DPWM strategies have fewer switching losses compared to the SVPWM and SPWM [33]. However, these losses are considerably influenced by the load power factor and the selected DPWM strategy.
Figure 2.10 Non-switching periods for discontinuous PWM: (a) DPWM0, (b) DPWM1, (c) DPWM2
Conventional SVPWM has lower harmonic distortion than the DPWM strategies, where additional side band harmonics are generated. This difference is more prominent at low modulation index levels [34]. Nevertheless, the harmonic current and voltage for the DPWM strategies are decreased significantly compared to the SVPWM level for a high modulation index. Furthermore, the DPWM switching loss reduction means that a carrier frequency increment is possible. Consequently, at higher modulation index, the switching frequency increment leads to harmonic performance improvement compared to continuous pulse width modulation. However, adopting DPWM in parallel connected converters makes the zero-sequence circulating current (ZSCC) control more difficult than conventional SVM [35]. In [23], more details for the harmonic analysis are given.
### Table 2.3 DPWM switching sequences for different sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>DPWM0</th>
<th>DPWM1</th>
<th>DPWM2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$V_0V_1V_2V_3V_4V_5$</td>
<td>$V_1V_2V_7V_6V_1$</td>
<td>$V_1V_2V_7V_6V_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_0V_1V_2V_3V_4V_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$V_3V_2V_7V_6V_5$</td>
<td>$V_0V_3V_2V_3V_4V_5$</td>
<td>$V_0V_3V_2V_3V_4V_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_3V_2V_7V_6V_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$V_0V_3V_4V_5V_6V_0$</td>
<td>$V_3V_4V_7V_6V_5V_3$</td>
<td>$V_3V_4V_7V_6V_5V_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_0V_3V_4V_5V_6V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$V_5V_4V_7V_6V_5$</td>
<td>$V_0V_5V_4V_5V_6V_0$</td>
<td>$V_0V_5V_4V_5V_6V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_5V_4V_7V_6V_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$V_0V_5V_6V_7V_6V_5$</td>
<td>$V_5V_6V_7V_6V_5$</td>
<td>$V_5V_6V_7V_6V_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_0V_5V_6V_7V_6V_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$V_1V_6V_7V_6V_1$</td>
<td>$V_0V_1V_6V_1V_0$</td>
<td>$V_0V_1V_6V_1V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing 30°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_1V_6V_7V_6V_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5 Current Control Techniques for a Three-Phase Voltage Source Inverter

Current control techniques can be divided into two major classes: linear and nonlinear controllers [36]. Linear controllers for AC machine control include PI controllers either in the stationary or synchronous reference frame, and constant frequency predictive control.
The predictive controller is the more complex and requires knowledge of the load parameters [37] [38]. Types of non-linear controller include hysteresis, delta modulation, neural networks and fuzzy controllers.

The following sections will briefly describe the most popular current control for the three-phase voltage source inverter, such as PI and hysteresis controllers.

### 2.5.1 Linear current controllers

Unlike non-linear current controller explained in section 2.5.2, linear controllers allow the use of constant switching frequency modulation techniques such as SPWM and SVPWM [36]. The basic reason for this is that linear controllers isolate the current error compensation and PWM generation parts. The following methods will be explained when SVPWM is adopted.

**A- Stationary frame PI current controller:**

Proportional-integral (PI) controllers can be used to generate the modulating signals for the VSI. These modulating signals can be utilised by a carrier based modulator such as SPWM or by SVPWM, as shown in Fig.2.12. The controller uses the $\alpha\beta$ transformation (Clark transformation) to operate on two variables instead of three when the $abc$ stationary frame is employed. This clearly simplifies the control algorithm and directly generates the reference voltages for the SVM. The stationary frame PI regulator suffers from significant steady state error since the PI controller provides finite gain at non-zero frequency [37] [39]. However, employing a proportional resonance (PR) controller instead of a PI controller results in a stationary frame current regulator with zero steady state error [40] [41].

**B-Synchronous frame PI $dq$ current regulators**

As mentioned in section (2.3), three-phase sinusoidal currents are transformed to DC components when the $dq$ synchronous frame is considered with an angular velocity ($\omega$) that is exactly equal to the three-phase system fundamental frequency [42].
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Figure 2.12 A stationary frame PI current regulator

Figure 2.13 depicts a synchronous frame PI \(dq\) current regulator. Two of the three motor currents are measured and transformed to the \(dq\) synchronous frame with aid of the Clark and Park transformation. The difference between the reference and measured \(dq\) frame currents produces the target voltages in the \(dq\) frame. The \(dq\) frame target voltages are transformed back to the stationary \(αβ\) frame to be utilised by the SVPWM block to produce the required gate signals. In contrast to the stationary frame PI regulator, the \(dq\) regulator can achieve zero steady state error by acting on DC signals. However, the synchronous PI regulator is more complex since more transformations are required. Furthermore, inaccurate synchronous frame identification may introduce noticeable error in these transformations.

Figure 2.13 A synchronous frame PI \(dq\) current regulator
2.5.2 *Hysteresis current control*

Hysteresis current control is a non-linear scheme which is based on determining the switching signals by comparing the current error with a fixed tolerance band. It is widely used due to its simple implementation, fast dynamic response, natural current limited maximum current, and robustness to load parameters variation [38][43]. However, there is one major drawback with this scheme, namely the resultant variable switching frequency of the converter, which has effect of making the filtering process extremely expensive. A typical hysteresis controller for phase (A) is shown in Fig.2.14. Identical controllers are used with the other two phases. More detailed information and performance improvement for this scheme can be obtained in technical papers such as [38][44].

![Hysteresis current control (phase A)](image)

**Figure 2.14 Hysteresis current control (phase A)**

2.6 **Parallel Operation**

Despite the considerable increase in the power rating of power semiconductor devices, large devices can have either technical or economical limitations. This is either because they have inadequate switching characteristics or they are too expensive due to low manufacturing quantity. For this reason, parallel operation has gained considerable interest in terms of increasing current handling capability. The parallel operation can be implemented at a microscopic level, on which some hundreds of thousands of individual semiconductor cells are used. The second level is the module level, which continues to the circuit level.
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Special care should be taken to ensure equal current sharing between the parallel connected modules, such as IGBTs. Differences in the current level can occur in steady state or dynamic operation. In steady state the device output characteristic which is the collector current \( I_C \) as a function to the collector to emitter voltage \( V_{CE} \) affects the current distribution. The major current is handled by the switch with lower on-state voltage leading to higher conduction losses and junction temperature. The temperature coefficient plays an important role in this situation. If the temperature coefficient is positive, as in the MOSFET and most IGBT devices, even current sharing will occur automatically. In contrast, negative temperate coefficient, as in freewheeling diodes, may cause thermal overload in parallel connected devices. Furthermore, circuit parasitic impedances affect the steady state current sharing. Parasitic resistances can be introduced by the IGBT terminal connections for both the emitter and collector sides. For this reason, the IGBT connections should be short and uniform.

It is also essential to investigate the current sharing during turn-on or turn-off periods. The current distribution is highly affected by the IGBT transfer characteristic which is the collector current as a function to the gate to emitter voltage \( V_{GE} \). Under the same gate conditions for parallel IGBTs, the device with steeper transfer characteristics handles a larger current and then has the highest switching losses. Dynamic current sharing is more sensitive to stray inductance in the gate emitter circuit. The stray inductance reduces the effective gate voltage. Different emitter stray inductances will lead to non-simultaneous switching and contribute to uneven switching losses.

Based on the points mentioned above, the following are the important precautions that should be applied:

- It is recommended that when driving parallel transistors, a common driver circuit should be used to avoid differing signal propagation times. Also, an individual gate resistor with each transistor should be used to eliminate risk of parasitic oscillations [45]
- All the power and drive circuits within the parallel switches should have a minimum of stray inductance and strictly symmetrical wiring. As the number of parallel devices is increased, this becomes more difficult to achieve [46]
- Good thermal coupling between parallel modules should be ensured [1]
- With optimum driver circuit implementation, thermal coupling, and layout conditions, a de-rating factor of at least 10% is recommended [46]
Based on the above discussion, paralleling IGBTs or MOSFETs is not always a good option and the power stage may need a new design with each increment in the current rating. Therefore, parallel operation at the converter level has received more interest, especially for operation without galvanic isolation. This is mainly achieved through software to reduce the necessary passive components size to limit the unbalanced current distribution between the converters. Moreover, interleaving techniques can be adopted in parallel converters operation. This technique has a significant impact on reducing the size of the AC passive components [47].

### 2.7 Circulating Current and Cross Current: Definitions and Generation

The connection of power electronic converters in parallel can increase available power levels, system reliability and efficiency and improve the flexibility of a system [9]. However, circulating currents are generated and this can lead to current waveform distortion, unbalanced operation and a decline in overall system performance. Circulating currents can flow between parallel connected converters unless they have uniform modulation [16]. The reference waveforms and the carrier waveforms should therefore have exactly the same amplitude, phase and frequency in order to prevent the flow of circulating currents. The physical parameters of the system and the dead-time between the upper and lower switching signals in each leg should also be closely matched, which is not realistically possible. Recently, many studies have covered the circulating current generation mechanism, its definition and modelling [9] [48] [49]. To illustrate the circulating current generation mechanism two parallel connected AC/DC/AC converters are considered, as shown in Fig.2.15.

To illustrate the forming of the circulating current and cross current paths, each transistor and its anti-parallel diode will be represented by a single-pole single-throw switch. Accordingly, the actual phase leg and its representation will be as shown in Fig.2.16. The switch $S_{jn}$ is closed whenever the current flows through $Q_{jn}$ or the anti-parallel diode $D_{jn}$. As mentioned in section (2.4), there are eight possible switching states for each converter. Therefore, there will be 64 possible switching state combinations for the two parallel-connected converters. These states should be examined in order to find all the possible current paths formed in the parallel connected system. Four of these will be considered as options for exploring the possible current paths in such a system. The first is shown in Fig.2.17 (a). The current paths are formed as follows:
Background and Literature Review

Figure 2.15 Directly paralleled AC/DC/AC converters.

Figure 2.16 Phase leg of an inverter: (a) Actual switching device (b) Single-pole single-throw representation

Loop 1: $P_2 - S_{12} - L_{a2} - L_{a1} - S_{21} - S_{41} - L_{b1} - L_{b2} - S_{42} - P_2$.

Loop 2: $P_2 - S_{12} - L_{a2} - L_{a1} - S_{21} - S_{61} - L_{c1} - L_{a2} - S_{62} - P_2$.

The current paths are formed through $S_{41}$ and $S_{61}$ ($D_{41}$ and $D_{61}$) since the DC link voltage of the second units is applied to these diodes in the forward biased direction. A second example is illustrated in Fig.2.17 (b). The resulting current loops are:

Loop 1: $P_2 - S_{32} - L_{b2} - L_{b1} - S_{41} - N_1 - S_{61} - L_{c1} - L_{a2} - S_{62} - P_2$.

Loop 2: $P_2 - S_{32} - L_{b2} - L_{b1} - S_{41} - N_1 - P_1 - S_{11} - L_{a1} - L_{a2} - S_{12} - P_2$. 
Figure 2.17 Cross current paths (a) Converter A in zero switching state and converter B in active switching state (b) The two converters are in active switching states.
In loop 1, the current flow through $S_{61}$ (because of the anti-parallel diode $D_{61}$) is forward biased by the DC link voltage of the second converter. Similarly, the diode $D_{12}$ is forward biased by the DC link voltage of the first converter. These current paths are normally referred to as cross current paths, where the cross current can flow between the units by forming closed paths through the other phases.

As the parallel converters are not completely identical, it can be seen that the circulating current path still exists whether the two converters are synchronised or not. Figure 2.18 shows one of the possible circulating current paths when the two converters have the same switching states. Such a circulating current is referred to as intrinsic circulating current [50].

Considering the circuit in Fig.2.19 where different switching states are used by the converters, it is clear that the two output inductors $L_{a1}$ and $L_{a2}$ are connected as an inductive load to the rectifier. Therefore, a circulating current path is constructed through the two converters. Furthermore, the switching states are the same as shown in Fig.2.17 (a) i.e. both cross current and circulating current paths are formed in this specific case. Similarly, a pure zero sequence circulating current path can be formed if the switching state for the first inverter is (111) and the switching state for the second converter is (000), or vice versa [20].

Figure 2.18 Intrinsic circulating current path when the two converters have the same switching states
Figure 2.19 Circulating current path when converter A in zero switching state and converter B in active switching state

2.8 Interleaving Techniques

Interleaving techniques can be used to enhance the parallel-connected converters benefits through reducing the equivalent output voltage ripple components [51]. To adopt interleaved operation, all the parallel connected units should have the same switching frequency and their carrier signals are phase shifted from each other, as shown in Fig.2.20. The phase shift angle ($\lambda$) for $N$ parallel connected units is $2\pi/N$ for symmetric interleaving and between 0 and $2\pi/N$ for asymmetric interleaving.

Figure 2.20 Definition of interleaving angle ($\lambda$)

For analysis, consider two interleaved parallel connected VSCs, as shown in Fig.2.21, which adopt naturally SPWM strategy. However, same analysis can be utilised for two parallel-connected converters with separate but not isolated DC link (Fig. 2.15). Also, similar analysis can be used with SVPWM or DPWM.
The \( n \)th harmonic solution for the switched phase-leg output voltage between the ac terminal (e.g. A1) and the DC link mid-point is [23] [52]:

\[
v_{jko}(t) = \frac{V_{dc}}{2} + \frac{V_{dc}}{2} M \cos(\omega_o t)
+ \frac{2V_{dc}}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} J_n \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} M \right) \sin \left( \frac{m + n}{2} \pi \right) \times \cos \left( m[\omega_o t + \theta_o] + n[\omega_o t + \theta_o] \right)
\]

\[
\sum_{j=A,B,C} \sum_{k=1,2,3,...,N}
\]

where \( \theta_c \) and \( \theta_o \) are the arbitrary phase offset angles for the carrier and fundamental waveforms, respectively. The angle \( \theta_o = 0, -2\pi/3, 2\pi/3 \) for phase legs A, B, and C respectively and the angle \( \theta_c = 0, \lambda \) for the first and the second converter, respectively.

When symmetrical interleaving is adopted, the \( n \)th harmonic solution for the phase leg output voltage (phase A) is given as:

Figure 2.21 Parallel connected VSCs
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\[ v_{AI0}(t) = \frac{V_{dc}}{2} + \frac{V_{dc}}{2} M \cos(\omega t) \]
\[ + \frac{2V_{dc}}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} J_n \left( m \frac{\pi}{2} M \right) \sin \left( \frac{m}{2} \pi \right) \times \cos \left( m \omega t + n \omega t \right) \]

2.27

\[ v_{AI2}(t) = \frac{V_{dc}}{2} + \frac{V_{dc}}{2} M \cos(\omega t) \]
\[ + \frac{2V_{dc}}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} J_n \left( m \frac{\pi}{2} M \right) \sin \left( \frac{m}{2} \pi \right) \times \cos \left( m \omega t + n \omega t \right) \]

2.28

It can be seen that all the harmonic voltages of the two converters are equal in magnitude but different in phase. These harmonic voltages can be classified as:

1) Common mode (CM) harmonic voltages corresponding to the even \( m \)-th order and odd triplen \( n \)-th order harmonics. These CM harmonics are in still in phase when \( \lambda = \pi \). Accordingly, these harmonics will not contribute any output or circulating current for the parallel system.

2) CM harmonic voltages corresponding to the odd \( m \)-th order and even triplen \( n \)-th order harmonics. However, although, these CM harmonic voltages are unable to produce output currents, they can produce CM circulating current since the second converter components are 180° apart from that of the first converter.

3) Differential mode (DM) harmonic voltages corresponding to the even \( m \)-th order and odd non-triplen \( n \)-th order harmonics. These voltages are not affected by interleaving and produce an output current.

4) Differential mode (DM) harmonic voltages corresponding to the odd \( m \)-th order and even non-triplen \( n \)-th order harmonics. Due to interleaving, the harmonic components of the second converter will be 180° out of phase with respect to the first converter. Accordingly, the current produced by this group will not appear in the total output current but will be changed to DM circulating current.

Based on the analysis in the frequency domain, high frequency circulating currents flow between the parallel connected converters. Therefore, additional passive components are necessary to mitigate both CM and DM circulating currents. Furthermore, interleaving means that the PWM switching pulses of the two VSCs are phase shifted. This phase shift is equivalent to a displacement between the output voltage vectors of the two converters, and implies that the output voltage vectors will be in different sectors for a short period of time when SVPWM or DPWM strategy is adopted. Therefore, the possibility of using...
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different zero vectors by the two converters will be increased, and as a result, a low frequency common mode circulating current will be generated [2] [3] and active current control becomes necessary to limit such a circulating current. Conversely, with interleaving the output current harmonics are reduced through switching frequency harmonic cancellation, which is universal and independent of the PWM strategy [53]. Consequently, the current ripple frequency will be doubled and this permits considerable reduction in the passive component size or in switching losses when operating a low switching frequency. Also, harmonic cancellation effects occur in the common mode voltage and the DC link current since the same harmonic elimination process occurs in all three-phases [54].

2.9 Review of Control Methods for Parallel Connected Three-Phase Converters

Circulating current is the main issue with parallel connected converters and much work has been carried out to resolve this problem. In order to prevent circulating currents one can use separate DC or AC power supplies [55] [56] [57] [58] or an isolated AC sides via a transformer [59] [60] [61] [62]. These approaches lead to increased system size and cost with reduced efficiency due to core and copper losses when transformer is employed. An alternative approach, however, is to utilise inter-module current sharing reactors on the output terminals of each converter in order to provide high impedance in the circulating current loop [63] [64] [65] [66] [67]. In [67], three-phase inter-module reactors are used with a minimum inductance value, enough to allow safe operation at minimum size, cost and weight. Unfortunately, this option will not provide a solution to the low frequency circulating current.

Recent improvements in digital signal processing (DSP) controllers and advanced pulse width modulation (PWM) techniques have increased the possibility of direct connection of the DC and AC sides with smaller passive components to reduce the circulating current. One frequent approach is to consider the parallel converters as one converter [63], for example controlling two parallel, three-phase converters as a single converter. In this case, the parallel voltage source inverter has 19 different voltage vectors. Using this approach, increasing the number of parallel units makes the modelling and design of such a control system complicated.

Parallel connected three-phase PWM rectifiers are controlled using converter flux-based control (CFC) [68]. In this approach, the switching state is decided by the instantaneous error between the reference and estimated active and reactive power. To control the zero
sequence circulating current, the three-phase current for the N-1 converters is measured, and then the zero sequence currents are calculated. The zero voltage vectors are selected in accordance with the zero sequence current polarity.

One method of controlling the ZSCC was proposed in [6] for a parallel connected three-phase rectifier and later used for parallel grid connected inverters [69] and [13]. With this method, SVPWM is adopted and the ZSCC is calculated from the three-phase currents, and a PI controller is used to decide the interval time of the zero vectors (000) and (111), such that the ZSCC will be zero. The same approach has also been used but with a sliding mode controller instead of a PI controller in order to bring advantages such as greater signal stability and good dynamic response [70]. With a sliding mode controller, the ZSCC can be better regulated compared with the PI controller method. This approach is effective in zero-sequence circulating current reduction. Nevertheless, the effectiveness diminishes when the zero vectors become smaller and saturate [68] [71]. Also, this approach is not applicable if a DPWM strategy is adopted.

In [72], it is stated that the SVPWM naturally excites the zero-sequence circulating currents. Thus, sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM) is the best strategy to use for the control of parallel converters with a common DC link. Accordingly, [73] has adopted the SPWM to control directly connected AC and DC side three-phase converters. However, this approach sacrifices the SVPWM advantages.

A special type of space vector modulation (SVM) [2] has been used to split the zero state time intervals into four equal intervals. These intervals are equally distributed equally between the existing active vectors and their opposite vectors, leading to an SVM without zero vectors and consequently eliminating the pure zero sequence circulating currents. However, circulating current is still generated due to any mismatches between the parallel converters. This technique of SVM increases the switching losses and current ripple, and reduces the maximum modulation index. Accordingly, relatively large inter-module reactors have been used to achieve acceptable current waveforms.

Some works propose common mode circulating current control methods when DPWM strategy is adopted [35] [74]. A zero sequence circulating current controller for discontinuous pulse width modulation (DPWM) parallel connected inverters has been investigated. The slave inverters adopt the same angle and modulation index of the master inverter. The zero sequence circulation current for each slave inverter is calculated and the zero-sequence modulation signal of the slave units are decided accordingly. If the zero sequence circulating current is positive and greater than a positive threshold, the
slave inverter uses the null vector (000). Conversely, if the zero sequence circulating current reduces to the negative threshold, the null vector (111) will be used. To control the circulating current in parallel connected converters for a direct-drive permanent magnet wind power system, an improved SVPWM strategy has been adopted [75]. Each module employs an independent synchronous frame PI dq current regulator. The current regulator output is transformed back into the stator coordinate frame and fed to a conventional SVPWM which produces the desired three-phase modulating signals. These modulating signals for (N-1) modules are modified by a normalised zero sequence voltage which is produced by a zero-axis PI regulator. This zero-axis PI regulator is employed to mitigate the zero-sequence circulating current.

An open loop compensation dual-modulator has also been proposed to mitigate the ZSCC [76]. In this scheme, the zero sequence modulating waveform of the master converter is adopted by all the slave converters leading to a considerable reduction in the ZSCC. In other words, one can use SVPWM with the master converter while SPWM is used with the slave units. Then, the sinusoidal modulating signal of the slave units is added to the zero sequence modulation waveform which is generated from the master converter. This scheme does not require any additional current detecting device; however, the master-slave control scheme has low reliability and robustness against failure [77].

Coordinate control is proposed in controlling parallel connected three-phase boost rectifiers [50]. This strategy makes use of calculations of the line current symmetrical components for all converters. After that, the zero and negative-sequence components are eliminated using simple PI controllers, while the positive sequence line current components are controlled for even load sharing. Furthermore, the nth converter positive sequence d-axis current is considered the reference for the other converters. The same approach is used for parallel converters with different load sharing [78]. This approach is very effective at mitigating circulating current, but heavy computational capability is required to implement such an approach.

To enhance the benefits of paralleling VSCs, interleaved PWM rectifiers are proposed [2] [3] [52] [79] [80]. In [2], the effect of an interleaved DPWM strategy in parallel-connected PWM rectifiers is investigated. Accordingly, the authors claim that DPWM should not be used together with interleaving techniques due to the appearance of low frequency common mode circulating currents. This low frequency CM circulating current is caused by the simultaneous use of different zero vectors between the two converters. Therefore, SVM without zero vectors is recommended at the expense of higher switching
losses and current ripple. The switching losses can be significantly reduced when SVM without zero vectors based on a bicarrier scheme is adopted [81] [82]. In a bicarrier SVPWM scheme the reference vector is composed of two adjacent active vectors, and another two opposite active vectors, instead of using zero vectors. Compared to conventional SVM, about 67% of the circulating current can be reduced when a bicarrier SVM is employed in symmetrically interleaved converters. Conversely, the adoption of multicarrier schemes increases system complexity. In [3], the interleaving angle should be optimised in accordance with the modulation index and PWM strategy for the smallest possible AC line inductor and EMI filter. Furthermore, a coupled inter-phase reactor could be employed between the two PWM rectifiers to limit the circulating current produced by interleaving. The size and weight of the coupled-inter-phase inductor can be very small comparable to separate inductors which are commonly used as inter-phase reactors. Unfortunately, the coupled inductor fails to provide low frequency CM circulating current limitation. Also, with coupled inductors, each VSC cannot work only by itself. For that reason, a three-phase common mode inductor is employed in the output of each VSC instead of a coupled inter-phase inductor [12] [83]. Accordingly, the system is more modular with the CM inductor, but the CM inductor can limit only the CM circulating current, which is only apart from the total circulating current.

Neacşu [84] presents a comprehensive analysis which is required in the selection of a PWM strategy to control interleaved three-phase converters. It is concluded that the SPWM is more advantageous than the DPWM, which shows a very large zero sequence circulating current if no zero-sequence controller is used.

To prevent the appearance of a low frequency CM circulating current caused by interleaving, an active control method is explored [52] [80]. In this method, an additional six switching actions per line cycle are added to the DPWM switching pattern to avoid the simultaneous use of different zero vectors between the two converters. This control method is enhanced with the coupled inter-phase inductors to control the high frequency circulating current.

The research explored thus far has not proposed a simple algorithm which may need critical intercommunication lines between modules or additional passive components that could reduce the system reliability and expandability. Consequently, this thesis presents new active current sharing control schemes. A simulation model, along with practical implementation, will be used to validate the proposed method.
Chapter 3. Proposed Methods

This chapter explains the proposed current sharing control schemes for equally rated synchronised parallel connected three-phase AC/DC/AC converters. The considered system structure is shown in Fig. 3.1, where the two converters are directly paralleled with separate but not isolated DC buses. In accordance with the practical implementation (see chapter 5), the two converters are supplied from an oversized 5kVA delta/star transformer. The oversized transformer provides a satisfactory input current smoothing and, for that reason, input line inductors are not employed. Four active current sharing control methods are analysed and discussed.

Figure 3.1 Directly paralleled AC/DC/AC converters

3.1 Time Sharing Approach

To achieve equal current sharing for the parallel connected AC/DC/AC converters, a time sharing approach is proposed. For equally rated synchronised converters, the switching cycle is divided evenly between the converters. In the first half of the switching cycle, the first converter is supplied with conventional PWM gate signals, while the six IGBTs of the second converter are supplied with a logic zero gate signals. This operation is reversed for the second half of the switching cycle. Accordingly, most circulating current paths no longer exist. This leads to inter-module reactor redundancy and size and weight
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reduction as consequence. Also, the circulating current control becomes unnecessary and each converter uses only its local information to obtain the desired current. Furthermore, the rms value of the switching device current rating, the switching losses, and the heat sink size are decreased. However, this scheme can only be adopted with a limited number of parallel connected units since each converter has to handle the peak load current.

3.2 Average Current Sharing Control Scheme

The average current sharing scheme was first applied in parallel connected DC-DC converters [85]. This scheme is also employed in parallel-connected single-phase inverters and also in three-phase parallel-connected inverters [86] [87]. The average of the instantaneous current value of all paralleled modules is calculated and compared with the instantaneous current value of each unit. The error signal is utilised by the compensator to modify the reference modulating index or the reference modulating signal to achieve equal current sharing between the units. Therefore, when a three-phase parallel connected converter system is employed, each phase requires a compensator to make the instantaneous value track the average of the instantaneous current values of all the paralleled modules.

Unlike the aforementioned work, this thesis considers the magnitude of the current space vector, instead of the instantaneous current value for each phase. To investigate the ZSCC reflection in the current space vector magnitude, assume that there is a common mode current superimposed on the balanced three-phase converter current such that:

\[i_{aj}(t) = I \cdot \sin(\omega t) + \Delta i_{aj}\]
\[i_{bj}(t) = I \cdot \sin(\omega t - 2\pi/3) + \Delta i_{bj} \quad ; \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\]
\[i_{cj}(t) = I \cdot \sin(\omega t - 4\pi/3) + \Delta i_{cj}\]

Where:
\[\Delta i_{aj} = \Delta i_{b_j} = \Delta i_{c_j} = K \cdot \sin(n\omega t)\]

Then, the magnitude of the current space vector will be:
It is clear that the common mode current is reflected in the current space vector magnitude. In the same way, the current space vector magnitude will reflect the negative sequence current riding on the balanced three-phase converter current. Accordingly, converter currents represented by the space vector magnitude can be used in the current sharing control, instead of representing the currents in the stationary reference frame or dq rotating reference frame. Therefore, each converter will employ only one PI compensator to achieve equal current sharing between the converters. Also, only one piece of information needs to be shared between the converters.

**3.2.1 Current sharing regulation analysis**

The parallel-connected converters with inter-module reactors supplying a three-phase inductive load can be represented as shown in Fig.3.2. Assuming balanced converter output voltages and current sharing reactors, the equivalent circuit can be simplified as shown in Fig.3.3. \(|V_{ij}|, |I_{ij}|\) and \(|I_s|\) are the converter output voltage, current, and load current space vector magnitudes respectively and \(|V_{CL}|\) is the common coupling point voltage space vector magnitude. The current sharing reactors are represented by the impedance \(Z_j\). The three-phase load is modelled as a constant current source since the load inductance is several times higher than that of the current sharing reactor. The PWM inverter is represented by a voltage source controlled by the modulation index with a linear gain \((K_b)\), which is equal to \(3/\pi|V_{di}|\), where \(|V_{di}|\) is the input voltage space vector magnitude.

The dead time effect and the switching device voltage drop reduce the inverter output voltage and such effects can be modelled as a bias voltage source \(|V_{di}|\) connected in series to the inverter output [88]. As a result, the inverter block diagram can be presented as shown in Fig.3.4.
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Figure 3.2 Equivalent circuit for n-three-phase inverters connected in parallel

\[ |V_{is}| = \frac{3}{\sqrt{3}} |V_{is}|^* M_1 \]

\[ |V_{s2}| = \frac{3}{\sqrt{3}} |V_{is}|^* M_2 \]

\[ |V_{sn}| = \frac{3}{\sqrt{3}} |V_{is}|^* M_n \]

Figure 3.3 Equivalent circuit for n-three-phase inverters connected in parallel using space vector magnitude representation
The current space vector magnitude for the $j^{th}$ converter can be calculated as:

$$|I_{sj}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z_j(s)|} M_j(s) - \frac{1}{|Z_j(s)|} \left( |v_{ej}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)| \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Where, $Z_j(s) = r + sL$

Accordingly, the current space vector magnitude of each module in an $n$-parallel connected system can be expressed as below:

$$|I_{s1}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z_1(s)|} M_1(s) - \frac{1}{|Z_1(s)|} \left( |v_{e1}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)| \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$|I_{sj}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z_j(s)|} M_j(s) - \frac{1}{|Z_j(s)|} \left( |v_{ej}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)| \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

$$\vdots$$

$$|I_{sn}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z_n(s)|} M_n(s) - \frac{1}{|Z_n(s)|} \left( |v_{en}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)| \right)$$

The circulating current $I_{cir,j}(s)$ of the $j^{th}$ module can be defined:
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\[ I_{\text{cir-j}}(s) = |I_{sj}(s)| - |I_{sav}(s)| \quad 3.5 \]

Where \(|I_{sav}(s)|\) is the average of the current space vector magnitudes and can be expressed as:

\[ |I_{sav}(s)| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |I_{sj}(s)| = \frac{1}{n} |I_{s}(s)|\]

3.6

The average modulation index and the average bias voltage for the n modules can be defined as:

\[ M_{av}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{j}(s) \]

3.7

\[ |v_{e,av}(s)| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |v_{ej}(s)| \]

Assuming small (less than 10%) current sharing reactors mismatch yields:

\[ Z_1(s) = \cdots = Z_j(s) = \cdots = Z_n(s) = Z(s) \]

3.8

Thus, the average of current space vector magnitudes can be obtained as:

\[ |I_{sav}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z(s)|} M_{av}(s) - \frac{1}{|Z(s)|} (|v_{e-av}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)|) \]

3.9

Using (3.4), (3.8) and (3.9) the circulating current of each module can be obtained as:

\[ I_{\text{cir-j}}(s) = \frac{K_b}{|Z(s)|} \left( M_{j}(s) - M_{av}(s) \right) - \frac{1}{|Z(s)|} \left( |v_{ej}(s)| - |v_{e-av}(s)| \right) \]

3.10
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It can be seen that the circulating current has two components, with the first one due to the differences in the modulation index values and the second one due to differences in the bias voltage related to the dead time effect and the switching device voltage drops. The circulating current impedance for the two components is $|Z(s)|$.

To limit the circulating currents between the parallel connected converters, the modulation index of each module is modified in proportion to the circulating current. Accordingly, the control block diagram for each module can be represented in Fig.3.5. Where, $G_c(s)$ represents the transfer function of the circulating current compensator and can be expressed as:

$$G_c(s) = K_p + \frac{K_i}{s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

Where $K_p$ and $K_i$ are the proportional and integral gain respectively.

![Figure 3.5 Block diagram of three-phase inverter with average current control](image)

For simplicity of analysis, assume a proportional compensator with proportional constant $K_p$. Then, the current space vector magnitude for each unit can be expressed as:

$$|I_{sj}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z(s)| + K_pK_b}M_j(s) - \frac{1}{|Z(s)| + K_pK_b}(|v_{ej}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)|)$$

$$+ \frac{K_pK_b}{|Z(s)| + K_pK_b}|I_{sav}(s)|$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)
Thus, using the set of (3.12) and (3.7), the average of current space vector magnitudes can be defined as:

\[
|I_{av}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z(s)| + K_p K_b} M_{av}(s) - \frac{1}{|Z(s)| + K_p K_b} |v_{e-av}(s)| \\
- |v_{cs}(s)| + \frac{K_p K_b}{|Z(s)| + K_p K_b} |I_{av}(s)|
\]

Substituting (3.12) and (3.13) in (3.5) yields:

\[
I_{cirf}(s) = \frac{K_b}{|Z(s)| + K_p K_b} \left(M_f(s) - M_{av}(s)\right) \\
- \frac{1}{|Z(s)| + K_p K_b} \left(|v_{ef}(s)| - |v_{e-av}(s)|\right)
\]

It can be seen that the circulating current impedance for the two circulating current components is increased. The circulating current impedance is equal to \(|Z(s)+K_p K_b|\) instead of \(|Z(s)|\) when no current sharing control is adopted. For that reason, the circulating current can be significantly reduced with suitable selection of proportional compensator parameter. Furthermore, if the current sharing reactor impedance is much smaller than \(K_p K_b\), the parallel connected modules will have current distribution independent of current sharing reactor mismatch (see (3.12)).

### 3.2.2 Design of PI controller gains

To obtain accurate discrete PI controller gain design of the sampled data system it is necessary to determine an equivalent continuous time model that considers the delay time \(T_d\) produced by the sampling process and algorithm computation time [43]. The design objective maximizes the controller gains \((K_p & K_i)\) with a phase margin \((\phi_m)\) as the forward path open loop gains tracks through unity [89]. Figure 3.6 represents the three-phase inverter model with average current sharing control scheme. The sampling and computation delay is modelled by an \(e^{-sT_d}\) time delay block in the forward path.
The sampling delay introduced in the system control loop is a quarter-carrier period since asymmetrical regular sampled PWM is adopted with the average current sharing control scheme [23]. On the other hand, the PWM duty cycle is updated each half-carrier period because of the required algorithm computation time. This introduces an additional half-carrier period delay time. With the aid of Fig.3.6, the open loop transfer function $G(s)$ can be expressed as:

$$G(s) = \frac{K_p (K_p s + K_i) e^{-sT_d}}{s(r + sL)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

The phase angle of $G(s)$ at the cross over frequency $\omega_c$ (i.e. the frequency at which unity gain occurs at the desired phase margin $\phi_m$) is given by:

$$\angle G(s) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_c K_p}{K_i}\right) - \omega_c T_d - \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_c L}{r}\right) = -\pi + \phi_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)

The term $\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_c L}{r}\right)$ can be approximated by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ since $\frac{\omega_c L}{r} \gg 1$. Thus, from the last equation:

$$\phi_m \approx \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_c K_p}{K_i}\right) - \omega_c T_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)
Proposed Methods

This yields:

\[
\omega_c = \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_c K_p}{K_i}\right) - \phi_m}{T_d}
\]  

3.18

From (3.18), the maximum value of \(\omega_c\) can be obtained for a given \(\phi_m\) is

\[
\omega_{c(\text{max})} = \frac{(\pi/2) - \phi_m}{T_d}
\]  

3.19

By setting the open loop gain to unity at \(\omega_{c(\text{max})}\), the maximum possible magnitude of \(K_p\) can be calculated as below:

\[
1 = \frac{K_p K_i}{r \omega_{c(\text{max})}} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega_{c(\text{max})} K_p}{K_i}\right)^2} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega_{c(\text{max})} L}{r}\right)^2}
\]  

3.20

Equation (3.20) can be simplified to (3.21) since \((\omega_{c(\text{max})} K_p) \gg K_i [43] \text{ and } (\omega_{c(\text{max})} L/r) \gg 1\) for typical AC current regulated system [89].

\[
K_p \approx \frac{\omega_{c(\text{max})} L}{K_b}
\]  

3.21

The integral gain can be obtained so that the mentioned assumption \(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_c K_p}{K_i}\right) \approx \pi/2\) is validated. This can be achieved using a suitable value to the integral gain as:

\[
K_i = \frac{\omega_{c(\text{max})} K_p}{100}
\]  

3.22
Proposed Methods

Using the system parameters $L=1mH$, $r=0.05\Omega$, $|V_{is}|=122.47V$, $Td=0.125msec$, with a suitable phase margin for satisfactory control performance of $\phi_m=40^\circ$ [90] The controller gains will be $K_p=0.06$ and $K_i=4.2$. The bode plot of the open loop transfer function is presented in Fig.3.7 to verify the design where the phase margin and the cross over frequency can be read. Different discretisation strategies like Euler (backward and forward) and Trapezoidal can be used to achieve the digital equivalent of the PI analogue controller gains. In both strategies, the digital and analogue proportional gains are equal, while the digital integral gain can be obtained simply by multiplying the analogue integral gain by the sampling period [43]. Further information on the transforms is available in [91].

![Bode Diagram](image)

Figure 3.7 Magnitude and phase Bode plot of open loop forward path considering delay effect $K_p=0.06$, $K_i=4.2$

### 3.3 Independent Current Sharing Control

An improvement to the previous method can be introduced through reduction in the dependency of each converter control on the other converters. Unlike the previous method, each converter will use only its local information to obtain equal current distribution between the converters.
According to (3.2), the common mode circulating current will be reflected as a ripple in the current space vector magnitude. The AC and DC components can be decomposed using a low pass filter. The DC component is the fundamental positive sequence output, and the AC component is the circulating current component. A PI compensator is used to modify the reference modulation index, such that the current magnitude AC component will track a zero reference value leaving only the DC component in the converter current magnitudes. It can be seen that, with the control strategy shown in Fig.3.8, each converter uses only one control loop without sharing information between the converters.

### 3.3.1 Current sharing regulation analysis

As mentioned in the previous sections, without current sharing control scheme, the circulating current between the parallel connected converters can be expressed as:

$$I_{cir,j}(s) = \frac{K_b}{Z_j(s)}\left(M_j(s) - M_{av}(s)\right) - \frac{1}{|Z_j(s)|}\left(|v_{ej}(s)| - |v_{e-av}(s)|\right)$$

To mitigate the circulating current, an independent current sharing control scheme is proposed. Each inverter has the control block diagram shown in Fig.3.8.

![Block diagram of three-phase inverter with independent current sharing control](image)

Figure 3.8 Block diagram of three-phase inverter with independent current sharing control
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Following the same procedure applied in average current sharing control and substituting the LPF by its transfer function $G_f(s)$, the circulating current of each module can be defined as:

$$I_{cirj}(s) = \frac{K_b}{|Z_j(s)| + K_b G_c(s) \left(1 - G_f(s)\right)} \left(M_j(s) - M_{av}(s)\right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{|Z_j(s)| + K_b G_c(s) \left(1 - G_f(s)\right)} \left(|v_{ej}(s)| - |v_{e-av}(s)|\right)$$

Comparison of (3.23) with (3.24) indicates that the circulating current impedance is increased since the magnitude of the LPF transfer function ($G_f(s)$) is always $<1$ at frequencies greater than the LPF cut off frequency. Section (3.2.2) steps can be followed to design the PI controller gains.

### 3.3.1.1 Digital low pass filter design

An Infinite impulse response (IIR) Butterworth low pass filter has been selected. It has a smooth pass band response and the attenuation increases by $n \times 6\text{dB/octave}$ (n is the filter order) almost immediately outside the pass band, i.e. a second order low pass filter will provide $12\text{dB/octave}$ attenuation. Furthermore, the IIR requires fewer delay elements, adders and multipliers compared with a finite impulse response filter (FIR).[92].

To design, a second order Butterworth low pass digital filter, these steps can be followed [93]:

- Start with the transfer function of a normalised Butterworth analogue low pass filter which is shown below:

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + \sqrt{2} s + 1}$$

- Determine the normalised cut-off frequency ($\Omega_c$) of the digital filter as below:

$$\Omega_c = 2 \pi \frac{f_c}{f_s}$$

Where, $f_c$ and $f_s$ are the cut-off and sampling frequency respectively.
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- Determine the equivalent analogue filter cut-off frequency $\omega_{ac}$, using the pre-warping function as of the following equation:

$$\omega_{ac} = \tan \left( \frac{Q_c}{2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.27)

- De-normalise the transfer function $H(s)$ through replacing $s$ with $s/\omega_{ac}$
- Use the bilinear transformation to transfer the analogue frequency response $H(s)$ to digital frequency response $H(z)$. Where

$$H(z) = H(s) \Big|_{s = \frac{z-1}{z+1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.28)

- Express the digital frequency response $H(z)$ as a finite difference equation
- Realise the difference equation with the SIMULINK blocks or with C language code when the system is implemented with the DSP microcontroller.

These steps are followed to design a 50Hz, low pass filter with a 12kHz sampling frequency. The achieved digital filter transfer function $H(z)$ is:

$$H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{X(z)} = \frac{0.0001682237(1 + 2z^{-1} + z^{-2})}{1 - 1.96298z^{-1} + 0.9636529z^{-2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.29)

Expressing the transfer function $H(z)$ as a difference equation yields:

$$y(n) = 0.0001682237 \left( x(n) + 2 \cdot x(n - 1) + x(n - 2) \right) + 1.96298 \cdot y(n - 1) - 0.9636529 \cdot y(n - 2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.30)

Finally, the LPF can be realised using the structure shown in Fig.3.9. Where $A0=0.0001682237$, $A1=0.0003364474$, $A2=0.0001682237$, $B1=1.96298$, and $B2=-0.9636529$. The frequency response of the designed filter is demonstrated in Fig.3.10.
3.4 Impedance Emulation Current Sharing Control Scheme

It is worth mentioning that increasing the current sharing reactor impedance reduces the circulating current between the two converters at the cost of increased system size, cost and losses [94] [95]. For these reasons, an active approach may be used to emulate impedance increment. This is attained through modifying the magnitude of the converter output voltage by an amount proportional to the converter current space vector magnitude, as shown in Fig.3.11. Accordingly, the converter current space vector magnitude will be as in (3.31). However, the current space vector magnitude for each module when no current sharing control is employed is presented in (3.3), which is re-written as (3.32). Comparison of the two equations ((3.31) and (3.32)), points out that the converter output
impedance magnitude is increased from $|Z_j|$ to $(|Z_j|+K_Z K_b)$, where $K_Z$ is impedance emulation factor. This will improve the current distribution between the parallel connected converters. Therefore, this control technique can be considered as a virtual impedance connected in series with the current sharing reactor.

\[
|I_{sj}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z_j(s)| + K_Z K_b} M_j(s) - \frac{1}{|Z_j(s)| + K_Z K_b} (|v_{ej}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)|) 
\]

3.31

\[
|I_{sj}(s)| = \frac{K_b}{|Z_j(s)|} M_j(s) - \frac{1}{|Z_j(s)|} (|v_{ej}(s)| + |v_{cs}(s)|) 
\]

3.32

Figure 3.11 Block diagram of three-phase inverter with impedance emulation current sharing control

To select a suitable $K_Z$ value the simplified model shown in Fig.3.12 is used. The sampling and computation delay is modelled by an $e^{-sT_d}$ time delay block in the forward path.

Figure 3.12 Three-phase voltage source converter model considering delay effect.
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The delay block can be approximated as:

\[ e^{-sT_d} \approx \frac{1 - s \frac{T_d}{2}}{1 + s \frac{T_d}{2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} 3.33

Accordingly, the closed loop transfer function between the converter current magnitude and the reference modulation index can be defined as:

\[ G_cl(s) = \frac{K_b \left(1 - s \frac{T_d}{2} \right)}{\frac{T_d \cdot L}{2} \cdot s^2 + \left( \frac{T_d \cdot r}{2} + L - \frac{K_b K_z T_d}{2} \right) s + (r + K_b K_z)} \]  \hspace{1cm} 3.34

Using Routh’s stability criterion, the maximum allowable value for the emulation impedance factor is [96]:

\[ K_z < \frac{2L}{K_b T_d} \]  \hspace{1cm} 3.35

The delay time \( T_d \) is equal to three quarters of the carrier period and one and half carrier period for asymmetrical and symmetrical regular sampled PWM, respectively. Using the mentioned system parameters \( K_b = (\sqrt{6}/\pi) \cdot 150 \), \( L = 1mH \), and \( T_d = 0.125 \text{msec} \), the maximum allowable value for \( K_z \) is 0.138.

3.5 Summary

This chapter presented the proposed active current sharing control methods and their current sharing analysis for the parallel-connected AC/DC/AC converters. In the time sharing control scheme, the inter-module current sharing reactors are redundant, and only local information was used by the converters. This is achieved through dividing the operation time between the parallel-connected converters. The average current control method can be adopted when current sharing reactors are employed at the output of each converter. Current sharing analysis is presented through which the effect on the circulating current impedance is explored. Also, the PI compensator which is employed
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in this scheme is designed where the delay time produced by sampling process and algorithm computation time is considered.

The independent current sharing control scheme is presented in a similar way to the average current sharing control method. Unlike the average current sharing control scheme, no information exchange between the two converters is needed leading to more modular system. In the final approach the current distribution is improved through impedance emulation, instead of increasing the physical impedance on the output of each converter. The emulated impedance value is proportional to the converter current space vector magnitude, i.e. a large emulated impedance is used when the converter shared current is large and vice versa. The maximum allowable impedance emulation factor for the parallel-connected converter is derived taking into account the delay time due to sampling process and algorithm computation time. The control algorithm is simple and modular since each converter is controlled independently of the other converters.
Chapter 4. Simulation Results

This chapter presents the simulation results for the proposed current sharing control schemes for equally rated synchronised parallel connected three-phase AC/DC/AC converters. MATLAB/ SIMULINK® models for the adopted PWM strategies and the current sharing control methods will be presented. Also, the impact of interleaving on the combined output current, circulating current and the common mode voltage will be shown.

4.1 Simulation of the PWM Strategies

Based on the discussions in Chapter Two, SVPWM was found to be superior to the other strategies due to its DC link voltage utilisation, harmonic performance and simple digital implementation [26]. However, DPWM is a suitable alternative for high modulation index due to a low number of switching actions [28]. Accordingly, SVPWM and DPWM SIMULINK models will be developed in the following sections.

4.1.1 SVPWM SIMULINK model

The SVPWM SIMULINK model can be implemented by intersection of triangular carrier signals with a defined frequency \( f_s = 1/T_s \) and amplitude of \( T_s/2 \) with three switching time signals (modulating signals) [97]. These three-phase modulating signals will be referred as \( T_{cma} \), \( T_{cmb} \), and \( T_{cmc} \). The following steps explain the SVPWM generation:

- Identification of the hexagon sector in which the reference vector lies
- From (2.22), calculation of the time periods for the two active and the zero vectors to be used in reference vector synthesis
- Calculation of the switching points of the carrier signal with the modulating signals \( (T_{cma}, T_{cmb}, \text{ and } T_{cmc}) \). As an example, to generate the switching signals shown in Fig.4.1, the switching points will be \( (T_s/4) \), \((T_s/4)+(T_s/2))\), and \((T_s/4)+(T_s/2)+(T_s/2))\)
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- Generation by the switching point calculation of the required modulating waveforms $T_{cma}$, $T_{cmb}$, and $T_{cmc}$. Comparing these waveforms with the carrier signal produces the switching signals for the three-phase inverter.

![Figure 4.1 Seven segments switching sequence for reference voltage in sector I](image)

Table 4.1 summarises the intersection points of the modulating and carrier signals in different sectors. The SIMULINK model realisation of SVPWM is shown in Figs. 4.2 to 4.4. Figure 4.2 explains the modulating waveform generation where the active and zero vector times are calculated and then $T_{cma}$, $T_{cmb}$, and $T_{cmc}$ are calculated in accordance with Table 4.1. Comparison of the modulating signals with the carrier signal will produce the desired duty cycles for the inverter switches (see Fig. 4.3). To avoid bridge shoot through, it is recommended that a suitable dead time be added to the gate signals of each inverter leg, as in the model shown in Fig. 4.4. As a result of this dead time, the first switch will be turned off, and then the other switch will be turned on after the dead time period. For that reason, the dead time needs to be set to a time longer than the switching off time of the inverter switches.
## Simulation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector No.</th>
<th>$T_{cma}$</th>
<th>$T_{cmb}$</th>
<th>$T_{cmc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_i/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_i/2)+(T_o/2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)+(T_o/2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)+(T_o/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)+(T_o/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)+(T_o/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)+(T_o/2)$</td>
<td>$(T_o/4)+(T_o/2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1 Switching points for modulating signals calculation

### Figure 4.2 Modulating signals generator
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To assess the SVPWM SIMULINK model, a three-phase AC/DC/AC converter, shown in Fig. 4.5, is employed to supply a three-phase inductive load. Table 4.2 shows the converter parameters and Figs. 4.6 to 4.8 depict the simulation results. These figures demonstrate the three-phase modulating signals, the three-phase load current and the converter output voltage, respectively. It is obvious that three-phase load currents are balanced with low total harmonic distortion.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PWM strategy</th>
<th>SVPWM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input voltage</td>
<td>150V, 50Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation index</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching and sampling frequency</td>
<td>6kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output line frequency</td>
<td>50Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>10Ω+18mH per phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC link capacitor</td>
<td>800µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead time</td>
<td>2µsec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2 AC/DC/AC converter parameters

Figure 4.5 SIMULINK model for three-phase AC/DC/AC converter
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Figure 4.6 Modulating signals for SVPWM strategy

Figure 4.7 Three-phase load current waveforms for SVPWM strategy
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Figure 4.8 Load line voltage based on SVPWM strategy

4.1.2 DPWM SIMULINK model

The same SVPWM SIMULINK model can be used to generate the gate signals when DPWM is adopted. This can be achieved by calculating the new switching points for modulating signals in accordance with the DPWM switching sequences mentioned in Table 2.3. As an example, adopting the DPWM2 strategy produces the switching points shown in Table 4.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector No.</th>
<th>$T_{cma}$</th>
<th>$T_{cmb}$</th>
<th>$T_{cmc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)+($T_o/2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>($T_o/2$)+($T_o/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)+($T_o/2$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)+($T_o/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)+($T_o/2$)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)</td>
<td>($T_i/2$)+($T_o/2$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3 DPWM2 switching point calculations

The simulation results with the same converter parameters as those listed in Table 4.2 are depicted in Figs 4.9 to 4.12. Figure 4.9 clarifies the 120° clamping periods in the modulating signals, while Figs.4.10 and 4.11 shows the three-phase load voltage and
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currents respectively. The load current has THD slightly higher than the current produced with SVPWM strategy.

Figure 4.9 DPWM2 normalised modulating signals

Figure 4.10 Load line voltage based on DPWM2 strategy
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Figure 4.11 Three-phase load current waveforms with DPWM2 strategy

Figure 4.12 Load current and collector to emitter voltage waveforms with DPWM2 strategy

Figure 4.12 shows that no switching actions occur when the load current is maximum positive or maximum negative. Accordingly, the DPWM2 has lower switching losses when compared to SVPWM. With the aid of a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) harmonic analysis feature of the MATLAB/ SIMULINK® software package, the current THD
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and current harmonics root-mean-square (rms) values ($I_{h,rms}$) have been calculated, where:

$$I_{h,rms} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} I_{n,rms}^2} = THD \times I_{1,rms} \tag{4.1}$$

Where $I_{n,rms}$ is the $n^{th}$ harmonic current rms value. The calculations were conducted for the AC/DC/AC converter with the parameters listed in Table 4.2 for both SVPWM and DPWM2 strategies. The results are demonstrated in Figs.4.13 and 4.14.

Figure 4.13 Current THD for SVPWM and DPWM2 strategies.
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Figure 4.14 RMS value of harmonic current components for SVPWM and DPWM2 strategies.

Figure 4.14 indicate that current harmonics rms values of the SVPWM are less than DPWM2 especially at low modulation index values. However, the current harmonics rms values for the DPWM2 strategy are decreased significantly to the SVPWM level for a high modulation index. These results match well with the harmonic analysis given in [98].

4.2 Time Sharing Approach

To verify the control proposed, a MATLAB/ SIMULINK® model for two parallel connected AC/DC/AC converters is used (Fig.4.15). These converters have the parameters listed in Table 4.4, where a 1µH inductor with 0.5mΩ is used to simulate the cable impedance of the two converters.

In accordance with practical system implementation (chapter five), the two converters are simulated as being controlled by one DSP microcontroller. The parallel converters are used as a current controller for a three-phase R-L load. For simplicity, the converter current is controlled through controlling the magnitude of the current space vector rather than magnitude and phase. Consequently, each converter uses only one PI compensator to attain the desired current magnitude. Figures 4.16 to 4.19 depict the different SIMULINK model blocks for each subsystem.
## Simulation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cable impedance</td>
<td>0.5mΩ+1µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGBT on resistance</td>
<td>1mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGBT forward voltage drop</td>
<td>1V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freewheeling diode on resistance</td>
<td>1mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freewheeling diode voltage drop</td>
<td>0.7V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectifier diode on resistance</td>
<td>1mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectifier diode forward voltage drop</td>
<td>0.8V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching and sampling frequency</td>
<td>6kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired output frequency</td>
<td>50Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input voltage</td>
<td>3-φ, 150V, 50Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead time</td>
<td>2µsec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-phase load</td>
<td>10mH+10Ω per phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC link capacitor</td>
<td>800µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWM strategy</td>
<td>SVPWM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4 Converters parameters with time sharing scheme
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Figure 4.15 SIMULINK model of parallel connected three-phase AC/DC/AC converters controlled by time sharing approach

Figure 4.16 SIMULINK model of a control board subsystem
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**Figure 4.17 ADC SIMULINK model**

**Figure 4.18 Discrete PI compensator SIMULINK model**

**Figure 4.19 SIMULINK of SVPWM generator subsystem**
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As mentioned in the previous section, each AC/DC/AC converter shown in Fig.4.15 includes a three-phase IGBT inverter with a front end three-phase diode rectifier. The microcontroller model (see Fig.4.16) contains two sets of ADCs, discrete PI compensators and an SVPWM generator. The PWM unit generates the start of the conversion signal to initiate the 12-bit ADC operation which is modelled in Fig.4.17. The converter current measurement is synchronised with the converter enable signal (each converter enabled for half switching cycle) which indicates whether the converter is in an active or in idle condition (see Fig.4.19). For that reason, the ADC considers only the current signal envelope. After manipulating the ADC reading, the magnitude of the current space vector is calculated through the equation:

\[ |I_{S_j}| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}(i_{a_j}^2 + i_{b_j}^2 + i_{c_j}^2)} \quad j=1,2 \]  

The difference between the calculated current space vector and the desired value is manipulated by the discrete PI compensator to produce the modulation index. In this PI compensator (shown in Fig.4.18), the backward Euler method is adopted in analogue PI discretisation [99]. To avoid integral windup and pulse width over-modulation two saturation blocks were added to the integral output and the PI output respectively. The angle \( \theta \) is simply calculated from the integration of the required line frequency. It is clear that each converter considers only its local information to attain the required current.

4.2.1 Simulation results of time sharing approach

Using the converter parameters listed in Table 4.4, the simulation results are used to validate the control proposed. Figure 4.20 represents the converters gate signals in the first sector of the proposed SVPWM strategy. The switching cycle is divided evenly between the two converters, while the first converter is in the active state, the six switches (IGBTs) of the second converter are supplied with logic 0 states. Most circulating current paths are broken and the current sharing reactors become redundant and the circulating current control can be avoided. To validate the redundancy of the circulating current control, different tests were carried out. In the first test, two converters with identical parameters as listed in Table 4.4 were used. Figure
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4.21 shows the load currents during the steady state and transient state due to a step change in the desired current at $t=0.05\mu$sec. The three-phase output currents are almost balanced with a THD equal to 1.49%. Also, the two converters share the current equally during transient (step change in current from 3A to 4.5A) and steady state since identical converter parameters are used. Figure 4.22 displays the two converter currents for phase a, whilst Fig.4.23 shows the transient response for the converter’s output current magnitude. The response is fast with minimum overshoot and zero steady state error since the PI compensators deal with DC values. The output line voltage and its fundamental component are monitored in Fig.4.24.

Figure 4.20 IGBTs gate signals when time sharing is adopted
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Figure 4.21 Three-phase load current during transient and steady states

Figure 4.22 Converter current with identical parameters using time sharing approach
In the second test, two converters with different parameters were used. The first converter parameters (switch on resistance, forward voltage drop, cable impedance, and dead time) have the same parameters as those listed in Table 4.4, while the second converter parameters are reduced by 30% from the values of the first converter. Figure
4.25 displays phase (a) current waveforms for the two converters during steady state and dynamic state. It is clear that the two converters have equal current regardless of the difference in their parameters.

Finally, with the same differences in physical parameters and dead time the two converters are paralleled without sharing operation time between the converters. Figure 4.26 illustrates the converter current waveforms. The current waveforms are distorted and the converters share the load unevenly.
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4.3 Average Current Sharing Control Scheme

Based on the average current sharing control method, the following sections present the simulation results for the parallel-connected converters with three, single-phase current sharing reactors connected in their output. The parallel converters are employed in two applications. The first is a current controller for a three-phase inductive load, while the second is an open loop V/f control for a three-phase induction motor.

4.3.1 Parallel connected converter current-controller based on the average current sharing control scheme

Figure 4.26 shows the block diagram of the proposed control method for the two parallel-connected converters with a hardware structure such as that of Fig.2.16. Three, single-phase current sharing reactors are employed at the output of each converter. The value of the line inductances at the input side is set to zero in conformity with the practical implementation. The other converter parameters are the same as in Table 4.4; however, a DPWM strategy could also be used since the proposed method is independent of PWM strategy. The load current is controlled using a synchronous dq frame current regulator as shown in Fig.4.28.where, the reference commands includes the desired frequency ($\omega$), direct axis current ($i_d$) and quadrature axis current ($i_q$). Two
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PI controllers are employed to separately control $i_d$ and $i_q$. The PI controller outputs are transformed back to the stationary $a\beta$ frame, and then a polar representation is used to produce the desired base modulation index ($M_{\text{base}}$) and angle $\theta$. The converters’ current space vector magnitude and the average of the current space vector magnitudes are calculated using the following:

$$|I_{sf}| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left( i_{aj}^2 + i_{bj}^2 + i_{cj}^2 \right) ; j = 1,2$$  \hspace{1cm} 4.3

$$|I_{\text{avg}}| = \frac{|I_{s1}| + |I_{s2}|}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} 4.4

The difference between the converter current space vector magnitude and the average of the current space vector magnitudes is calculated. This error is used by the PI compensator to produce a suitable deviation in the base modulation index to attain equal current sharing between the two converters.

![Figure 4.27 Block diagram of parallel connected AC/DC/AC converter current controller based on average current sharing control](image-url)
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4.3.1.1 Simulation results of parallel connected converter current controller

The proposed current sharing control strategy is simulated in the MATLAB/SIMULINK® software package to demonstrate current sharing between the converters during both transient and steady state conditions. A three-phase, star-connected, inductive load (R=10Ω and L=10mH) is used. The switching frequency is 6 kHz and the input line voltage is 150V. The sampling frequency is increased to 12 kHz to reduce the sampling delay of the digital pulse width modulation and hence reduce the bandwidth limitation [100]. A value of 1mH was used for the six current sharing reactors.

In order to validate the current sharing control method, the second converter sharing reactors are intentionally increased to 1.1mH, while maintaining the value of the sharing reactors of the first converter at 1mH. Furthermore, the IGBT and diode on resistances and forward voltage drop for the second converter are increased by 20% as compared to the first converter. The dead time for the second converter is increased by 20% to introduce a further significant imbalance in the system. Figure 4.29 shows the current waveforms when the sharing method is deactivated. The two converters share the current unevenly, and a common mode circulating current flows between the parallel-connected converters as shown in Fig.4.30. The difference in current produced by the two modules relative to the total current supplied is referred to as the “current imbalance ratio”. In this case, it has a value of 56%, a level of imbalance which may lead to uneven thermal stress and device failure.

The circulating current reflection in the converter current space vector magnitude is demonstrated in Fig.4.31, where the 300Hz oscillations due to the common mode circulating current between the two converters. Figure 4.31 shows that if the converter...
current space vector magnitude is controlled to track the average of current space vector magnitudes, then the two converters will have equal current sharing. Therefore, when the proposed control method is activated a more equal current distribution between the two converters is obtained (Fig.4.32) with only 1.17% current imbalance ratio. There is a significant reduction in the common mode circulating current (Fig.4.33), despite the difference in the converter parameters. The effect of the control method on the current magnitude is depicted in Fig.4.34. Furthermore, the dynamic response is explored in Fig.4.35, where the system is subjected to a step change in the desired load current. The system shows an acceptable transient response in terms of the speed and current sharing between the converters.

Figure 4.29 Load and converters current waveforms without current sharing control
Figure 4.30 Common mode circulating current waveform without current sharing control

Figure 4.31 Converter current space vector magnitude waveforms without current sharing control
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Figure 4.32 Load and converter current waveforms with average current sharing control scheme

Figure 4.33 Common mode circulating current waveform with average current sharing control scheme
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Figure 4.34 Converter current space vector magnitude waveforms with average current sharing control scheme

Figure 4.35 Converters and load current waveforms during step change in the desired current
Simulation Results

4.3.2 Open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor supplied from parallel converters based on the average current sharing control method

Figure 4.36 shows block diagram of the proposed control method. In this work, an open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor is employed. At any desired speed (frequency), constant flux, stator current, and torque can be obtained if the V/f ratio is held constant. However, at a low frequency this approach does not work well due to stator resistance voltage drop and the necessary rotor slip to produce a torque. For this reason, these effects should be compensated to achieve high performance regulation at low speeds. A detailed compensation scheme goes beyond the scope of this thesis and can be found in [101]. In this work, the motor system supervisor supplies the desired frequency $f_{ref}$ for the drive system. The base modulation index $M_{base}$ for the two converters can be obtained from the $M/f$ mode generator to keep the V/f ratio constant. The angle $\theta$ is simply the integration of the required frequency. If the desired speed is low (below 0.1pu), the $M/f$ mode generator sets $M_{base}$ to a constant value that compensates for the stator resistance voltage drop. Alternatively, at frequencies above the rated value, the $M_{base}$ will be fixed to a value corresponding to the rated voltage, i.e. field weakening is introduced as the frequency is increased above its base value. Soft starting of the induction motor is achieved via the ramp function block where a smooth frequency increment from 0 to the desired frequency ($f_{ref}$) can be achieved. The base modulation index ($M_{base}$) is modified in the same manner as the previous application, such that equal current distribution is maintained.

4.3.2.1 Simulation results for open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor supplied from parallel connected converters

A MATLAB/ SIMULINK® model is used to verify the control proposed in Fig.4.36. A three-phase induction motor with the parameters listed in Table 4.5 is used as a load for the parallel-connected converters. The converters have the same parameters used in the previous application.

In accordance with experimental setup, the motor will be tested at an operating voltage which is different from the rated one. The motor is supplied with 115V at 50Hz since the converter input voltage is just 150V (200V DC link voltage). Also, the motor load is adjusted according to the converter current rating. Despite the difference in the physical
parameters and the dead time, the two converters share current evenly, as depicted in Fig.4.37.

Table 4.5 Three-phase induction motor parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>2.2kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>220-240V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>50Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>2772RPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>8.02A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power factor</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulation Results

Figure 4.37 Motor and converter current waveforms with average current sharing control method at 50Hz

4.3.2.2 Average current control scheme applied to three units

Suppose three converters are connected in parallel. The converter control system has the same control block diagram as shown in Fig.4.27 but for three units. In order to validate the current sharing control method, the second and the third converters inter-module reactors are intentionally increased to 1.1mH and 1.2mH respectively, whilst maintaining the value of the first converter at 1mH. The dead time is increased by 10% for the second converter and 20% for the third converter to introduce a further significant imbalance in the system. The parallel-converters are tested as current controllers for a three-phase inductive load. Figure 4.38 shows the current waveforms when the sharing method is deactivated. The converters share the current unevenly, leading to excitation of common mode circulating currents in the system, as shown in Fig.4.39. The circulating current reflection in the converter current magnitude is demonstrated in Fig.4.40, which shows the 300Hz oscillations due to the common mode circulating current between the three converters. When the proposed control method is activated, a more even current distribution between the three converters is achieved (Fig.4.41), with a significant reduction in the common mode circulating current.
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(Fig. 4.42). This is despite the difference in the converter parameters. The effect of the control method on the current magnitude is depicted in Fig. 4.43.

Figure 4.38 Three converter current waveforms without current sharing control

Figure 4.39 Three converters common mode current waveforms without current sharing control
Figure 4.40 Three converter current space vector magnitude waveforms without current sharing control

Figure 4.41 Three converter current waveforms with average current sharing control
Figure 4.42 Three converter common mode current waveforms with average current sharing control

Figure 4.43 Three converter current space vector magnitude waveforms with average current sharing control
4.4 Independent Current Sharing Control Scheme

Based on independent current sharing control strategy, the following sections present the simulation results for the parallel-connected converters where three, single-phase current sharing reactors were connected to the output. This scheme will be employed in the same two applications as applied to the previous method.

4.4.1 Parallel connected converter current-controller based on independent current sharing control method

Figure 4.44 shows the block diagram for the proposed method when two parallel connected converters are employed in this application. The converter parameters are exactly the same as in the average control approach. A MATLAB/ SIMULINK® model is used to simulate the current sharing between the two converters. The control method is utilised to control the current in a three-phase inductive load. As previously mentioned, the current regulator will provide the angle and the base modulation index to the converter unit. The magnitude of the converter current space vector is calculated, and its AC component is extracted via a low pass filter. A PI compensator is used to modify the base modulation index, such that the current magnitude AC component will track a zero reference value leaving only a DC component in the converter current magnitudes.

4.4.1.1 Simulation results of parallel-connected converter current controller based on independent current sharing control

In order to validate the current sharing control method, the second converter sharing reactors are intentionally increased to 1.1mH, whilst maintaining the value of the sharing reactors of the first converter at 1mH. Furthermore, the IGBT and diode on resistances and forward voltage drop for the second converter are increased by 20% as compared to the first converter. The dead time for the second converter is increased by 20% to introduce a further significant imbalance in the system. When the sharing method is deactivated, the current waveforms, the common mode circulating current, and magnitudes of current space vectors will be exactly the same as in section (4.3.1.1). When the proposed control method is activated a more equal current distribution between the two converters is achieved (Fig.4.45), with only 0.27% current imbalance.
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ratio and a significant reduction in the common mode circulating current (Fig. 4.46). This is again despite the difference in the converters parameters. The effect of the control method on the current magnitude is depicted in Fig. 4.47.

![Block diagram of parallel connected AC/DC/AC converter current controller based on independent current sharing control](image)

Figure 4.44 Block diagram of parallel connected AC/DC/AC converter current controller based on independent current sharing control
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Figure 4.45 Load and converter current waveforms with independent current sharing control method

Figure 4.46 Common mode circulating current waveform with independent current sharing control method
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Figure 4.47 Converter current space vector magnitude waveforms with independent current sharing control method

4.4.2 Open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor supplied from parallel converters based on independent current sharing control scheme

Figure 4.48 shows the block diagram for the proposed method. The converter and the motor parameters are exactly the same as in the average current sharing control approach. A MATLAB/SIMULINK® model is used to simulate the current sharing between the two converters.

As mentioned earlier, the motor system supervisor supplies the desired frequency $f_{ref}$ for the two converters. The modulation index $M_{base}$ can be obtained from the $M/f$ mode generator, the angle $\theta$ is the integral of the required frequency. The converter current space vector magnitude is calculated and decomposed into its DC and AC components using a low pass filter. A PI compensator is used to modify the base modulation index, such that the current magnitude AC component will track a zero reference value leaving only the DC component in the converter current magnitudes. Consequently, the circulating current between converters will be minimised.
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Figure 4.48 Block diagram of open loop V/f for three-phase induction motor based on independent current sharing control method

4.4.2.1 Simulation results for open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor supplied from parallel connected converters based on independent current sharing control scheme

In order to examine the current sharing control method, the two converters and the motor are given the same parameters as in average current sharing control. When the proposed control method is used, the current distribution between the two converters are balanced (Fig.4.49), with an insignificant common mode circulating current (Fig.4.50), despite the difference in the converter parameters. The effect of the control method on the current magnitude is shown in Fig.4.51.
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Figure 4.49 Motor and converter current waveforms with independent current sharing control strategy

Figure 4.50 Common mode circulating current waveform with independent current sharing control strategy in open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor
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Figure 4.51 Converter current space vector magnitude waveforms for open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor based on independent sharing control strategy

4.4.3 Independent current sharing control strategy applied to three units

As in an average current sharing control scheme, the three converters are connected in parallel to supply a three-phase R-L load. These converters have the structure and parameters used for the average current sharing control. When the sharing method is deactivated, the current waveforms, the common mode circulating current, and magnitudes of current space vectors will be exactly the same as in section (4.3.2.2). When the independent current sharing control method is activated, a more equal current distribution between the two converters is resumed (Fig.4.52), with a significant reduction in the common mode circulating current (Fig.4.53). The effect of the control method on the current magnitude is shown in Fig.4.54.
Figure 4.52 Three converters current waveforms with independent current sharing control strategy

Figure 4.53 Three converter common mode current waveforms with independent current sharing control strategy
4.5 Impedance Emulation Current Sharing Control Scheme

Based on impedance emulation current sharing control scheme, the following sections present the simulation results for the two parallel-connected converters with three single-phase current sharing reactors connected in their output. This scheme will be employed in the same two applications as applied to the previous method.

4.5.1 Simulation results of parallel connected converter current controller based on an impedance emulation current sharing approach

Figure 4.55 shows the block diagram for the proposed method. The converter parameters are exactly the same as for the average control approach. MATLAB/SIMULINK® model is used to simulate the current sharing between the two converters. In common with the previous methods, the control method is utilised to control the current in three-phase inductive load. The current regulator provides the angle and the base modulation index for the converter unit. The magnitude of the converter current space vector is calculated and used in impedance emulation to improve the current distribution between the converters. With this scheme each converter uses the locally measurable feedback signals to improve the current distribution between the converters.
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independently from the other converters. This scheme can be adopted with any PWM
strategy since it is entirely dependent on the current space vector magnitude. This
approach suffers, however, from a relatively high steady state error, in terms of current
distribution between converters as compared to the previous methods and also the
converter output voltage is affected by the voltage drop on the virtual impedance.

To investigate the scheme operation differences in current sharing reactors, dead time,
switch on resistance, and forward voltage drop are intentionally applied (see section
4.3.1.1). These differences are made in order to show the robustness of the load current
sharing between the two converters. To explore the effect of impedance emulation on
the current distribution, the impedance emulation factor ($K_Z$) is changed from 0 to 0.1,
as shown in Fig.4.56. It can be seen that the current distribution is improved with
increasing impedance emulation factor. However, large values of impedance emulation
factor introduce system oscillations and might push the system into unstable operation.

Figure 4.57 shows the equal current sharing with 2.29% current imbalance ratio when a
suitable impedance emulation factor is selected. The current distribution steady state
error is large compared with previous methods; also, with a suitable impedance
emulation factor, a 70% reduction in common mode circulating current can be achieved
as shown in Fig.4.58. In this case the common-mode circulating current peak value
without current sharing control method is 0.42A. Further investigations are made
through subjecting the system to step change in the desired load current, which can be
seen in Fig.4.59. The two converters show an improved current distribution during
transient conditions regardless of the differences between the two converters.
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Figure 4.55 Block diagram of impedance emulation current sharing control scheme

Figure 4.56 Load and converter current waveforms with different impedance emulation factor
Figure 4.57 Load and converter current waveforms with impedance emulation current sharing control strategy

Figure 4.58 Common mode circulating current with impedance emulation current sharing control strategy
Figure 4.59 Load and converter current waveforms with impedance emulation current sharing control strategy during transient condition

4.5.2 Simulation results for open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor supplied from parallel connected converters based on impedance emulation current sharing control scheme

Figure 4.60 shows the block diagram for the proposed method. The converter and the motor parameters are exactly the same as in the average control approach. MATLAB/SIMULINK® model is used to simulate the current sharing between the two converters. In order to examine the current distribution, the two converters and the motor have the same parameters as in average current sharing control method. When the proposed control method is used, more equal current distribution between the two converters is obtained as shown in Fig.4.61. This current distribution improvement is despite the differences between the two converters.
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Figure 4.60 Block diagram of an open loop V/f for three-phase induction motor based on impedance emulation current sharing control strategy

Figure 4.61 Load and converter current waveforms with impedance emulation current sharing control strategy
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4.6 Interleaving Impacts on System Performance

As stated in chapter two, the performance of parallel-connected converters can be enhanced by using interleaving techniques. This section investigates the impact of interleaving on two identical parallel-connected AC/DC/AC converters, with separate but not isolated DC links. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis is adopted to explore the impact on the load current harmonics, circulating current harmonics, and the common mode voltage harmonics. This analysis is applied for both SVPWM and DPWM strategies with low and high modulation index values.

4.6.1 Combined current

When regular asymmetric SVPWM, is used the harmonic spectra for the combined (load) current are as shown in Figs.4.62 to 4.65. The first two depict the harmonic spectra for non-interleaved converters, where the harmonics are centred at multiples of the carrier frequency. The latter two clarify the harmonic cancellation effect when symmetrical interleaving is adopted. All the harmonics around the odd multiples of the carrier frequency are eliminated and accordingly, the current THD is reduced. Similar effects are obtained when DPWM strategy is adopted (see appendix B). The current THD for interleaved and non-interleaved converters is summarised in Table 4.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation index</th>
<th>SVPWM</th>
<th>DPWM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THD for without interleaving</td>
<td>THD with interleaving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6 Combined output current THD for different PWM strategies
Figure 4.62 Combined output current spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9

Figure 4.63 Combined output current spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.5
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Figure 4.64 Combined output current spectra for interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9

Figure 4.65 Combined output current spectra for interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.5
For interleaving, the FFT of the circulating current between the two converters is presented in Figs. 4.66 and 4.67. It can be seen that a dominant high frequency circulating current is excited between the identical converters. These high frequency components represent the cancelled harmonics from the combined current spectra due to the interleaving technique. Unlike the low frequency circulating current components, the high frequency components cannot be controlled by the active current sharing control methods. Consequently, additional sharing reactors become necessary to limit the high frequency circulating current.

Similar effects can be monitored when DPWM strategy is adopted (see Appendix B).

Figure 4.66 Circulating current spectra for interleaved converters using SVPWM at $M=0.9$. 
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Figure 4.67 Circulating current spectra for interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.5

4.6.3 Common mode voltage

With reference to the parallel-connected AC/DC/AC converters structure, the common mode voltage is the voltage difference between the neutral point of the load and the neutral point of the supply. The spectrum of the common mode voltage with non-interleaved converters that adopt the SVPWM strategy is shown in Figs.4.68 and 4.69. The harmonic voltage magnitudes are normalised to the DC link voltage. It is clear that the sideband components that are present differ from the band centre frequency by three times of the fundamental frequency.

When the two converters are symmetrically interleaved, the odd multiple carrier sidebands are eliminated as shown in Figs.4.70 and 4.71. Accordingly, in a motor drive application, this harmonic elimination will actively limit the bearing failure and electromagnetic interference (EMI) effects that cause nuisance trips in the inverter drive[102] [103]. Similar simulation results are depicted in Appendix B when a DPWM strategy is employed.
**Simulation Results**

Figure 4.68 Spectra of common-mode voltage for non-interleaved converters with SVPWM at $M=0.9$

Figure 4.69 Spectra of common-mode voltage for non-interleaved converters with SVPWM at $M=0.5$
Figure 4.70 Spectra of common-mode voltage for symmetrically interleaved converters with SVPWM at M=0.9

Figure 4.71 Spectra of common-mode voltage for symmetrically interleaved converters with SVPWM at M=0.5
This chapter presented the SIMULINK models and simulation results for a SVPWM strategy, a DPWM strategy, and the proposed active current sharing control methods. In time sharing control strategy, the inter-module current sharing reactors are redundant and only local information is used by the converters. With this scheme, the switching losses and heat sink size are reduced. Unfortunately, the method suffers from some limitations, the first of which is that the paralleled converters should be physically much closed with a reduced cable inductance which will limit the \( dv/dt \) and the system EMI. The second limitation is that the number of paralleled units cannot easily be increased since the IGBT devices should be able to handle the peak load current.

The average current control method can be adopted when current sharing reactors are employed at the output of each converter. This method is modular and can easily be applied to any number of units without heavy computational capability. The parallel units share only one piece of information, which is the average of the current space vector magnitudes.

The third method investigated is quite similar to the average current control method. It uses the same principle based upon the reflection of circulating currents on the magnitude of the current space vector. It is a modular and independent method since the current sharing control of each converter is implemented independently from any of other converter controllers. However, it has a slightly slower dynamic response when compared with the average current sharing control method due to employing a LPF in the control process.

In the final approach, the current distribution was improved through impedance emulation, instead of increasing physical impedance on the output of each converter. The emulated impedance value is proportional to the converter current space vector magnitude, i.e. a large emulated impedance is used when the converter shared current is large and vice versa. The control algorithm is simple and modular since each converter is controlled independently from the other converters. Nevertheless, this approach suffers from a steady state error, in terms of current distribution between the converters. This steady state error can be significantly reduced with high values of \( K_Z \), but this comes at the cost of an extensive voltage drop increment. For that reason, this scheme is not recommended for open loop control systems since there is no voltage drop compensation as in a closed loop control system. The second, third, and fourth methods can be adopted with any PWM strategy like SPWM, SVPWM, and DPWM since they
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are entirely dependent on the converter current space vector magnitude. Also, separate zero axis circulating current control is unnecessary.

Finally, the impact of interleaving on the performance of the parallel-connected AC/DC/AC converters has been demonstrated. This included the load current harmonics, circulating current harmonics, and the common mode voltage harmonics.
Chapter 5. Development of Parallel-Connected Converters System

An AC/DC/AC converter system was implemented to examine the control algorithms for the parallel operation of the converter units. This chapter describes the hardware and software development of the prototype parallel-connected converter system. According to the system structure and requirements of the converter units’ ratings, the microcontroller, measurement circuits and protection functions have been determined. Furthermore, this chapter describes the National Instrument LabView™ platform which is employed for monitoring and control.

5.1 System Overview

For experimental convenience, the initial assumption was that two equally rated parallel-connected, three-phase AC/DC/AC converters with one microcontroller would provide a suitable platform for investigating the control algorithms. However, in practice, each converter would have its own microcontroller. The parallel-connected converter system consists of the following parts:

- Two three-phase inverters with individual front end three-phase diode rectifiers
- One DSP microcontroller and the necessary interfacing and conditioning circuits
- A personal computer (PC)
- A communication link between the DSP microcontroller and PC
- A three-phase load which is either a three-phase RL load or three-phase induction motor coupled with a permanent magnet DC generator
- Measurement equipment

5.1.1 System requirements

- Converter ratings: the three-phase converter ratings are listed in Table 5.1.
- DSP Microcontroller requirement: the controller should provide the following:
  - Six dual PWM units
  - RS232, JTAG communication interface
  - At least 12 ADCs for current and voltage sensors
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>$140V_{\text{RMS}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>$5A_{\text{RMS}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power capacity</td>
<td>1.2kVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output line frequency</td>
<td>0-50Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>6kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling frequency</td>
<td>12kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1 AC/DC/AC converter ratings

- PC requirement
  - RS232 compatible ports
  - A USB port for system debugging
  - Texas Instruments Code Composer Studio (CCS) debugging software
  - National Instruments LabView™ software for monitoring and control

- Measurement Requirements
  - Voltage and current waveforms measurement.

5.1.2 System structure

The complete structure for the parallel-connected converter system is shown in Fig. 5.1. The grid voltage was accessed via a 5kVA Δ/Y isolating transformer as a power source for the parallel converters system, i.e. the two converters are supplied from one common voltage source. Each converter unit includes seven main functional blocks, as shown in Fig. 5.2. These are a three-phase diode rectifier, a three-phase 6-IGBT inverter, a DC bus filter, three-dual gate drive circuits, current sharing reactors, current transducers and a voltage transducer. The voltage and current transducers are used to sense the DC link voltage and the converter output currents to be processed by the microcontroller. Accordingly, the microcontroller generates the desired PWM signals to control the output voltage of the converter. Each converter is connected to the load via three single-phase current sharing reactors to limit the circulating current between the two converters. The host computer is interfaced with the microcontroller through the USB port which is used by the CCS software for programming and debugging. Furthermore, the PC is
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interfaced to the microcontroller via an isolated RS232 serial link. This serial link is utilised by the LabView™ software for the control and monitoring purposes.

Figure 5.1 Parallel AC/DC/AC converters system structure

Figure 5.2 AC/DC/AC converter structure
5.2 Hardware Implementation

This section presents the hardware design of the parallel-connected converter system in detail. A picture of the complete test rig is shown in Fig.5.3. The following parts can be identified:

1. 20A three-phase variac
2. Three-phase circuit breaker and contactor
3. Emergency stop
4. 24V DC power supply
5. AC/DC/AC converter (unit 1)
6. 5kVA three-phase Δ/Y isolation transformer (a detailed description of this can be found in Appendix A)
7. Host computer (PC)
8. AC/DC/AC converter (unit 2)
9. 2.2kW three-phase induction motor
10. 2.5hp permanent magnet DC generator
11. 10Ω, 10A resistors
12. General purpose power interface board for the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 DSP microcontroller

5.2.1 AC/DC/AC converter unit

The components of each AC/DC/AC converter are shown in Fig.5.4, where the following parts are found:

1. Three single-phase current sharing reactors
2. Three-phase discrete IGBT inverter with DC link capacitors
3. DC link voltage transducer
4. Three current transducers
5. Three dual gate drive circuits
6. Three-phase diode rectifier
7. Three-phase relay
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Figure 5.3 Parallel converters system test rig

Figure 5.4 Hardware structure of the AC/DC/AC converter
The converter components are physically arranged to keep the control signals away from sources of noise. Also, the DC bus is directly connected to the IGBTs to reduce the parasitic inductance and the devices turn off voltages. Furthermore, PWM signal and transducers cables are kept as short as possible and placed away from the power cables to minimise the influence of noise. Detailed converter components design and selection are given below:

- Three-phase rectifier and DC-bus
  A three-phase diode rectifier (2MT60) is used, 5A input fuses along with thermistors provide DC link inrush current protection. Furthermore, this rectifier is connected to the input supply through a three pole relay which is controlled by the microcontroller.

It is worth mentioning that electrolytic and film capacitors are commonly used in the DC bus of an inverter. Electrolytic capacitors have a high capacitance to volume ratio and a lower price when compared with film capacitors. However, they have a higher equivalent series resistance (ESR) and lower current ripple rating. In view of this, electrolytic capacitors are selected for this work. The capacitors’ size can be determined with the following steps:

1. Estimate the capacitor size according to the desired voltage ripple $V_{\text{ripple}}$ using the following equation [104]:

$$C = \frac{P_{\text{load}}}{240 \times V_{\text{ripple}} \times V_{s,\text{rms}} \times f_{\text{rec}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} 5.1

   Where $P_{\text{load}}$ is the load rated power, $V_{s,\text{rms}}$ the rectifier input voltage, and $f_{\text{rec}}$ the frequency of the input voltage.

2. Compute the power dissipation of the selected capacitor. This requires information about the dominant current ripple components. The current ripple components imposed by the rectifier and inverter can be obtained with the aid of digital simulation or through 3-D look up graphics based on spectral analysis[105]. With an SVPWM strategy the capacitor current ripple contribution caused by the inverter $I_{C,\text{rms},I}$ is calculated as [106] [107]:
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\[ I_{c,rms,l} = I_{rms} \left[ \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} M \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} + \cos^2 \alpha \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} - \frac{18}{16\sqrt{3}} M \right) \right) \right] \tag{5.2} \]

Where \( \alpha \) is the load angle and \( I_{rms} \) is the rms value of the load current. The dominant harmonic component of this current ripple occurs at twice the switching frequency.

The diode rectifier harmonic current \( I_{c,rms,R} \) is dominantly the sixth harmonic, which is approximated as \( P_{load}/10V_{rms} \) [104]. Accordingly, the total current rms value will be:

\[ I_{c,rms} = \sqrt{\left( I_{c,rms,l} \right)^2 + \left( I_{c,rms,R} \right)^2} \tag{5.3} \]

A combination of series and parallel capacitors will be selected to handle the required current ripple \( I_{c,rms} \). The capacitor power dissipation \( P_d \) is calculated considering the two current ripple components and the ESR value at the dominant harmonic frequency.

\[ P_d = \left( I_{c,rms,l} \right)^2 * R_{ESR,fdl} + \left( I_{c,rms,R} \right)^2 * R_{ESR,fdR} \tag{5.4} \]

Where, \( R_{ESR,fdl} \) and \( R_{ESR,fdR} \) are the ESRs at the dominant current ripple frequency contributed by the inverter and rectifier sides respectively.

➢ Calculate the capacitor core temperature using the equation below:

\[ T_c = T_a + P_d * R_{th} \tag{5.5} \]

Where, \( T_c \) and \( T_a \) are the capacitor core and the ambient temperature respectively, and \( R_{th} \) is the capacitor core to case thermal resistance measured in \(^{\circ}\)C/W. If the capacitor core temperature \( T_c \) does not match the permissible limit, the number of capacitor parallel branches should be increased to satisfy this limit.

Using the above mentioned procedure with 2% \( V_{dc} \) voltage ripple, the DC-bus requires two series-connected 680\( \mu \)F, 200V electrolytic capacitors.
Due to the capacitor leakage resistance, unequal voltage will be on the individual series capacitors. To overcome this problem, each capacitor must be shunted with a balancing resistor. The resistor value should be much smaller than the leakage resistance, which is calculated from knowledge of the leakage current and operating voltage [108]. Using the selected capacitor datasheet, the leakage current is calculated and accordingly a 10kΩ, 3W balancing resistor is used.

The DC link capacitors are connected to the inverter via a low inductance laminated bus bar to reduce the parasitic inductance. A 150nF high frequency metallised polypropylene film snubber capacitor was connected between the DC link terminals to provide a low-impedance path for high frequency current components and to suppress the turn off transient voltages.

- **Switching devices**

  Six IGBTs (IRG7PH35UD1PBF) are used in the three-phase inverter construction. These devices have a voltage and current rating of 1200V and 20A respectively. High voltage and current margins are provided through these devices to ensure flexible testing capability.

- **Transducers**

  Three current transducers (HXS 20-NP/SP30) are used to sense the three-phase output current of each converter. The transducer output signal is conditioned and processed by the control board for the control and hardware protection functions. Also, a voltage transducer (LV 25-P) is employed for DC link voltage measurement and is utilised by the microcontroller for monitoring and overvoltage protection purposes.

- **Current sharing reactors**

  Three single-phase current sharing reactors are connected to the output of the converter. The minimum inductor value required to maintain safe operation of the parallel system is [67]:

  \[
  L_{\text{min}} = \frac{V_{dc} \Delta t}{2A I_{\text{max}}} \tag{5.6}
  \]
Where $\Delta t$ is the switching edge misalignment and $\Delta I_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum allowable current change caused by switching edge misalignment. For the hardware system under test, the two converters are synchronised in terms of the fundamental and carrier frequency. However, there is a switching edge misalignment of about 1-2μsec. Accordingly, three 1mH single phase reactors are connected to the output of each converter to suppress the circulating current to acceptable limit.

- Gate drive circuit

The functions of the driver circuit are amplifying the (ON/OFF) logic signals and delivering the required peak current to the IGBT gate at switching. It also detects a device short circuit through monitoring the voltage between the collector and emitter (VCE) of the IGBT during the turning on period.

Each converter unit involves three dual gate drive circuits. The gate drive circuit is based on HCPL-316J, which is a two Ampere gate drive optocoupler with integrated (VCE) desaturation detection and fault status feedback. During a short circuit fault, as the current increases, the VCE (sat) increases, when the VCE (sat) reaches the preset threshold level a fault is detected. The gate driver then performs a soft turn-off of the IGBT. The fault pin status is pulled to low for the purpose of notifying the DSP microcontroller of the fault condition. To clear the fault condition, the RESET pin should be pulled low by the microcontroller. In a similar manner, if the output voltage of the gate driver is not sufficient to turn on the IGBT, another fault condition is detected by the gate drive circuit. More details for the HCPL-316J are given in [109].

A complete schematic diagram of the dual gate driver circuit is given in Figs.5.5a and 5.5b.

### 5.2.2 General purpose power interface board for the Texas Instrument®

**TMS320F28335 DSP microcontroller**

The general purpose interface board designed at Newcastle University is shown in Fig.5.6. This board represents the interface between the Spectrum Digital F28335eZdsp and the power converter hardware.

Based on the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 device, the control board brings floating-point processing to a real time power control application. The Texas
Instrument® TMS320F28335 is the first floating point digital signal controller that provides 150MHz operation and includes an IEEE-754 Single-Precision Floating-Point Unit (FPU). The availability of floating point arithmetic saves development time by eliminating scaling and adjustment for numerical resolution. As it is designed mainly for industrial applications, the F28335 has plenty of peripheral circuits. For example, the 16-channel 12-bit ADC, six dual channel PWM units, and an encoder interface which can be used for motor control purposes. Different communication links such as the serial communication interface (SCI), the serial peripheral interface (SPI), the multichannel buffered serial port (McBSP) module, and the inter-integrated circuit (I2C) are available. The Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 provides a joint test action group (JTAG) interface, which supports real-time debugging. With the help of the JTAG, the user can watch and modify the contents of the memory and the registers without stopping the processor. The physical memory of the ezdsp™F28335 is comprised of 68K bytes of on-chip RAM, 512K bytes of on-chip Flash memory, and 256K bytes of off-chip SRAM memory. Full details of the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 can be found in [110] [111].
The general purpose interface board is designed for applications requiring a single board solution. It can be used in grid-tie inverters, AC induction motor drives, PMSM motor drives, brushless DC motor drives, wind turbine control, testing of two parallel-connected three-phase converters, etc. The interface board provides the following functions:

- **Auxiliary power supply unit**
  The on board Auxiliary power supply provides +15V, -15V, and +5V. These voltages are used by all the on board power electronics interfaces and any off-board interfaces such as current sensors, voltage sensors, shaft encoder, and gate drive circuits.

- **Analogue signals interface**
  The general interface board includes all the necessary conditioning and protection circuits such that the analogue signals can be processed safely by the ADC input of the ezdsp board. As the ezdsp has 16 analogue inputs, ten of these signal interfaces are prepared to be utilised by the
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current and voltage sensors. The rest of the analogue inputs are available for any other analogue inputs.

To provide fast hardware protection, six of the sensor interfaces are combined with an out-of-range trip circuit. This facility can be easily devoted to over-current or over-voltage protection. The upper and lower trip threshold can be adjusted via variable resistors. The outputs of the out-of-range circuits are wired so that any out of range condition will produce logic zero output. This output is connected to the microcontroller Trip-Zone input. The microcontroller can be configured to switch off all the PWM outputs when the Trip-Zone input is low.

In this work, eleven analogue input signals are applied to the general interface board. These signals are the converter currents signals, the combined (load) current signals, and the DC link voltage of the two converters. The sensor out-of-range trip circuit is utilised for over-current protection for the two parallel-connected converters.

• Gate drive interface
This interface connects the six pairs of PWM units which are provided by the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 to the gate drive circuits. This interface allows the fault pin status of the gate drive circuit to be monitored by the microcontroller so that suitable actions can be carried out in the event of a fault. The interface transfers the fault reset signal from the microcontroller to the gate drive circuit to clear the fault condition.

• Relay circuit interface
Four DSP general purpose input output (GPIO) pins can be configured as output to control four on board relays. The GPIO output drives a MOSFET gate, while the relay coil is energised via the MOSFET drain. These relay circuits can be utilised to control larger relays or contactors. In this work, two relays are employed to control larger three-phase relays which switch on/off the main power to the converters.

The general interface board provides other functions such as digital to analogue conversion and a shaft encoder interface which are not used in this work.
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Figure 5.6 General interface board with the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 DSP microcontroller

5.2.3 Test load

Two types of load are used to test the parallel-connected converter system. The first is a three-phase RL load. This load includes three 10Ω, 10A rheostats and three 10mH, 10A centre-tap inductors. The second type of load is a three-phase induction motor coupled to a permanent magnet DC generator which is in turn loaded with three series connected 10Ω, 10A rheostats. The induction motor parameters are 2.2kW, 2pole, 220V, 8.02A, 2770 RPM, 0.85 pf. The DC generator has the following parameters: 2.5hp, 130V, 3000RPM.

5.3 Implementation of control algorithms

This section presents a brief explanation of the proposed control algorithms. The programs have been written in C-language using Code Composer Studio (CCS3.3) software development tools. Monitoring and control functions were implemented in the LabView™ graphical oriented programming language.
5.3.1 LabView™ environment

Figure 5.7 shows the LabView™ control and monitoring panel. The communication between the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 DSP microcontroller and the host computer is based on the principle of polling and is achieved via the RS232 serial interface. Every 0.2Sec a control data package is sent to the microcontroller. In response, the microcontroller sends a data package back to the host computer. The main control data that the microcontroller receives from the LabView™ panel is:

- Switching commands: the main input power to the three-phase converters is controlled by three-phase relays. The relay coil is energised through a small on board relay controlled by the microcontroller
- Gate drive enable: the PWM outputs for each converter can be enabled or disabled individually through sending commands from the panel to the microcontroller
- System operation mode: the system operation mode can be changed easily from open loop to closed loop via the control panel
- Reference setting: the desired output frequency (speed with machine load), the desired rotating reference frame currents, and the base modulation index values in open loop mode
- Compensator parameters: all the PI compensator parameters ($k_p$ and $k_i$) can be adjusted in real time

The information that is received from the DSP microcontroller and monitored through the Labview™ panel is:

- The system operation mode whether open loop or closed loop
- The interrupt service routine (ISR) execution time
- The DC link voltage: the ADC readings of the DC link voltage sensors are scaled and monitored by the LabView™ panel
- The current waveform: the converter currents and the load current in both the stationary and rotating reference frame are stored in the internal or external ram of the DSP microcontroller. The storing speed depends on the desired output line frequency. For 50Hz, one value is stored every two ISRs, i.e. 6k sample/sec. For 10Hz, one value every four ISRs is stored, i.e. 3k sample/sec. The stored data is then downloaded at low speed to the LabView™ panel. The transient response
due to the step change in the desired frequency (speed in machine load) or the desired current can easily be captured using the same approach.

Figure 5.7 LabView™ control and monitoring panel

5.3.2 Algorithm of time sharing control scheme

Figure 5.8 shows the flowchart of time sharing control scheme. It can be seen that the program starts with variable declaration, the initialisation of peripherals, timers and interrupt service routines. The timers are configured to create the triangular output with a frequency equal two times the required switching frequency (in accordance with the number of parallel units). The triangular output was used for PWM generation and ADC converter synchronisation. The ADC was synchronised to the peak of the triangular carrier.

After receiving all the reference values, modes of operation, and the PI compensator parameters, the ADC is triggered. The three-phase currents and the DC link voltage of the two converters are converted to its digital equivalent.
After the A/D conversion, the program jumps into the ISR. During each ISR, the three-phase currents and DC link voltage for the converters are calculated. The offset imposed by the sensors or the conditioning circuits is compensated for. If the number of ISRs is odd, the first converter current space vector magnitude is calculated and compared with the reference value. A PI compensator function is called to process the error signal and produces the desired modulation index. The Backward-Euler algorithm was utilised in discretisation of the analogue PI compensator. To avoid over-modulation and integrator windup, the PI compensator output value and the integrator output value are limited to a specified value. However, the new PWM duty ratio will not be updated until the next even numbered ISR.

Accordingly, the situation will be opposite with respect to the second converter. The desired modulation index is calculated when the ISR number is even and the PWM duty is updated in the next odd numbered ISR.
5.3.3 Algorithm of average current sharing control scheme in a current controller application

Figure 5.9 shows the flowchart of the average current sharing control scheme. As in the previous flowchart, the program starts with variable declaration, initialisation of peripherals, timers and interrupts service routines. The timers are set to generate a triangular carrier with frequency equal to the switching frequency. The triangular output is used for PWM generation and ADC converter synchronisation. The ADC synchronises with the peak and the valley, i.e. the sampling frequency is twice the switching frequency. After the end of each ADC process, the program jumps to the ISR. During each ISR, the three-phase currents and the DC link voltage for the converters are calculated. Only two phase load currents are measured and calculated instead of all three-phases. During the current and voltage calculation, the offset due to the sensors or conditioning circuits is compensated for.

The next step is the application of Clark and Park transforms to the load current. Then, the function dq current regulator is called to generate the base modulation index and angle, according to the rotating reference frame current demands. The base modulation index and angle are used by the converters as base values.

The current space vector magnitude for the two converters (\(|I_{s1}|\) and \(|I_{s2}|\)) and their average value (\(|I_{savg}|\)) are then calculated. The errors (\((|I_{s1}|-|I_{savg}|)\) and \((|I_{s2}|-|I_{savg}|)\)) are used as inputs to the PI compensator function to produce the desired modification in the base modulation index value for each converter, to achieve equal current sharing.
5.3.4 Algorithm of open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor supplied from parallel converters based on the average current sharing control scheme

The algorithm for this application is similar to the previous one; however, the base modulation index and the angle calculations are different. The angle is simply calculated from the integral of angular speed \( \omega \). The base modulation index, which controls the voltage magnitude, is calculated such that the ratio \( M/f \) is constant. This ratio represents the modulation index at rated voltage to rated frequency. However, when the frequency and hence the modulation index and the stator voltage are low, the stator resistance voltage drop cannot be neglected and must be compensated for. Furthermore, at frequencies higher than the rated, the stator voltage and hence the modulation index must not increase the rated value.

Figure 5.10 demonstrates the flowchart for the average current sharing control scheme when employed in an open loop V/f speed control of induction motor.

Figure 5.9 Flowchart of average current sharing control algorithm employed in a current controller application
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Figure 5.10 Flowchart of average current sharing control algorithm employed in open loop V/f control

5.3.5 Algorithm for independent current sharing control scheme in a current controller application

When an independent current sharing control method is adopted instead of the average current sharing control, the algorithm flowchart will be as shown in Fig.5.11. It can be seen from the flowchart that this is quite similar to that shown in Fig.5.9; however, the method of modifying the base modulation index requires calling a second order Butterworth low pass filter function. The difference equation for such a filter, designed using the bilinear transform method mentioned in the previous chapter, is shown in (5.7). A 50Hz corner frequency and 12 kHz sampling frequency were considered.

\[
y(n) = 0.0001682237(x(n) + 2.x(n-1) + x(n-2)) + 1.96298.y(n-1) - 0.9636529.y(n-2) \tag{5.7}
\]
The AC component of the current space vector magnitude is isolated with the aid of the LPF and then controlled to zero by a PI compensator. The output of the PI compensator is used to modify the base modulation index such that the AC component of the current space vector magnitude is minimised.

Figure 5.11 Flowchart of independent current sharing control employed in a current controller application

5.3.6 Algorithm for open loop V/f control for three-phase induction motor supplied from parallel converters based on independent current sharing control scheme

Figure 5.12 clarifies the algorithm flowchart for the independent current sharing control strategy when employed in an open loop V/f control. The flowchart is somewhat similar to that of the average current sharing control shown in Fig.5.10. The LPF filter function is used in modifying the modulation index, as in the previous flowchart.
Figure 5.12 Flowchart of independent current sharing control algorithm employed in open loop V/f control

Similar algorithms for impedance emulation approach can be found in Appendix A.

5.4 Measurement equipment

The measuring equipment used during the experiments is:

- Tektronix DPO2014 digital phosphor oscilloscope. A 4-channel, 100MHz oscilloscope
- Tektronix A622 AC/DC current probe. The probe input is 0→70A RMS, DC→100kHz frequency. The probe output is 10mV/A or 100mV/A
- Tektronix P5200 high voltage differential probe with 1300V maximum voltage

5.5 Summary of the test rig system development

The test rig is used to examine the control algorithms for the parallel-connected converters. The system includes two three-phase AC/DC/AC converters, a general
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purpose power interface board based on the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 DSP microcontroller, test loads, and measurement equipment.

The AC/DC/AC converter hardware design has been considered and the converter component selection and arrangement has been described. Issues concerned with the control algorithm implementation have also been explained.

The general interface board for the Texas Instrument® TMS320F28335 DSP microcontroller is described in general and a detailed explanation of the utilised functions in this work has been presented.

The test loads and the measurement equipment that were used in obtaining experimental results have been described. A simple graphical user interface was developed in the National Instruments LabView™ environment. This user interface provided PC monitoring and program control and simple result collection during transient and steady state conditions.

Detailed circuit diagrams for the parallel connected converter system are given in Appendix A.
Chapter 6. Experimental Verification of Control Algorithms

The experimental results for the parallel-connected converter control are presented in this chapter. The tests were carried out using the test rig and equipment presented in chapter 5. The proposed methods are assessed for two applications. The first is a current controller for three-phase RL load; the second is an open loop V/f controller for a three-phase induction motor. Finally, the impact of interleaving on the system performances was examined.

6.1 Testing of PWM Strategies

Figure 6.1 presents the three-phase modulating signals of SVPWM. The waveform data is stored in the DSP microcontroller RAM and then downloaded to the PC to be presented using Excel. In a similar way, the DPWM2 modulating signals are shown in Fig. 6.2. Fig. 6.3 shows the IGBT collector to emitter voltage ($V_{CE}$) and load current waveforms to clarify the clamping periods of the IGBTs when the DPWM2 strategy is adopted. The IGBT is clamped to the positive and negative DC link voltage when the load current is at its maximum positive or maximum negative magnitude.
Figure 6.1 Experimental SVPWM modulating signals

Figure 6.2 Experimental DPWM2 modulating signals
6.2 Testing of Time Sharing Approach

Using the parallel-connected converter system described in the previous chapter, the time sharing approach was examined experimentally with the SVPWM strategy. The two converters were directly paralleled without using current sharing reactors. In accordance with simulation results, the two converters were used as a current controller for a three-phase RL load. Unlike the other proposed methods, the system was tested with only 120V DC link voltage, due to a high noise level which triggers the fault protection on the gate drive circuit. Also, high voltage and current spikes sometimes activate the protection circuit.

Figure 6.4 shows the converter and load current waveforms during the steady state condition when the desired load current magnitude is 4A. The two converters have the same current magnitudes and each converter supplies currents for only half of a switching cycle. The current controller produced the desired load current magnitude. To examine the transient performance, the converters were subjected to a step change in the desired current magnitude. Equal current sharing between the converters and fast transient response are depicted in Fig.6.5.
Figure 6.4 Experimental steady state converter and load currents with time sharing approach (5A/div; 20msec/div)

Figure 6.5 Transient converter and load currents with time sharing approach (2A/div; 20msec/div)
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6.3 Testing Average Current Sharing Control Scheme

The two parallel converters were used to examine the proposed algorithms with 1mH current sharing reactors and a 200V DC link voltage. As mentioned in the simulation results discussion, the system was tested in two applications. The first was a current controller for a three-phase RL load, with different frequencies in both transient and steady state conditions. The second was an open loop V/f control for a three-phase induction motor with frequency range of 10Hz to 50Hz. The first test was conducted with both SVPWM and DPWM2 strategies. The following results are related to SVPWM and those related to DPWM2 are presented in Appendix C.

6.3.1 Current controller for the three-phase RL load

To demonstrate the current sharing capability, imbalance in the current sharing reactors and dead times was deliberately introduced. To achieve this, a 0.1mH inductor was added in series with the 1mH current sharing reactors of the second converter and a 20% increment in the second inverter dead time relative to the first converter was applied (in common with the values used in simulations). Figure 6.6 shows the converter and load current waveforms when the sharing control method was deactivated. The current sharing between the converters is unequal and exceeds acceptable limits. Significant distortion appears in the converter current waveforms. The difference in current produced by the two modules relative to the total current supplied is referred to as the “current imbalance ratio”. In this case, it has a value of 37.7%, a level of imbalance which may lead to uneven thermal stress and device failure.

The common-mode current and the converter current space vector magnitudes were calculated within the algorithm and stored in the microcontroller RAM. With the aid of the LabView™ panel, the stored data was copied to a text file, and then processed by the Excel software. The common mode current and the current space vector magnitudes are depicted in Figs.6.7 and 6.8 respectively.

Figure 6.9 shows the load and converter currents when the average current sharing control was used. Excellent current sharing is achieved with minimum distortion in the current waveform. The current imbalance ratio between the converters is reduced from 37.7% to 3.34% of the total current. The effect of the control method on the common mode current and the current space vector magnitudes is presented in Figs.6.10 and 6.11, respectively. The common mode circulating current reduction is greater than 45% and
the converter current space vectors closely track the average of converter current space vectors.

Figure 6.6 Experimental converter and load current waveforms without sharing control at 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)

Figure 6.7 Experimental common-mode circulating current without sharing control
Figure 6.8 Experimental current space vector magnitudes without sharing control

Figure 6.9 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with average current sharing control at 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)
Figure 6.10 Experimental common-mode circulating current with average current sharing control

Figure 6.11 Experimental current space vector magnitude waveforms with average current sharing control
Further validation of the control strategy was achieved by varying the operating output current frequency from 15Hz to 50Hz. The current distribution between the two converters is displayed in Figs.6.12 and 6.13. The system was subjected to simultaneous step changes in the required frequency and current magnitude. Firstly, the frequency was changed from 15Hz to 30Hz (Fig.6.12) and then from 25Hz to 50Hz (Fig.6.13) with a step change in the desired q axis load current ($i_q$) from 4A to 6A in both cases. It can be seen that the converters shared the current equally during both transient and steady state conditions.

The $dq$ current regulator performance is demonstrated in Fig.6.14. The q-axis current disturbance produces a disturbance in d-axis current. However, this problem can be solved by adopting a multivariable PI-based $dq$ current control method which has decoupling capabilities [112]. However, the conventional $dq$ current regulator response is fast enough with acceptable overshoot and steady state error.

The average current sharing control method was also examined when a DPWM2 strategy was adopted. The experimental results related to the DPWM2 strategy can be found in Appendix C.

Figure 6.12 Transient response due to step change in the desired current magnitude and frequency from 15Hz to 30Hz (2A/div; 20msec/div)
Figure 6.13 Transient response due to step change in the desired current magnitude and frequency from 25Hz to 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)

Figure 6.14 Direct and quadrature axes load current due to a step change in the desired quadrature axis current
6.3.2 Open loop \(V/f\) control for a three-phase induction motor

Instead of a three-phase RL load, a three-phase induction motor was connected as a load. An open loop \(V/f\) speed control was adopted for further validation of the average current sharing control method. The three-phase induction motor was rated at 230V-240V which is beyond the parallel converter system voltage rating. Accordingly, a virtual induction motor rating was assumed to be 120V at 50Hz.

As mentioned in the previous section, considerable circulating currents were produced when using different sharing reactors and dead time for the two converters. Consequently, when the current sharing control was deactivated, unequal current distribution (53.95% imbalance ratio) between the two converters was present, as shown in Fig.6.15. Also, considerable common mode circulating currents were excited between the converters as shown in Fig.6.16.

When the average current sharing control was activated, the current imbalance ratio was reduced from 53.95% to 0.2%, as demonstrated in Fig.6.17. Moreover, Fig.6.18 shows that the common-mode circulating current was reduced to 40% of its value when the sharing control was not employed. Figure 6.19 demonstrates the soft starting of induction motor. The converter line frequency is increased smoothly from 0Hz to the desired frequency to avoid excessive motor starting current.

![Figure 6.15 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms without sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)](image-url)

Figure 6.15 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms without sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)
Figure 6.16 Experimental common-mode circulating current without sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz

Figure 6.17 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with average current sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)
Figure 6.18 Experimental common-mode circulating current with sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz

Figure 6.19 Three-phase motor current during soft starting (2A/div; 1sec/div)
Experimental Verifications of Control Algorithms

The average current sharing control was also assessed when the command frequency was 20Hz and 30Hz (Figs.6.20 and 6.21). It is clear that the two converters still share the motor current equally regardless of the line command frequency reduction, the differences in sharing reactors and differences in dead time values.

For further confirmation, the current waveforms for the average current sharing control scheme were also collected using the LabView™ panel; these can be found in Appendix C.

Figure 6.20 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with average current sharing control when the desired frequency is 20Hz (2A/div; 20msec/div)
Experimental Verifications of Control Algorithms

6.4 Testing Independent Current Sharing Control Scheme

The independent current sharing control was examined with the same system parameters, PWM strategies, and tests mentioned in the average current sharing control method. The following results are presented when SVPWM is employed while the results related to DPWM2 strategy are presented in Appendix C.

6.4.1 Current controller for the three-phase RL load

Figure 6.22 presents the converter and load currents waveforms when independent current sharing control was employed. It can be seen that the load current matched the command current ($i_q=6\text{A}$, $i_d=0$) reasonably. Also, the two converters share the load current well with an imbalance current ratio of 2.17%.

The common-mode circulating current (Fig.6.23) is 55.5% of that shown in Fig.6.7, where no sharing control was used. The effect of the independent control on the current space vector magnitudes is presented in Fig.6.24. In common with the average current sharing control, the system was also tested with a simultaneous step change in the current and frequency demands (Figs.6.25 and 6.26). In both cases, the two converters share the current equally during dynamic and steady state conditions.
Figure 6.22 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with independent current sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)

Figure 6.23 Experimental common mode circulating current with independent sharing control at 50Hz
Figure 6.24 Current space vector magnitudes with independent sharing control at 50Hz

Figure 6.25 Experimental converter and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude from 4A to 6A and frequency from 15Hz to 30Hz (2A/div; 20msec/div)
Figure 6.26 Experimental converter and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude from 4A to 6A and frequency from 25Hz to 50Hz (2A/div; 20msec/div)

More results can be found in Appendix C, where the current waveforms are collected using the LabView™ panel.

6.4.2 Open loop V/f control for the three-phase induction motor

Figures 6.27 to 6.29 show the results for the open loop V/f speed control for the three-phase induction motor. The independent current sharing control was examined with different desired frequencies. The independent current sharing control showed an excellent current sharing capability regardless of the differences in the current sharing reactors and the dead time for the two converters.
Figure 6.27 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with independent current sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz (2A/div; 20msec/div)

Figure 6.28 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with independent current sharing control when the desired frequency is 20Hz (2A/div; 20msec/div)
Figure 6.29 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with independent current sharing control when the desired frequency is 30Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)

6.5 Testing of Impedance Emulation Current Sharing Control Scheme

The impedance emulation current sharing control was investigated with the same system parameters, PWM strategy, and tests mentioned in the previous methods. The following results are presented when the SVPWM strategy is employed.

6.5.1 Current controller for the three-phase RL load

Figure 6.30 demonstrates the current distribution between the parallel-connected converters with the impedance emulation current sharing control. The two converters shared the load current with a current imbalance ratio equal to 2.87%.

Further examinations of the control are achieved through subjecting the system to a simultaneous step change in the desired frequency and quadrature axis load current. Figures 6.31 and 6.32 explore the transient response when the demand frequency is changed from 25Hz to 50Hz and from 15Hz to 30Hz respectively. The desired q-axis load current was stepped from 4A to 6A in both cases. It can be seen that good transient response is obtained in terms of current distribution regardless of the sudden variation in the desired frequency and load current.
Figure 6.30 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with resistance emulation current sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)

Figure 6.31 Transient response due to a step change in load current and frequency from 25Hz to 50Hz (2A/div; 20msec/div)
6.5.2 Open loop V/f control for the three-phase induction motor

Open loop V/f control for the three-phase induction motor was adopted for further validation of impedance emulation current sharing control strategy. The following figures show the current distribution between the converters when the desired frequencies are 50Hz, 30Hz, and 20Hz. In all cases, the proposed method shows good behaviour, with acceptable current imbalance in current distribution achieved regardless of frequency demand.
Figure 6.33 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)

Figure 6.34 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with sharing control when the desired frequency is 30Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)
Interleaving Impact on System Performance

As mentioned in chapters two and three, interleaving techniques can be used to enhance the parallel-connected converter benefits. To investigate the impact of interleaving, the same converter parameters and modulating index values were used with disabled current sharing control method. Different tests were implemented whilst the system was supplying a three-phase RL load. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis was employed in this investigation which considers the load current harmonics, the common-mode voltage harmonics, and the circulating current harmonics. This was achieved through transferring the oscilloscope data with 12500 point record length and 625 kHz sampling frequency to the MATLAB/ SIMULINK® environment. The following sections present the results related to the SVPWM strategy, while those related to DPWM strategy can be found in Appendix D.
6.6.1 Combined current

For non-interleaved converters, the harmonic spectrum of the load current \(i_a\) is shown in Fig. 6.36. It can be seen that the harmonic components are concentrated around the integer multiples of the switching frequency which is 6 kHz.

When symmetric interleaving is adopted, the harmonics around the odd multiples of the carrier frequency are almost eliminated (see Fig. 6.37). Contrary to natural sampled PWM, complete harmonic cancellation usually did not occur since regular sampled PWM was employed [53] [52]. It can be seen that the THD of the current is strongly influenced since its value is 73% of that of un-interleaved converters.

This test was repeated for a low modulation index value using SVPWM and for high and low values of modulation index using the DPWM2 strategy. The THD reduction is summarized in Table 6.1, where the THD is assumed unity for non-interleaved converters.

![Figure 6.36 Experimental combined output current spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9](image)

Figure 6.36 Experimental combined output current spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9
Figure 6.37 Experimental combined output current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using SVPWM at $M=0.9$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation index</th>
<th>THD reduction for SVPWM</th>
<th>THD reduction for DPWM2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1 Experimental THD for different modulation index values and PWM strategies

It is obvious that the harmonic cancellation for the DPWM is significantly higher than for SVPWM when low modulation index values are used. This is because when SVPWM is used, the harmonic energy concentrates around twice the switching frequency at low modulation index values and around the switching frequency at high modulation index values. Conversely, with DPWM, the harmonic energy always concentrates around the switching frequency.
6.6.2 Inter-module circulating current

As explained in previous chapters, interleaving converts some of the harmonic components to a low and high frequency circulating current. Unfortunately, the high frequency circulating current is not mitigated by active current sharing control methods. Therefore, additional inter-module reactors will be necessary to limit the high frequency circulating current. This effect can be demonstrated through monitoring the circulating current harmonic spectra for non-interleaved converters (see Fig.6.38) and for symmetrically interleaved converters, as in Fig.6.39. It can be seen that a considerable circulating current between the converters has been excited due to symmetrical interleaving. The circulating current harmonic components are dominated around the switching frequency and its odd integer multiples. This circulating current increases the RMS and peak values of the converter current which may lead to inductor saturation. However, the DPWM strategy shows a lower peak current value when interleaving is adopted and accordingly the possibility of inductor saturation is reduced. The reason behind this is because of the IGBTs clamping periods when the current in its maximum positive or maximum negative periods.

Figure 6.38 Experimental circulating current spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9
Figure 6.39 Experimental circulating current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9

6.6.3 Common-mode voltage

Figure 6.40 and 6.41 compare the common-mode voltage spectra for non-interleaved and interleaved converters. The voltage harmonic magnitudes are normalised to the DC link voltage. In the case of non-interleaved converters, there are harmonics centred around the switching frequency and its integer multiples. The harmonics around the odd multiples of the carrier frequency were eliminated when symmetrical interleaving was adopted with the two parallel-connected converters. Accordingly, in motor drive applications, this harmonic elimination will reduce the possibility of bearing failure and electromagnetic interference noise that causes nuisance trips in the inverter drive [102] [103] [113].

The observations of the combined current, the circulating current, and the common-mode voltage confirm the simulation results noted in chapter four.
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Figure 6.40 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9

Figure 6.41 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.9
Experimental Verifications of Control Algorithms

6.7 Summary

In this chapter, the algorithms of the SVPWM and DPWM strategies were tested with one AC/DC/AC converter, which is connected to a three-phase RL load. The three-phase modulating signal waveforms were presented. Also, for the DPWM strategy, the IGBT clamping periods during the maximum positive and maximum negative load current were clarified.

The proposed current sharing control methods for the parallel-connected AC/DC/AC converters were tested using different PWM strategies (SVPWM & DPWM) and different applications. These applications were current control for three-phase R-L loads and open loop V/f control for a three-phase induction motor. Further investigations were conducted into these methods through subjecting the system to a wide range of variation in the desired frequency and current. These investigations demonstrated the current distributions between the two converters during transient and steady state conditions.

The results showed that the proposed control methods resumed the equal current sharing between the paralleled converters regardless of the differences in their physical parameters. Table 6.2 compares the simulated and experimental results in terms of current distribution between the parallel-connected converters, when different current sharing control schemes are adopted. When the current sharing control schemes are deactivated, the simulated and experimental current imbalance ratios are 56% and 38% respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current sharing control scheme</th>
<th>Imbalance current ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average current sharing control</td>
<td>1.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent current sharing control</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance emulation current sharing control</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2 Simulated and experimental current imbalance ration for SVPWM strategy

Finally, experimental measurements have been used to demonstrate the effects of interleaving on paralleled-connected three-phase PWM converters. These measurements included the combined current, the common-mode voltage, and the circulating current
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between the paralleled converters. FFT analysis showed significant improvements in the load current and the common-mode voltage through adopting interleaving techniques in parallel-connected converters. Nevertheless, this technique produced additional low and high frequency circulating currents. Consequently, additional passive components became necessary to limit high frequency circulating current. Simulated and experimental results for the combined output current THD for different PWM strategies are presented in Table 6.3. The improvement in the common-mode voltage due to symmetrical interleaving is presented in Table 6.4. The magnitude of the dominant harmonic component at the switching frequency (6 kHz) is presented as a percentage of the DC link voltage and for different PWM strategies.

| Modulation index | Combined output current THD reduction | SVPWM | | DPWM2 | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------| |       | |
|                  | Simulated | Experimental | Simulated | Experimental | |
| 0.5              | 31.5%     | 14.4%        | 49.6%     | 40%         | |
| 0.9              | 30%       | 26.7%        | 35.46%    | 39.9%       | |

Table 6.3 Simulated and experimental combined output current THD for different modulation index values and PWM strategies

| Modulation index | Normalised common-mode voltage magnitude of the 6 kHz harmonic component | SVPWM | | DPWM2 | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------| |       | |
|                  | Simulated | Experimental | Simulated | Experimental | |
| λ=0              | 0.54      | 0.0004       | 0.54      | 0.0005       | |
| λ=180            | 0.35      | 0.012        | 0.34      | 0.068        | |
| 0.5              | 0.292     | 0.0002       | 0.295     | 0.012        | |
| λ=0              | 0.267     | 0.003        | 0.26      | 0.011        | |
| λ=180            | 0.34      | 0.068        | 0.34      | 0.068        | |

Table 6.4 Simulated and experimental common-mode voltage magnitude of the 6 kHz harmonic component.

It can be seen that the simulated and experimental results are well matched, the above tables point out that the DPWM strategy is the strategy of choice when symmetrical interleaving is adopted for parallel-connected converters.
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The performances for the proposed methods are summarised in Table 6.5. These performances include the algorithm simplicity, expandability, cost, dependency on the PWM strategy and limitations of each method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current sharing method</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time sharing</td>
<td>• No current sharing reactors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Communication between converters is not required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Circulating current control is not necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Limited to a low number of parallel-connected converters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The converters should be physically very close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average current sharing control</td>
<td>• Current sharing reactors are used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Only one piece of information is shared between the parallel connected converters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easily extended to any number of parallel connected converters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Applied regardless the PWM strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Separate zero axis circulating current control is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Only one PI controller is used by each unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent current sharing control</td>
<td>• Current sharing reactors are required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No information is shared between converters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easily extended to any number of parallel connected converters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Applied regardless the PWM strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Separate zero axis circulating current control is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Only one PI controller is used by each unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• LPF is used in the control loop which slow down the dynamic response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Impedance emulation current sharing control | • Current sharing reactors are required.  
• No information is shared between converters  
• Easily extended to any number of parallel connected converters  
• Applied regardless the PWM strategy  
• Separate zero axis circulating current control is not required  
• Only one parameter need to be tuned instead of PI controller gains  
• Trade off between current sharing and voltage deviation |

Table 6.5 Summary of current sharing control schemes features
7.1 Conclusions

This thesis has discussed active current sharing control methods for three-phase parallel-connected AC/DC/AC converters with a separate but non-isolated DC link. Two applications have been investigated, a current controller for three-phase RL load, and open loop V/f speed control for a three-phase induction motor. Detailed experimental work has been conducted and the results obtained have been used to verify the proposed methods.

Four current sharing control methods have been suggested where the main objective is to achieve equal current sharing between equally rated parallel-connected converters. These methods are, a time sharing approach, average current sharing control, independent current sharing control and impedance emulation current sharing control. Conventional SVPWM and DPWM strategies have been used to assess the capability of each method in maintaining equal current distribution between the two converters. This assessment has been carried out over a wide range of output frequencies during both transient and steady state conditions.

In the time sharing approach, the operating time is divided between the converters. Accordingly, the current sharing reactors become redundant, leading to a small size and a lower cost for the system. Furthermore, low switching losses permit a smaller heat sink. The root-mean-square (RMS) value of the switching device current rating is also decreased. However, this method is only recommended for a low number of parallel units since the IGBT switches must be able to handle the peak load current. Also, the paralleled converters should be physically much closed with a reduced cable inductance which will limit the $dv/dt$ and the system EMI.

In contrast to previous work, average current sharing control strategy was applied by considering the current space vector magnitude instead of the three-phase current in a stationary or rotating reference frame representation. Accordingly, only one PI compensator was used, as only one piece of information needs to be shared between the parallel-connected converters. Therefore, both considerable algorithm simplification and trouble-free extendibility to any number of units is achieved.
In the third proposed method, an independent modular current sharing controller is proposed to produce equal current sharing between equally rated converters. Each converter uses only local information to obtain the desired current value. The converter currents are represented by the current space vector magnitude. For this reason, only one PI compensator is used to modify the modulation index of each converter in such a way that circulating current mitigation is ensured. Separate zero axis current control is not necessary to achieve equal current sharing between the converters, thus reducing the computational burden necessary for the implementation of the control algorithm. In addition, the proposed method may be easily extended to three or more converter modules.

In the final approach, the current distribution is improved through impedance emulation instead of physical impedance on the output of each converter. The impedance value is proportional to the converter current space vector magnitude, i.e. a large emulated impedance is used when the converter shared current is large and vice versa. The control algorithm is simple and modular since each converter is controlled independently of the other converters. Nevertheless, this approach suffers from a steady state error in terms of current distribution between the converters and the voltage drop on the virtual impedance.

It has been demonstrated that the proposed methods works properly during transient and steady state and with both the SVPWM and DPWM strategy. However, the DPWM strategy is only preferred in applications in which high modulation index values are used.

The impact of symmetric interleaving on the performance of parallel connected converters has been assessed. The output current, common-mode voltage, and circulating current were all considered. It has been verified that interleaving improves the output current and common-mode voltage performances, but an increase in circulating current is the corresponding penalty.

To examine the proposed control algorithms before the system implementation, current sharing analysis and MATLAB/ SIMULINK® models have been developed and explained in detail. Then, the control algorithms were implemented in real-time on one DSP microcontroller based on a detailed hardware design procedure. The experimental results were a close match with the simulation results.
Conclusions and Future Work

7.2 Future work

There are several research tasks for future work in this area. Some of these are stated below:

- To achieve a truly modular structure, it is preferred to redesign the parallel connected converters, such that each converter has its own microcontroller. Accordingly, a carrier and modulating signal synchronisation process will be necessary.

- Further improvements can be made by adopting an interleaved parallel converter structure with a common DC link instead of separate DC links. This structure will lead to DC link current harmonic cancellation and for that reason the DC link capacitor size will be reduced. Also, only one DC link voltage sensor will be required and the control algorithm becomes simpler when the DC link voltage is controlled.

- To improve the input current harmonic performance, it is recommended to use a PWM rectifier instead of a diode rectifier. The circulating current in the inverter side is independent on the circulating current of the rectifier side. Consequently, the proposed current sharing control method could be used on both input and output sides.

- The DPWM scheme has 50% switching losses reduction when compared with conventional SVM. Also, the DPWM current and voltage harmonics are decreased considerably compared to the conventional SVM level for a high modulation index. It is therefore recommended that a hybrid PWM scheme be used, where a conventional SVM strategy is adopted for a low modulation index and DPWM strategy for a high modulation index.

- It would be interesting to investigate the operation of parallel-connected converters with a three-phase current sharing reactor instead of three single phase current sharing reactors.

- It is suggested to use a multivariable PI based dq current regulator which provides superior axes decoupling compared to conventional synchronous frame dq current regulator used in the current research [112].
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Appendix A

A.1 Parallel Converters Wiring Diagrams

Figure A.1 Schematic of parallel-connected converters-Wiring diagram
Figure A.2 Schematic of the first AC/DC/AC converter

Figure A.3 Schematic of the second AC/DC/AC converter
Figure A.4 Schematic of 3-phase relay card.

Figure A.5 Schematic of converter current sensor circuit
Figure A.6 Schematic of converter voltage sensor circuit

Figure A.7 Schematic of load current sensor circuit
A.2 Algorithm of Impedance Emulation Current Sharing Control

Figure A.8 Flowchart of impedance emulation current sharing control algorithm employed in a current controller application.
Figure A.9: Flowchart of impedance emulation current sharing control algorithm employed in open loop $V/f$ control.
Appendix B

Appendix B. Simulation Results

B.1 Interleaving Impacts on System Performance When DPWM2 is Adopted

B.1.1 Combined current

Figure B.1 Combined current spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9

Figure B.2 Combined current spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5
Figure B.3 Combined current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at $M=0.9$

Figure B.4 Combined current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at $M=0.5$
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B.1.2 Circulating current

Figure B.5 Circulating current spectra for interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9

Figure B.6 Circulating current spectra for interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5
B.1.3 Common mode voltage

Figure B.7 Spectra of common-mode voltage for non-interleaved converters with DPWM2 at $M=0.9$

Figure B.8 Spectra of common-mode voltage for non-interleaved converters with DPWM2 at $M=0.5$
Figure B.9 Spectra of common-mode voltage for symmetrically interleaved converters with DPWM2 at M=0.9

Figure B.10 Spectra of common-mode voltage for symmetrically interleaved converters with DPWM2 at M=0.5
Appendix C. SVPWM Strategy Experimental Results

C.1 Testing Average Current Sharing Control

C.1.1 Current controller for three-phase RL load

![Waveform Image]

Figure C.1 Experimental converter and load current waveforms without sharing control

![Waveform Image]

Figure C.2 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with average current sharing control at 50Hz
Figure C.3 Experimental current space vector magnitude transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude and frequency from 15Hz to 30Hz.

Figure C.4 Experimental converter and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude and frequency from 15Hz to 30Hz.
Figure C.5 Experimental converter and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude and frequency from 25Hz to 50Hz

Figure C.6 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with average current sharing control at 10Hz
C.1.2 Open loop V/f control for a three-phase induction motor

Figure C.7 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms without sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz

Figure C.8 Experimental current space vector magnitudes without sharing control at 50Hz
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Figure C.9 Experimental converter and motor current waveforms with average sharing control at 50Hz

Figure C.10 Experimental current space vector magnitudes with average current sharing control at 50Hz
C.2.1 Current controller for a three-phase RL load

Figure C.11 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with independent current sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz

Figure C.12 Experiment converter and load current transient response due to step change in the desired current magnitude from 4A to 6A and frequency from 15Hz to 30Hz
Figure C.13 Experimental current space vector magnitude transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude and frequency from 15Hz to 30Hz

Figure C.14 Experiment converters and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude from 4A to 6A and frequency from 25Hz to 50Hz
C.3.1 Current controller for a three-phase RL load

Figure C.15 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with impedance emulation current sharing control when the desired frequency is 50Hz

Figure C.16 Experiment converters and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude from 4A to 6A and frequency from 25Hz to 50Hz
C.4  Interleaving Impacts on System Performance

C.4.1  Combined current

Figure C.18 Experimental combined current spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at $M=0.5$
Figure C.19 Experimental combined current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using SVPWM at $M=0.5$

C.4.2 Inter-module circulating current

Figure C.20 Experimental circulating current spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at $M=0.5$
Figure C.21 Experimental circulating current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.5

C.4.3 Common-mode voltage

Figure C.22 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for non-interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.5
Figure C.23 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using SVPWM at M=0.5
Appendix D. DPWM2 Strategy Experimental Results

D.1 Average Current Sharing Control Results

D.1.1 Current controller for a three-phase RL load

Figure D.1 Experimental converter and load current waveforms without sharing control at 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)
Figure D.2 Experimental common-mode circulating current without sharing control

Figure D.3 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with average current sharing control at 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)
Figure D.4 Experimental common-mode circulating current with average current sharing control

Figure D.5 Experimental converters and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude (2A/div; 20msec/div)
D.2 Independent Current Sharing Control Results

D.2.1 Current controller for a three-phase RL load

Figure D.6 Experimental converter and load current waveforms with independent current sharing control at 50Hz (2A/div; 10msec/div)

Figure D.7 Experimental common mode circulating current with independent sharing control at 50Hz
Figure D.8 Experiment converters and load current transient response due to a step change in the desired current magnitude (2A/div; 20msec/div)

D.3 Interleaving Impacts on System Performance

D.3.1 Combined current

Figure D.9 Experimental combined current spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9
Figure D.10 Experimental combined current spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5

Figure D.11 Experimental combined current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9
Figure D.12 Experimental combined current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5

**D.3.2 Inter-module circulating current**

Figure D.13 Experimental circulating current spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9
Figure D.14 Experimental circulating current spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5

Figure D.15 Experimental circulating current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9
Figure D.16 Experimental circulating current spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5

**D.3.3 Common-mode voltage**

Figure D.17 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9
Figure D.18 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for non-interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5

Figure D.19 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.9
Figure D.20 Experimental common-mode voltage spectra for symmetrically interleaved converters using DPWM2 at M=0.5